

OCC Mortgage Metrics Report

Disclosure of National Bank and Federal Savings Association Mortgage Loan Data

Third Quarter 2011

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Washington, D.C.

Contents

	Executive Summary	4
	About Mortgage Metrics	8
	Definitions and Method	8
P	ART I: Mortgage Performance	11
	Overall Mortgage Portfolio	11
	Overall Mortgage Performance	12
	Performance of Mortgages Held by Reporting Banks and Thrift	13
	Performance of Government-Guaranteed Mortgages	15
	Performance of GSE Mortgages	16
	Seriously Delinquent Mortgages, by Risk Category	17
	Mortgages 30 to 59 Days Delinquent, by Risk Category	18
P	ART II: Home Retention Actions	19
Α	. Loan Modifications, Trial-Period Plans, and Payment Plans	20
	New Home Retention Actions	20
	HAMP Modifications and Trial-Period Plans, by Investor and Risk Category	21
	New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures	22
	Types of Modification Actions	23
	Types of HAMP Modification Actions	24
	Types of Modification Actions, by Risk Category	25
	Types of Modification Actions, by Investor and Product Type	26
	Types of HAMP Modification Actions, by Investor and Product Type	27
	Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modification	28
	Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter	29
	Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From HAMP Modifications, by Quarter	30
	Average Change in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter	31
В	. Modified Loan Performance	32
	Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 60 or More Days Delinquent	32
	Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 30 or More Days Delinquent	33
	Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 90 or More Days Delinquent	34

Re-Default Rate, by Investor (60 or More Days Delinquent)	35
Performance of HAMP Modifications Compared With Other Modifications	37
C. Modified Loan Performance, by Change in Monthly Payments	38
Re-Default Rates of Loans by Change in Payment	39
60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment	41
Status of Mortgages Modified in 2008–2011 Through the Second Quarter of 2011	42
Part III: Home Forfeiture Actions—Foreclosures, Short Sales, and Deed-in-Lieu-of-Foreclosure Actions	
Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions	43
Newly Initiated Foreclosures	44
Foreclosures in Process	45
Completed Foreclosures	46
New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Risk Category	47
Appendixes	48
Appendix A—New Loan Modifications	48
Appendix B—New Trial-Period Plans	49
Appendix C—New Payment Plans	50
Appendix D—Breakdown of Individual and Combination Modification Actions	51
Appendix E—Mortgage Modification Data by State	53
Index of Tables	63
Index of Figures	66

Executive Summary

This *OCC Mortgage Metrics Report* for the third quarter of 2011 provides performance data on first-lien residential mortgages serviced by selected national banks and a federal savings association (or thrift). The mortgages in this portfolio comprise 62 percent of all mortgages outstanding in the United States—32.4 million loans totaling \$5.6 trillion in principal balances. This report provides information on their performance through September 30, 2011.

The overall quality of the portfolio of serviced mortgages remained almost unchanged from the previous quarter, with the percentage of current and performing loans decreasing by 0.1 percentage point from the previous quarter to 88.0 percent of the overall portfolio at the end of the third quarter. The percentage of current and performing loans increased by 0.7 percent from a year earlier (see table 7).

Delinquencies remained elevated but stable during the third quarter of 2011 but have declined from a year ago. However, the number of new foreclosures increased by 21.1 percent during the quarter as servicers lifted voluntary moratoria implemented in late 2010 and exhausted alternatives to foreclosure for the large inventory of seriously delinquent mortgages working through the loss mitigation process. The increase in new foreclosures and the increase in average time required to complete foreclosures sales has resulted in the number of foreclosures in process increasing to 4.1 percent of the overall portfolio, or 1,327,077 loans, at the end of the third quarter of 2011.

Servicers continued to emphasize alternatives to foreclosure during the third quarter, initiating more than two-and-a-half times as many new home retention actions—loan modifications, trial-period plans, and payment plans—as completed foreclosures, short sales, and deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure transactions.

Mortgage Performance

- The percentage of mortgages that were current and performing decreased slightly to 88.0 percent (see table 7).
- The percentage of mortgages that were 30 to 59 days delinquent did not change from the previous quarter and remained 3.0 percent of the overall portfolio. The percentage of early-stage delinquencies decreased by 5.6 percent from a year earlier (see table 7).
- The percentage of government-guaranteed mortgages that were current decreased to 85.2 from 85.7 percent in the prior quarter (see table 9).
- Mortgages serviced for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (government-sponsored enterprises or GSEs) made up the majority of mortgages in the reporting servicers' portfolios. The overall percentage of these mortgages that were current and performing did not change from the previous quarter percentage of 93.1 percent. However, the percentage of GSE mortgages that were seriously delinquent increased to 2.5 percent (see table 10).
- The percentage of mortgages in the overall portfolio that were seriously delinquent at the end of the third quarter of 2011was 4.9 percent—the same percentage as the previous quarter but a decline of 305,279 mortgages from a year earlier (see table 11).

Home Retention Actions: Loan Modifications, Trial-Period Plans, and Payment Plans

- Servicers implemented 458,899 new home retention actions—modifications, trial-period plans, and payment plans—during the third quarter of 2011 (see table 1). This was more than two-and-a-half times the 172,785 completed foreclosures, short sales, and deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions during the quarter (see table 5). The number of new home retention actions in the third quarter increased by 0.6 percent from the previous quarter and decreased 2.4 percent from a year earlier.
- New home retention activity comprised 137,539 modifications, 156,801 trial-period plans, and 164,559 payment plans during the third quarter of 2011. Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP) modifications decreased 23.0 percent from the previous quarter to 53,941. During the past five quarters, servicers initiated more than 2.4 million home retention actions—889,990 modifications, 809,658 trial-period plans and 717,635 payment plans (see table 1).

Table 1. Number of New Home Retention Actions									
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change		
Other Modifications	174,862	152,375	106,512	80,185	83,598	4.3%	-52.2%		
HAMP Modifications	58,856	56,340	53,250	70,071	53,941	-23.0%	-8.4%		
Other Trial-Period Plans	71,890	81,034	181,099	118,928	127,463	7.2%	77.3%		
HAMP Trial-Period Plans	45,087	53,022	57,649	44,148	29,338	-33.5%	-34.9%		
Payment Plans	119,589	131,988	158,821	142,678	164,559	15.3%	37.6%		
Total	470,284	474,759	557,331	456,010	458,899	0.6%	-2.4%		

- Servicers capitalized missed payments and fees in 88.5 percent of all modifications made during the third quarter of 2011 and reduced interest rates in 77.5 percent of modifications. Term extensions were used in 57.8 percent of modifications, principal deferrals in 20.5 percent, and principal reductions in 7.8 percent (see table 17). Among HAMP modifications, servicers reduced interest rates in 86.8 percent, deferred principal in 34.9 percent, and reduced principal in 10.2 percent of those modifications (see table 18).
- Servicers reduced monthly principal and interest payments by 24.4 percent for borrowers who qualified for modifications, with an average decrease of \$382. HAMP modifications reduced payments by an average of \$567, or 35.1 percent and other modifications reduced monthly payments by \$262 (see table 24). Nearly 90 percent of all modifications made during the third quarter reduced monthly payments (see table 22).

Modified Loan Performance

- More recent modifications that emphasized reduced payments, sustainability, and affordability have outperformed modifications implemented in earlier periods.
- Servicers modified 2,258,026 mortgages from the beginning of 2008 through the end of the second quarter of 2011. At the end of the third quarter of 2011, 50.8 percent of these modifications remained current or were paid off. Another 8.8 percent were 30 to 59 days delinquent, and 17.7 percent were seriously delinquent. Eleven percent were in the process of foreclosure, and 5.9 percent had completed the foreclosure process (see table 2).

- HAMP modifications continued to perform better than other modifications (see table 2). Of the 469,535 HAMP modifications implemented since the third quarter of 2009, 70.5 percent remained current or were paid off, compared with 55.7 percent of other modifications implemented during the same time period. The better performance of HAMP modifications reflects HAMP's significantly reduced monthly payments, its emphasis on affordability relative to borrower income, required income verification, and required trial-period.
- Modifications that reduced payments by 10 percent or more performed better than those that reduced payments by less than 10 percent. At the end of the third quarter of 2011, 58.8 percent of modifications that reduced payments by 10 percent or more were current and performing, compared with 36.3 percent of those that reduced payments by less (see table 2).

		Table 2.	Status of M	ortgages M	odified in 200	8–2011		
	Total	Current	30–59 Days Delinquent	Seriously Delinquent	Foreclosures in Process	Completed Foreclosures	Paid Off	No Longer in the Portfolio*
2008	421,322	25.0%	6.4%	19.9%	17.8%	15.5%	3.2%	12.2%
2009	587,460	39.6%	8.3%	20.7%	14.6%	7.8%	1.7%	7.3%
2010	939,226	58.6%	9.7%	16.6%	8.3%	2.3%	0.5%	4.0%
2011**	310,018	74.0%	10.3%	12.6%	2.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Total	2,258,026	49.5%	8.8%	17.7%	11.0%	5.9%	1.3%	5.9%
	HAM	IP Modifica	ation Performa	nce Compare	d With Other Mo	odifications***		
Other Modifications	1,035,623	55.0%	10.4%	18.8%	8.8%	3.0%	0.7%	3.2%
HAMP Modifications	469,535	70.2%	8.1%	10.8%	5.2%	1.3%	0.3%	3.9%
		Modification	ons That Redu	ced Payment	s by 10 Percent	or More		
Modifications That Reduced Payments by 10% or More	1,321,217	58.8%	8.8%	14.4%	8.2%	3.4%	0.8%	5.7%
	N	Modification	ns That Reduc	ed Payments	by Less Than 1	0 Percent		
Modifications That Reduced Payments by Less Than 10%	936,809	36.3%	8.9%	22.4%	14.8%	9.5%	2.0%	6.1%

^{*}Processing constraints prevented some servicers from reporting the reason for removal from the portfolio.

• Modifications on mortgages held in the servicers' own portfolios performed better than modifications on mortgages serviced for others. Of the modifications implemented from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010 that were in effect at least one year, 25.2 percent of modifications on mortgages held in the servicers' own portfolios were 60 or more days delinquent after 12 months compared with more than 28 percent for GSE mortgages, 48.3 percent for private investor-held loans, and 50.8 percent for government-guaranteed mortgages. This variance may have resulted from differences in modification programs, and servicers' additional flexibility when modifying mortgages they owned

^{**}Includes modifications implemented during 2011 in effect at least three months.

^{***}Modifications used to compare with HAMP modifications only include modifications implemented from the third quarter of 2009 through the second quarter of 2011.

compared with mortgages serviced for others (see table 3).

Table 3. Re-Defa	Table 3. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others (60 or More Days Delinquent)*										
Investor Loan Type	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification							
Fannie Mae	12.1%	19.4%	24.5%	28.8%							
Freddie Mac	11.7%	18.9%	24.3%	28.2%							
Government-Guaranteed	17.4%	34.9%	45.0%	50.8%							
Private	24.3%	35.7%	43.0%	48.3%							
Portfolio Loans	8.0%	15.6%	21.4%	25.2%							
Overall	16.2%	26.7%	33.6%	38.5%							

^{*}Data include all modifications made since January 1, 2008 that have aged the indicated number of months.

Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions

• Newly initiated foreclosures in the third quarter of 2011 increased by 21.1 percent from the previous quarter, but decreased by 11.8 percent from a year earlier. This quarterly increase results from the large number of seriously delinquent mortgages working their way through the loss mitigation process toward foreclosure. The number of foreclosures in process increased 0.5 percent from the previous quarter and 7.6 percent from a year earlier as the length of time required to complete foreclosure lengthens (see table 4).

	Table 4. New Foreclosures and Foreclosures in Process										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change				
Newly Initiated Foreclosures	394,356	355,945	312,235	287,162	347,726	21.1%	-11.8%				
Foreclosures in Process	1,233,717	1,312,462	1,308,757	1,319,987	1,327,077	0.5%	7.6%				

• Home forfeiture actions totaled 172,785 at the end of the quarter—a decrease of 4.1 percent from the previous quarter and a decrease of 30.1 percent from a year earlier. Completed foreclosures decreased by 7.0 percent from the previous quarter and 40.5 percent from a year earlier. New short sales increased by 1.9 percent from the previous quarter. New deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions increased by 2.9 percent from the previous quarter and 51.5 percent from a year earlier, but remained a small component of home forfeiture actions (see table 5).

Tak	Table 5. Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions											
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change					
Completed Foreclosures	189,285	95,070	119,739	121,209	112,686	-7.0%	-40.5%					
New Short Sales	56,270	49,061	50,108	56,406	57,479	1.9%	2.1%					
New Deed-in-Lieu- of-Foreclosure Actions	1,729	2,085	1,700	2,547	2,620	2.9%	51.5%					
Total	247,284	146,216	171,547	180,162	172,785	-4.1%	-30.1%					

About Mortgage Metrics

The *OCC Mortgage Metrics Report* presents data on first-lien residential mortgages serviced by national banks and a federal savings association focusing on credit performance, loss mitigation efforts, and foreclosures. The OCC collects these data from the eight national banks and one federal savings association with the largest mortgage-servicing portfolios among national banks and federal savings associations.¹ The data represent 62 percent of all first-lien residential mortgages outstanding in the country. Almost 93 percent of the mortgages in the portfolio were serviced for investors other than the reporting institution. At the end of September 2011, the reporting institutions serviced 32.4 million first-lien mortgage loans, totaling nearly \$5.6 trillion in outstanding balances (see table 6).

Although the loans reflected in this report represent a large percentage of the overall mortgage industry, they do not represent a statistically random sample of all mortgage loans. The characteristics of these loans may differ from the overall population of mortgages. This report does not attempt to quantify or adjust for known seasonal effects that occur within the mortgage industry.

In addition to providing information to the public, the report and its data support the supervision of national bank and thrift mortgage-servicing practices. Examiners use the data to help assess emerging trends, identify anomalies, compare servicers with peers, evaluate asset quality and necessary loan-loss reserves, and assess loss mitigation actions.

The report promotes the use of standardized terms and elements, which allow better comparisons across the industry and over time. The report uses standardized definitions for prime, Alt-A, and subprime mortgages based on commonly used credit score ranges.

The OCC and the participating institutions devote significant resources to ensuring that the information is reliable and accurate. Steps to ensure the validity of the data include comparisons with the institutions' quarterly call and thrift financial reports, with internal quality reviews conducted by the banks and savings association and with data supplied by participating banks and savings association and aggregated by an external vendor to support this report. Data sets of this size and scope inevitably suffer from a degree of inconsistency, missing data, and other imperfections. This report notes cases in which data anomalies may have affected the results. The OCC requires servicers to adjust previous data submissions when errors and omissions are detected. In some cases, data presented in this report reflect resubmissions from institutions that restate and correct earlier information.

The report also includes mortgage modification data by state in appendix E. Developed over several quarters, these data fulfill reporting requirements in the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Public Law 111-203).

Definitions and Method

The report uses standard definitions for three categories of mortgage creditworthiness based on the following ranges of borrowers' credit scores at the time of origination:

¹ The eight national banks are Bank of America, JPMorgan Chase, Citibank, HSBC, MetLife, PNC, U.S. Bank, and Wells Fargo. The federal savings association is OneWest Bank.

- **Prime**—660 and above.
- **Alt-A**—620 to 659.
- **Subprime**—below 620.

Approximately 12 percent of mortgages in the portfolio were not accompanied by credit scores and are classified as "other." This group includes a mix of prime, Alt-A, and subprime mortgages. In large part, the lack of credit scores results from acquisitions of portfolios from third parties for which borrower credit scores at origination were not available.

Additional definitions include:

- **Completed foreclosures**—Ownership of properties transferred to servicers or investors. The ultimate result is the loss of borrowers' homes because of nonpayment.
- **Deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions**—Actions in which borrowers transfer ownership of the properties (deeds) to servicers in full satisfaction of the outstanding mortgage debt to lessen the adverse impact of the debt on borrowers' credit records. Deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions typically have a less adverse impact than foreclosures on borrowers' credit records.
- **Foreclosures in process**—Number of mortgages for which servicers have begun formal foreclosure proceedings but have not yet completed the process resulting in the loss of borrowers' homes. The foreclosure process varies by state and can take 15 months or more to complete. Many foreclosures in process never result in the loss of borrowers' homes because servicers simultaneously pursue other loss mitigation actions, and borrowers may act to return their mortgages to current and performing status.
- Government-guaranteed mortgages—All mortgages with an explicit guaranty from the U.S. government, including the Federal Housing Administration (FHA), the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and, to a lesser extent, certain other departments. These loans may be held in pools backing Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae) securities, owned by or securitized through different third-party investors, or held in the portfolios of reporting institutions.
- **Home retention actions**—Loan modifications, trial-period plans, and payment plans that allow borrowers to retain ownership and occupancy of their homes while attempting to return the loans to a current and performing status.
- **Loan modifications**—Actions that contractually change the terms of mortgages with respect to interest rates, maturity, principal, or other terms of the loan.
- Newly initiated foreclosures—Mortgages for which the servicers initiate formal
 foreclosure proceedings during the quarter. Many newly initiated foreclosures do not
 result in the loss of borrowers' homes because servicers simultaneously pursue other loss
 mitigation actions, and borrowers may act to return their mortgages to current and
 performing status.
- **Payment plans**—Short-to-medium-term changes in scheduled terms and payments in order to return mortgages to a current and performing status.

- Payment-option, adjustable rate mortgages (ARM)—Mortgages that allow borrowers to choose a monthly payment that may initially reduce principal, pay interest only, or result in negative amortization, when some amount of unpaid interest is added to the principal balance of the loan and results in an increased balance.
- **Principal deferral modifications**—Modifications that remove a portion of the principal from the amount used to calculate monthly principal and interest payments for a set period. The deferred amount becomes due at the end of the loan term.
- **Principal reduction modifications**—Modifications that permanently forgive a portion of the principal amount owed on a mortgage.
- Re-default rates—Percentage of modified loans that subsequently become delinquent or enter the foreclosure process. As measures of delinquency, this report presents re-default rates using 30, 60, and 90 or more days delinquent and in process of foreclosure. It focuses on the 60-day-delinquent measure. All re-default data presented in this report are based on modified loans in effect for the specified amount of time after the modification. All loans that have been repaid in full, been refinanced, been sold, or completed the foreclosure process are removed from the calculation. Data include only modifications that have had time to age the indicated number of months following the modification.
- **Seriously delinquent loans**—Mortgages that are 60 or more days past due, and all mortgages held by bankrupt borrowers whose payments are 30 or more days past due.
- **Short sales**—Sales of the mortgaged properties at prices that net less than the total amount due on the mortgages. Servicers and borrowers negotiate repayment programs, forbearance, or forgiveness for any remaining deficiency on the debt. Short sales typically have a less adverse impact than foreclosures on borrowers' credit records.
- **Trial-period plans**—Home retention actions that allow borrowers to demonstrate capability and willingness to pay their modified mortgages for a set period of time. The action becomes permanent following the successful completion of the trial period.

Loan delinquencies are reported using the Mortgage Bankers Association convention that a loan is past due when a scheduled payment is unpaid for 30 days or more. The statistics and calculated ratios are based on the number of loans rather than on the dollar amount outstanding.

Percentages are rounded to one decimal place unless the result is less than 0.1 percent, which is rounded to two decimal places. The report uses whole numbers when approximating. Values in tables may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

In tables throughout this report, the quarters are indicated by the last day of the quarter (e.g., 9/30/11), quarter-to-quarter changes are shown under the column "1Q %Change" column, and year-to-year changes are shown under the column "1Y %Change" column.

In tables throughout this report, percentages shown under "1Q %Change" and "1Y %Change" are calculated using actual data, not the rounded values reported for each quarter. Calculating period-to-period changes from the rounded values reported in the tables may yield materially different values than those values indicated in the table.

Mortgage Metrics Report data may not agree with other published data because of timing delays in updating servicer-processing systems.

PART I: Mortgage Performance

Part I describes the performance of the overall mortgage portfolio, mortgages owned and held by the reporting banks and savings association, government-guaranteed mortgages, mortgages serviced for the GSEs, and mortgages within each risk category.


Overall Mortgage Portfolio

At the end of the third quarter of 2011, the servicing portfolio included 32.4 million loans with \$5.6 trillion in unpaid balances (see table 6). The composition was stable with 70 percent prime, 11 percent Alt-A, 7 percent subprime, and 12 percent other loans (see figure 1).

	Table	e 6. Overall Mo	ortgage Portfol	io	
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/30/11	6/30/11	9/30/11
Total Servicing (Millions)	\$5,820,521	\$5,729,421	\$5,686,103	\$5,682,951	\$5,598,366
Total Servicing (Number of Loans)	33,341,642	32,867,917	32,713,033	32,769,737	32,434,997
	Composition	(Percentage of Al	l Mortgages in th	e Portfolio)	
Prime	69%	69%	70%	70%	70%
Alt-A	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Subprime	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%
Other	13%	12%	12%	12%	12%
Comp	position (Numb	oer of Loans in Ea	ach Risk Categor	y of the Portfolio)	
Prime	23,018,251	22,831,966	22,804,671	22,904,910	22,765,207
Alt-A	3,591,171	3,533,524	3,505,201	3,522,896	3,499,907
Subprime	2,550,698	2,471,207	2,418,112	2,476,801	2,426,056
Other	4,181,522	4,031,220	3,985,049	3,865,130	3,743,827

Figure 1. Portfolio Composition

Percentage of All Mortgage Loans in the Portfolio



Overall Mortgage Performance

The overall performance of the portfolio of mortgages serviced by reporting banks and thrift remained almost unchanged from the previous quarter. The percentage of mortgages that were current and performing was almost unchanged at 88.0 percent from the previous quarter but improved from 87.5 percent a year earlier (see table 7). The percentages of mortgages that were 30 to 59 days delinquent and those that were seriously delinquent (loans 60 or more days delinquent or in bankruptcy and 30 or more days past due) were unchanged from the previous quarter, but down from a year earlier. The percentage of foreclosures in process increased to 4.1 percent from 4.0 percent the previous quarter and 3.7 percent a year earlier.

	Table 7. Overall Portfolio Performance										
	(Percentage of Mortgages in the Portfolio)										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change				
Current and Performing	87.5%	87.6%	88.6%	88.1%	88.0%	0.0%	0.7%				
30-59 Days Delinquent	3.2%	3.1%	2.6%	3.0%	3.0%	-1.4%	-5.6%				
	The Followi	ng Three Categ	ories Are Class	ified as Seriou	sly Delinquent						
60-89 Days Delinquent	1.3%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	4.5%	-10.9%				
90 or More Days Delinquent	3.5%	3.1%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	-2.8%	-22.1%				
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	3.2%	15.0%				
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	5.7%	5.3%	4.8%	4.9%	4.9%	0.1%	-13.8%				
Foreclosures in Process	3.7%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.1%	1.6%	10.6%				
		(Number of	Mortgages in	the Portfolio)							
Current and Performing	29,158,524	28,794,279	28,991,538	28,853,845	28,550,780	-1.1%	-2.1%				
30-59 Days Delinquent	1,059,697	1,020,763	853,484	996,859	972,715	-2.4%	-8.2%				
	The Followi	ng Three Categ	ories Are Class	ified as Seriou	sly Delinquent						
60-89 Days Delinquent	443,902	428,103	340,258	371,716	384,638	3.5%	-13.4%				
90 or More Days Delinquent	1,156,348	1,020,997	920,363	910,183	875,943	-3.8%	-24.2%				
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	289,454	291,313	298,633	317,147	323,844	2.1%	11.9%				
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	1,889,704	1,740,413	1,559,254	1,599,046	1,584,425	-0.9%	-16.2%				
Foreclosures in Process	1,233,717	1,312,462	1,308,757	1,319,987	1,327,077	0.5%	7.6%				

6% 4% 2% 0% 30-59 Davs 60-89 Davs 90 or More Davs Bankruptcv 30 or Foreclosures in Delinguent Delinquent Delinquent More Days **Process** Delinquent **6/30/11** 9/30/10 12/31/10 3/31/11 9/30/11

Figure 2. Overall Portfolio Performance

Performance of Mortgages Held by Reporting Banks and Thrift

The performance of mortgages held by the reporting banks and thrift improved in the third quarter of 2011 (see table 8). The percentage of these mortgages that were current at the end of the quarter increased to 81.4 percent from 80.3 percent during the previous quarter and 77.9 percent a year earlier. The percentage of these mortgages that were 30 to 59 days delinquent decreased to 3.8 percent from 4.0 percent in the previous quarter and 4.4 percent a year earlier. The percentage of these mortgages that were seriously delinquent decreased to 7.2 percent from 8.0 percent the previous quarter and 10.4 percent a year earlier. The percentage of these mortgages in the process of foreclosure decreased to 7.5 percent from 7.7 in percent the previous quarter but increased from 7.3 percent a year earlier. The reporting banks and federal savings associations held 7.2 percent of the loans in this report. Because more of these loans tended to be nonconforming with increased risk characteristics and geographic concentration in weaker real estate markets, these mortgages performed worse than mortgages serviced for others.

						t (Percenta			
1	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change		
Current and Performing	77.9%	79.9%	80.4%	80.3%	81.4%	1.4%	4.5%		
30-59 Days Delinquent	4.4%	4.0%	3.6%	4.0%	3.8%	-3.0%	-12.9%		
The Following Three Categories Are Classified as Seriously Delinquent									
60-89 Days Delinquent	1.9%	1.7%	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	0.4%	-18.9%		
90 or More Days Delinquent	7.0%	5.5%	5.1%	4.9%	4.1%	-16.9%	-41.7%		
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%	1.6%	1.7%	2.2%	7.5%		
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	10.4%	8.7%	8.0%	8.0%	7.2%	-9.8%	-30.3%		
Foreclosures in Process	7.3%	7.4%	7.9%	7.7%	7.5%	-3.1%	2.6%		
Р	erformance o	f Mortgages H	Held by Reporti	ng Banks and	Thrift (Numb	er)			
Current and Performing	1,940,418	1,984,871	1,899,830	1,870,686	1,909,527	2.1%	-1.6%		
30-59 Days Delinquent	109,863	99,163	86,162	92,254	90,053	-2.4%	-18.0%		
	The Followin	g Three Categ	ories Are Classi	fied as Seriou	sly Delinquen	t			
60-89 Days Delinquent	46,735	41,437	33,286	35,294	35,678	1.1%	-23.7%		
90 or More Days Delinquent	173,504	137,620	119,884	113,916	95,298	-16.3%	-45.1%		
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	38,328	36,228	36,970	37,723	38,808	2.9%	1.3%		
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	258,567	215,285	190,140	186,933	169,784	-9.2%	-34.3%		
Foreclosures in Process	182,292	184,046	187,204	180,587	176,048	-2.5%	-3.4%		

^{*}The data in this table exclude government-guaranteed mortgages owned and held by the reporting institutions.

² The *OCC and OTS Mortgage Metrics Report* for the first quarter of 2011 incorrectly identified the percentage of the portfolio held by national banks and thrift as 14.5 percent.





Figure 3. Performance of Mortgages Held by Reporting Banks and Thrift

Performance of Government-Guaranteed Mortgages

The performance of government-guaranteed mortgages declined in the third quarter (see table 9). The percentage of these loans that were current and performing decreased to 85.2 percent from 85.7 percent in the previous quarter but improved from 85.1 percent a year earlier. The percentage that were 30 to 59 days delinquent decreased to 4.9 percent from 5.0 percent in the previous quarter and 5.3 percent a year earlier. Serious delinquencies increased to 7.1 percent from 6.6 percent during the previous quarter and 7.0 percent a year earlier. The percentage in the process of foreclosure increased to 2.8 percent from 2.7 percent the previous quarter and 2.7 percent a year earlier. Government-guaranteed mortgages represent more than 21 percent of the portfolio compared with 19 percent a year earlier. Almost 80 percent of these loans were FHA loans, 15 percent were VA loans, and 5 percent were other government-guaranteed mortgages. Almost 86 percent were in pools of loans backing Ginnie Mae securities.

Table 9.	Performar	ice of Gove	rnment-Gua	aranteed Mc	rtgages (Pe	ercentage)	
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Current and Performing	85.1%	85.0%	87.0%	85.7%	85.2%	-0.6%	0.1%
30-59 Days Delinquent	5.3%	5.2%	4.1%	5.0%	4.9%	-1.0%	-6.3%
	The Followin	g Three Categ	ories Are Clas	sified as Serio	usly Delinquer	nt	
60-89 Days Delinquent	2.2%	2.2%	1.6%	1.9%	2.0%	5.9%	-8.9%
90 or More Days Delinquent	3.7%	3.8%	3.5%	3.6%	4.1%	11.2%	8.3%
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.1%	1.0%
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	7.0%	7.0%	6.1%	6.6%	7.1%	7.9%	1.8%
Foreclosures in Process	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.8%	0.9%	3.5%
	Performar	nce of Govern	ment-Guaran	teed Mortgag	es (Number)		
Current and Performing	5,344,688	5,483,548	5,743,866	5,826,732	5,914,032	1.5%	10.7%
30-59 Days Delinquent	330,323	332,322	272,272	338,346	342,104	1.1%	3.6%
	The Followin	g Three Categ	ories Are Clas	sified as Serio	usly Delinquer	nt	
60-89 Days Delinquent	135,607	139,545	106,493	126,264	136,485	8.1%	0.6%
90 or More Days Delinquent	235,060	248,171	229,401	247,804	281,264	13.5%	19.7%
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	65,756	66,779	67,748	71,810	73,375	2.2%	11.6%
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	436,423	454,495	403,642	445,878	491,124	10.1%	12.5%
Foreclosures in Process	167,062	178,177	182,041	185,423	191,055	3.0%	14.4%

Figure 4. Performance of Government-Guaranteed Mortgages




Performance of GSE Mortgages

GSE mortgages perform better than the overall portfolio because they contain more prime loans. The percentage of GSE mortgages that were current and performing was 93.1 percent at the end of the quarter, unchanged from the previous quarter and up from 92.3 percent a year earlier (see table 10). The percentage of GSE mortgages that were 30 to 59 days delinquent was also unchanged from the previous quarter at 2.0 percent and down from 2.2 percent a year earlier. The percentage of GSE mortgages that were seriously delinquent increased to 2.5 percent from 2.3 percent in the previous quarter, but decreased from 3.1 percent a year earlier. The percentage of these loans in the process of foreclosure decreased to 2.5 percent from 2.6 percent during the previous quarter and was unchanged from a year earlier. GSE mortgages made up 60 percent of the overall portfolio. Of the GSE mortgages, 58 percent were serviced for Fannie Mae and 42 percent were serviced for Freddie Mac.

	Table 10.	Performan	ce of GSE N	lortgages (I	Percentage)		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Current and Performing	92.3%	92.6%	93.2%	93.1%	93.1%	0.0%	0.9%
30-59 Days Delinquent	2.2%	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	2.0%	-2.5%	-9.7%
	The Followin	g Three Catego	ories Are Clas	sified as Serio	usly Delinquen	nt	
60-89 Days Delinquent	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	3.3%	-17.4%
90 or More Days Delinquent	1.7%	1.3%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	7.9%	-32.4%
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	2.3%	17.5%
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	3.1%	2.6%	2.3%	2.3%	2.5%	5.2%	-20.1%
Foreclosures in Process	2.5%	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%	-2.7%	1.1%
		Performance	of GSE Morto	gages (Numbe	er)		
Current and Performing	18,877,065	18,451,984	18,538,136	18,351,802	18,011,620	-1.9%	-4.6%
30-59 Days Delinquent	444,431	418,299	350,152	396,676	379,596	-4.3%	-14.6%
	The Followin	g Three Catego	ories Are Clas	sified as Serio	usly Delinquen	nt	
60-89 Days Delinquent	171,065	156,655	127,382	131,893	133,734	1.4%	-21.8%
90 or More Days Delinquent	356,227	251,808	225,932	214,901	227,679	5.9%	-36.1%
Bankruptcy 30 or More Days Delinquent	104,146	106,307	109,606	115,307	115,758	0.4%	11.1%
Subtotal for Seriously Delinquent	631,438	514,770	462,920	462,101	477,171	3.3%	-24.4%
Foreclosures in Process	507,214	541,698	529,993	507,913	484,871	-4.5%	-4.4%

Figure 5. Performance of GSE Mortgages




Seriously Delinquent Mortgages, by Risk Category

The portfolio contained 305,279 fewer seriously delinquent loans at the end of the third quarter of 2011 compared with a year earlier—a 16.2 percent decrease in the number of seriously delinquent mortgages (see table 11). Prime and subprime loans recorded slight decreases in seriously delinquent loans during the third quarter, while serious delinquencies increased for Alt A and other mortgages.

	Table	e 11. Serious	ly Delinquen	t Mortgages,	by Risk Cat	egory						
	(Percentage of Mortgages in Each Category)											
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change					
Prime	3.3%	3.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	-0.9%	-17.1%					
Alt-A	10.5%	10.0%	9.0%	9.2%	9.5%	2.4%	-10.4%					
Subprime	18.8%	18.3%	16.2%	16.8%	16.7%	-0.7%	-11.1%					
Other	6.4%	6.1%	5.4%	5.8%	6.0%	3.5%	-7.5%					
Overall	5.7%	5.3%	4.8%	4.9%	4.9%	0.1%	-13.8%					
		(Num	ber of Mortgag	es in Each Cate	egory)							
Prime	762,437	685,967	635,769	634,950	625,338	-1.5%	-18.0%					
Alt-A	378,861	354,392	316,184	325,337	330,978	1.7%	-12.6%					
Subprime	478,948	452,231	391,507	416,316	405,043	-2.7%	-15.4%					
Other	269,458	247,823	215,794	222,443	223,066	0.3%	-17.2%					
Total	1,889,704	1,740,413	1,559,254	1,599,046	1,584,425	-0.9%	-16.2%					

Figure 6. Seriously Delinquent Mortgages, by Risk Category




Mortgages 30 to 59 Days Delinquent, by Risk Category

The servicing portfolio contained 86,982 fewer loans that were 30 to 59 days delinquent at the end of the third quarter of 2011 than a year earlier—an 8.2 percent decrease (see table 12). Overall, 3.0 percent of the total portfolio was 30 to 59 days delinquent at the end of the quarter—unchanged from the previous quarter but down from 3.2 percent a year earlier.

Та	ble 12. Mo	rtgages 30 t	o 59 Days I	Delinquent,	by Risk Cat	tegory						
	(Percentage of Mortgages in Each Category)											
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change					
Prime	1.7%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	-1.5%	-9.2%					
Alt-A	6.4%	6.5%	5.4%	6.5%	6.3%	-1.9%	-1.3%					
Subprime	9.9%	9.9%	8.4%	9.8%	9.6%	-2.1%	-3.1%					
Other	4.3%	4.3%	3.6%	4.3%	4.4%	2.5%	0.6%					
Overall	3.2%	3.1%	2.6%	3.0%	3.0%	-1.4%	-5.6%					
		(Number of	Mortgages in	Each Catego	ry)							
Prime	395,789	374,158	318,045	362,953	355,420	-2.1%	-10.2%					
Alt-A	230,740	227,966	187,606	227,621	221,929	-2.5%	-3.8%					
Subprime	251,604	243,744	202,835	241,588	231,782	-4.1%	-7.9%					
Other	181,564	174,895	144,998	164,697	163,584	-0.7%	-9.9%					
Total	1,059,697	1,020,763	853,484	996,859	972,715	-2.4%	-8.2%					

Figure 7. Mortgages 30 to 59 Days Delinquent, by Risk Category



PART II: Home Retention Actions

Home retention actions include loan modifications, in which servicers modify one or more mortgage contract terms; trial-period plans, in which the loans will be converted to modifications upon successful underwriting and completion of the trial periods; and payment plans, in which no terms are contractually modified, but borrowers are given time to catch up on missed payments. All of these actions can help the borrower become current on the loan, attain payment sustainability, and retain the home.

A. Loan Modifications, Trial-Period Plans, and Payment Plans

New Home Retention Actions

Servicers implemented 458,899 new home retention actions—loan modifications, trial-period plans, and payment plans—during the third quarter of 2011 (see table 13). The number of home retention actions increased slightly from the previous quarter but decreased by 2.4 percent from a year earlier. Servicers implemented 137,539 modifications during the quarter—down 8.5 percent from the previous quarter. New HAMP modifications decreased 23.0 percent to 53,941 during the quarter. The decrease in HAMP modifications was partially offset by the 4.3 percent increase in other modifications during the quarter. Servicers implemented 156,801 new trial-period plans—a 3.9 percent decrease from the previous quarter. Payment plans increased by 15.3 percent during the third quarter to 164,559. During the past five quarters, servicers initiated more than 2.4 million home retention actions—889,990 modifications, 809,658 trial-period plans, and 717,635 payment plans.

	Table 13.	Number o	f New Hom	e Retention	Actions		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Other Modifications	174,862	152,375	106,512	80,185	83,598	4.3%	-52.2%
HAMP Modifications	58,856	56,340	53,250	70,071	53,941	-23.0%	-8.4%
Other Trial-Period Plans	71,890	81,034	181,099	118,928	127,463	7.2%	77.3%
HAMP Trial-Period Plans	45,087	53,022	57,649	44,148	29,338	-33.5%	-34.9%
Payment Plans	119,589	131,988	158,821	142,678	164,559	15.3%	37.6%
Total	470,284	474,759	557,331	456,010	458,899	0.6%	-2.4%

600,000 106,512 500.000 53,250 83.598 80,185 400,000 152,375 174,862 53,941 70,071 300,000 56,340 58,856 127,463 81,034 71,890 200,000 57,649 29,338 44,148 53,022 45,087 100,000 164,559 158,821 142,678 131,988 119,589 0 9/30/11 9/30/10 12/31/10 3/31/11 6/30/11 ■ Payment Plans ■ HAMP Trial-Period Plans ■ Other Trial-Period Plans ■ HAMP Modifications ■ Other Modifications

Figure 8. Number of New Home Retention Actions

HAMP Modifications and Trial-Period Plans, by Investor and Risk Category

Servicers implemented 53,941 HAMP modifications during the third quarter of 2011—down 23.0 percent from the previous quarter (see table 13). About 44 percent of HAMP modifications made during the quarter went to mortgages serviced for GSEs. Prime mortgages represented 70 percent of the total portfolio and received 52.3 percent of all HAMP modifications, while subprime loans represented 7 percent of the total portfolio and received 19.9 percent of HAMP modifications during the quarter.

	Table 14. HAMP Modifications, by Investor and Risk Category										
	(Modifications Implemented in the Third Quarter of 2011)										
	Fannie Mae										
Prime	7,910	6,700	120	5,979	7,487	28,196					
Alt-A	2,352	1,928	144	2,736	3,326	10,486					
Subprime	1,389	988	136	3,301	4,895	10,709					
Other	1,715	760	58	731	1,286	4,550					
Total	13,366	10,376	458	12,747	16,994	53,941					

Servicers implemented 29,388 new HAMP trial-period plans during the quarter, a decrease of 33.4 percent from the 44,148 HAMP trial plans initiated in the previous quarter (see table 15). Prime mortgages received 53.0 percent of the HAMP trial-period plans implemented during the quarter. Alt-A and subprime mortgages received 37.6 percent of the HAMP trial plans implemented during the quarter. GSE mortgages received more than 47 percent of HAMP trial-period plans initiated during the quarter.


	Table 15. HAMP Trial-Period Plans, by Investor and Risk Category										
	(Trial-Period Plans Implemented in the Third Quarter of 2011)										
	Fannie Mae Freddie Mac Government-Guaranteed Portfolio Private Total										
Prime	4,518	3,986	66	2,753	4,217	15,540					
Alt-A	1,310	1,112	74	1,173	1,699	5,368					
Subprime	793	547	88	1,264	2,972	5,664					
Other	1,041	498	41	358	828	2,766					
Total	7,662	6,143	269	5,548	9,716	29,338					

New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures

The ratio of newly initiated home retention actions to newly initiated foreclosure actions decreased during the third quarter. While new home retention actions increased 0.6 percent during the quarter, newly initiated foreclosure actions increased 21.1 percent (see table 16). Servicers continued to implement significantly more new home retention actions than new foreclosures overall.

Table	Table 16. Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures, by Risk Category										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change				
Prime	98.6%	108.2%	161.8%	137.2%	120.2%	-12.4%	21.8%				
Alt-A	125.7%	146.3%	196.3%	182.3%	150.7%	-17.4%	19.9%				
Subprime	157.1%	168.2%	211.3%	189.6%	133.7%	-29.5%	-14.9%				
Other	131.1%	158.5%	163.9%	156.9%	143.5%	-8.5%	9.5%				
Overall	119.3%	133.4%	178.5%	158.8%	132.0%	-16.9%	10.7%				
Number of New Home Retention Actions	470,284	474,759	557,331	456,010	458,899	0.6%	-2.4%				
Number of Newly Initiated Foreclosures	394,356	355,945	312,235	287,162	347,726	21.1%	-11.8%				

Figure 9. New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures, by Risk Category



Types of Modification Actions

The types of modification actions or combinations of actions have different effects on the borrowers' mortgages and their monthly principal and interest payments. Different actions may, over time, have different effects on the long-term sustainability of mortgages. Servicers often use a combination of actions when modifying mortgages, with more than 94 percent of modifications implemented during the third quarter of 2011 changing more than one of the original loan terms (see table 47 in appendix D).

Servicers capitalized missed fees and payments in 88.5 percent of modifications made during the third quarter, reduced interest rates in 77.5 percent of the modified mortgages, and extended the loan maturity in 57.8 percent (see table 17). Servicers deferred repayment of some portion of the principal balance in 20.5 percent of modifications made during the quarter, while the percentage of modifications that included principal reduction increased to 7.8 percent. Because most modifications changed more than one term, the sum of the individual actions exceeded 100 percent of total modifications. Appendix D presents additional detail on combination modifications.

Table 17. Change	es in Loan	Terms for N	Nodification	s Made Du	ring the Thi	rd Quarter	of 2011			
(Percentage of Total Modifications in Each Category)										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Chang			
Capitalization	87.6%	91.6%	86.9%	90.8%	88.5%	-2.6%	1.0%			
Rate Reduction	86.5%	84.2%	82.6%	79.5%	77.5%	-2.4%	-10.3%			
Rate Freeze	1.9%	2.4%	2.0%	2.1%	4.6%	115.4%	140.8%			
Term Extension	57.6%	56.1%	58.1%	61.1%	57.8%	-5.4%	0.4%			
Principal Reduction	5.7%	2.7%	2.8%	5.8%	7.8%	35.5%	36.6%			
Principal Deferral	10.1%	9.0%	11.2%	18.6%	20.5%	9.8%	101.9%			
Not Reported*	0.7%	1.1%	2.9%	1.7%	1.0%	-43.0%	38.2%			
		(Number of	Changes in E	ach Category	·)					
Capitalization	204,724	191,132	138,850	136,398	121,662	-10.8%	-40.6%			
Rate Reduction	202,057	175,679	131,963	119,422	106,651	-10.7%	-47.2%			
Rate Freeze	4,465	5,026	3,142	3,209	6,328	97.2%	41.7%			
Term Extension	134,645	117,058	92,776	91,880	79,536	-13.4%	-40.9%			
Principal Reduction	13,340	5,696	4,426	8,645	10,722	24.0%	-19.6%			
Principal Deferral	23,677	18,836	17,958	27,989	28,133	0.5%	18.8%			
Not Reported*	1,654	2,373	4,698	2,579	1,345	-47.8%	-18.7%			

^{*}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

Types of HAMP Modification Actions

HAMP modifications follow a prescribed series of actions to attain a targeted monthly mortgage payment. Consistent with modification actions overall and the prescribed order of actions required by HAMP, HAMP modifications most often included capitalization of missed payments and fees, interest-rate reductions, and term extensions. Servicers used principal deferral, another prescribed action in the HAMP hierarchy, in 34.9 percent of HAMP modifications during the third quarter of 2011 compared with 33.0 percent in the previous quarter. Principal reduction was used in 10.2 percent of all HAMP modifications implemented during the quarter, almost twice the level in the previous quarter (see table 18).

Table 18. Chan	ges in Loai	n Terms for	HAMP Mod	ifications D	uring the T	hird Quarter	of 2011
		Percentage of	Total Modification	ons in Each Ca	tegory)		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Capitalization	95.6%	96.5%	96.5%	97.8%	93.7%	-4.2%	-2.0%
Rate Reduction	96.2%	87.9%	94.4%	84.3%	86.8%	3.0%	-9.8%
Rate Freeze	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	2.2%	992.7%	1459.1%
Term Extension	56.0%	48.7%	53.4%	53.7%	48.4%	-9.8%	-13.6%
Principal Reduction	10.2%	7.4%	5.5%	5.5%	10.2%	86.1%	0.2%
Principal Deferral	24.6%	22.5%	23.6%	33.0%	34.9%	5.9%	41.8%
Not Reported*	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	121.4%	-12.6%
		(Number o	f Changes in	Each Catego	ry)		
Capitalization	56,276	54,345	51,371	68,521	50,522	-26.3%	-10.2%
Rate Reduction	56,635	49,527	50,278	59,060	46,813	-20.7%	-17.3%
Rate Freeze	83	121	141	141	1,186	741.1%	1328.9%
Term Extension	32,976	27,448	28,413	37,642	26,123	-30.6%	-20.8%
Principal Reduction	6,009	4,197	2,906	3,853	5,520	43.3%	-8.1%
Principal Deferral	14,489	12,700	12,565	23,097	18,827	-18.5%	29.9%
Not Reported*	151	122	124	71	121	70.4%	-19.9%

^{*}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

Types of Modification Actions, by Risk Category

Servicers use a combination of actions when modifying mortgages, and no single action can be identified as the primary component of a successful modification. Modifications across all risk categories predominantly featured interest-rate reduction and term extension in addition to the capitalization of past-due interest and fees. Because most modifications changed more than one term, the sum of individual features changed exceeded the total number of modified loans in each risk category. The mix of capitalization, rate reduction, rate freeze, and term extension in modified mortgages did not differ significantly among prime, Alt-A, and subprime mortgages. Principal deferral was used most extensively in prime loans and principal reduction was used more in Alt-A and subprime loans (see table 19).

Table 19. Chang	Table 19. Changes in Loan Terms for Modifications, by Risk Category, in Third Quarter 2011									
	(Percenta	age of Total Modifi	cations in Each Cate	egory)						
	Prime	Alt-A	Subprime	Other	Overall					
Capitalization	86.7%	89.5%	88.3%	93.4%	88.5%					
Rate Reduction	78.1%	77.0%	75.9%	80.3%	77.5%					
Rate Freeze	3.0%	4.2%	6.6%	6.7%	4.6%					
Term Extension	59.5%	58.1%	52.0%	64.3%	57.8%					
Principal Reduction	5.5%	8.7%	12.3%	4.6%	7.8%					
Principal Deferral	26.0%	19.3%	15.3%	13.0%	20.5%					
Not Reported*	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	1.7%	1.0%					
	(N	umber of Changes	s in Each Category)							
Total Mortgages Modified	58,858	28,169	35,177	15,335	137,539					
Capitalization	51,055	25,210	31,075	14,322	121,662					
Rate Reduction	45,944	21,696	26,699	12,312	106,651					
Rate Freeze	1,774	1,191	2,328	1,035	6,328					
Term Extension	35,016	16,361	18,293	9,866	79,536					
Principal Reduction	3,233	2,462	4,326	701	10,722					
Principal Deferral	15,330	5,425	5,387	1,991	28,133					
Not Reported*	596	232	255	262	1,345					

^{*}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

Types of Modification Actions, by Investor and Product Type

Modifications of mortgages serviced for the GSEs accounted for 36.3 percent of all modifications made during the quarter. Government-guaranteed loans received 16.6 percent of all modifications, mortgages serviced for private investors received 27.9 percent, and mortgages held in servicer portfolios received 19.2 percent of all third-quarter modifications (see table 20). Interest-rate reduction, term extension, and the capitalization of missed payments and fees remained the primary types of modification for all investors. Principal reduction was predominantly used for loans held in portfolio or serviced for private investors. Because modifications often change more than one loan term, the sum of the actions exceeded the number of modified loans for each investor.

Table 20. Typ	e of Modifica	tion Action, by	Investor and Pr	oduct Type, i	n Third Quar	ter 2011
	(Per	centage of Total M	odifications in Ea	ch Category)		
	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Government- Guaranteed	Private Investor	Portfolio	Overall
Capitalization	96.8%	99.1%	98.3%	85.3%	67.4%	88.5%
Rate Reduction	70.4%	74.0%	93.7%	71.5%	83.6%	77.5%
Rate Freeze	3.6%	7.6%	0.8%	5.8%	5.6%	4.6%
Term Extension	68.1%	69.5%	84.4%	24.2%	63.5%	57.8%
Principal Reduction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.3%	18.4%	7.8%
Principal Deferral	25.6%	18.2%	0.1%	23.0%	29.2%	20.5%
Not Reported*	0.6%	0.2%	0.7%	1.7%	1.2%	1.0%
		(Number of Cha	anges in Each Cat	egory)		
Total Mortgages Modified	33,698	16,251	22,841	38,313	26,436	137,539
Capitalization	32,625	16,100	22,463	32,667	17,807	121,662
Rate Reduction	23,736	12,023	21,404	27,392	22,096	106,651
Rate Freeze	1,226	1,233	178	2,208	1,483	6,328
Term Extension	22,951	11,291	19,267	9,253	16,774	79,536
Principal Reduction**	9	4	3	5,844	4,862	10,722
Principal Deferral	8,643	2,959	12	8,804	7,715	28,133
Not Reported	211	28	153	640	313	1,345

^{*}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

^{**}Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac do not offer modifications that include principal reduction. The principal reduction actions reflected in this table represent coding errors to be corrected in subsequent reporting periods.

Types of HAMP Modification Actions, by Investor and Product Type

Of the 53,941 HAMP modifications implemented in the third quarter, 44.0 percent were on GSE mortgages, 31.5 percent were on mortgages serviced for private investors, and 23.6 percent were on mortgages held in servicers' portfolios (see table 21). Consistent with modification actions, the prevailing actions among HAMP modifications were capitalization of past-due interest and fees, interest-rate reduction, and term extension. Principal deferral was used in a significant number of HAMP modifications for all investors other than government-guaranteed loans. HAMP modifications with principal reduction were centered in loans held in portfolio.

Table	21. Type of I		ion Action, by I d Quarter 2011	nvestor and Pr	oduct Type,							
	(Percentage of Total Modifications in Each Category)											
	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Government- Guaranteed	Private Investor	Portfolio	Overall						
Capitalization	98.6%	99.0%	93.2%	93.5%	84.4%	93.7%						
Rate Reduction	84.4%	92.7%	86.9%	84.9%	86.9%	86.8%						
Rate Freeze	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	4.3%	3.5%	2.2%						
Term Extension	62.2%	64.8%	98.5%	10.8%	69.0%	48.4%						
Principal Reduction	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	33.4%	10.2%						
Principal Deferral	24.3%	27.1%	0.4%	37.0%	50.7%	34.9%						
Not Reported	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%						
		(Number of Cha	anges in Each Cat	egory)								
Total Mortgages Modified	13,366	10,376	458	16,994	12,747	53,941						
Capitalization	13,177	10,271	427	15,883	10,764	50,522						
Rate Reduction	11,286	9,623	398	14,432	11,074	46,813						
Rate Freeze	4	2	6	723	451	1,186						
Term Extension	8,308	6,726	451	1,840	8,798	26,123						
Principal Reduction*	4	1	0	1,254	4,261	5,520						
Principal Deferral	3,250	2,817	2	6,290	6,468	18,827						
Not Reported**	57	14	0	7	43	121						

^{*}Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac do not offer modifications that include principal reduction. The principal reduction actions reflected in this table represent coding errors to be corrected in subsequent reporting periods.

^{**}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modification

The previous sections of this report describe the types of modification actions across risk categories, investors, and product types. This section describes the effect of those changes on borrowers' monthly principal and interest payments.

Modifications that decrease payments occur when servicers elect to lower interest rates, extend the amortization period, or defer or forgive principal. The reduced payments can make mortgages more affordable to borrowers and more sustainable over time. However, the lower payments also result in less monthly cash flow and interest income to mortgage investors.

Mortgage modifications may increase monthly payments when borrowers and servicers agree to add past-due interest, advances for taxes or insurance, and other fees to the loan balances and reamortize the new balances over the remaining life of the mortgages. The interest rate or maturity of the loans may be changed on these modifications but not enough to offset the increase in payments caused by the additional capitalized principal. Modifications may also result in increased monthly payments when interest rates or principal payments on adjustable rate mortgages and option ARMs are reset higher but by less than the amount indicated in the original mortgage contracts.

Modifications that increase payments may be appropriate when borrowers resolve temporary problems with cash flow, or otherwise have reasonable prospects of making higher payments to repay the debt over time. However, during periods of prolonged economic stress, this strategy carries additional risk, underscoring the importance of verifying borrowers' income and debt-payment ability so that borrowers and servicers have confidence that the modifications will be sustainable.

Servicers also modify some mortgage contracts by simply leaving principal and interest payments unchanged. This occurs, for example, when servicers "freeze" current interest rates and payments instead of allowing them to increase to levels required by the original mortgage contracts.

Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter

More than 89 percent of modifications made in the third quarter reduced monthly principal and interest payments (see table 22). Almost 54 percent of the modifications reduced payments by 20 percent or more. More than 18 percent reduced payments between 10 percent and 20 percent, and another 17.5 percent reduced payments by less than 10 percent.

Table 22. Changes in Monthly	/ Princip	al and Int	erest Pa	yments	Resultin	g From Modi	fications
(Per	centage o	f Modificat	ions in Ea	ch Catego	ry)*		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Decreased by 20% or More	54.1%	56.3%	47.3%	53.8%	53.6%	-0.5%	-1.0%
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	18.0%	19.7%	18.4%	17.1%	18.3%	6.7%	1.7%
Decreased by Less Than 10%	16.0%	13.9%	20.8%	18.5%	17.5%	-5.1%	9.4%
Subtotal for Decreased	88.1%	89.9%	86.5%	89.4%	89.4%	0.0%	1.4%
Unchanged	3.7%	2.3%	4.0%	1.9%	2.4%	27.7%	-34.3%
Increased	8.2%	7.8%	9.5%	8.7%	8.2%	-5.6%	0.1%
Subtotal for Unchanged and Increased	11.9%	10.1%	13.5%	10.6%	10.6%	0.4%	-10.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	(Number o	f Modificatio	ns in Each	Category)			
Decreased by 20% or More	125,770	117,072	75,116	80,493	73,353	-8.9%	-41.7%
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	41,819	40,974	29,310	25,642	25,055	-2.3%	-40.1%
Decreased by Less Than 10%	37,179	28,883	33,025	27,595	23,971	-13.1%	-35.5%
Subtotal for Decreased	204,768	186,929	137,451	133,730	122,379	-8.5%	-40.2%
Unchanged	8,610	4,817	6,289	2,852	3,335	16.9%	-61.3%
Increased	18,986	16,265	15,127	12,968	11,202	-13.6%	-41.0%
Subtotal for Unchanged and Increased	27,596	21,082	21,416	15,820	14,537	-8.1%	-47.3%
Total	232,364	208,011	158,867	149,550	136,916	-8.4%	-41.1%

^{*}No payment change information was reported on 1,354 modifications in the third quarter of 2010, 704 in the fourth quarter of 2010, 895 in the first quarter of 2011, 706 in the second quarter of 2011, and 623 in the third quarter of 2011.




Figure 10. Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments

Changes in Monthly Payments Resulting From HAMP Modifications, by Quarter

Nearly 99 percent of HAMP modifications made during the third quarter reduced borrower monthly payments, with 75.8 percent reducing payments by 20 percent or more (see table 23). In addition to achieving lower payments, HAMP attempts to increase payment sustainability by targeting monthly housing payments at 31 percent of borrowers' income. Performance data on all modifications showed that reduced monthly payments result in lower re-default rates over time and that the greater the decrease in payment, the lower the rate of re-default.

Table 23. Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments Resulting From HAMP Modifications								
(Percentage of HAMP Modifications in Each Category)*/**								
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change	
Decreased by 20% or More	76.0%	77.3%	75.9%	77.1%	75.8%	-1.7%	-0.2%	
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	13.3%	12.0%	13.4%	13.1%	13.6%	3.5%	1.9%	
Decreased by Less Than 10%	8.8%	7.5%	8.7%	8.6%	9.2%	7.1%	4.8%	
Subtotal for Decreased	98.1%	96.8%	98.0%	98.8%	98.6%	-0.2%	0.5%	
Unchanged	0.3%	1.1%	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.9%	-34.8%	
Increased	1.6%	2.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.2%	23.8%	-25.5%	
Subtotal for Unchanged and Increased	1.9%	3.2%	2.0%	1.2%	1.4%	20.3%	-26.9%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
(Nui	mber of HAN	IP Modificati	ons in Each	Category)	•			
Decreased by 20% or More	44,555	43,338	40,321	53,941	40,756	-24.4%	-8.5%	
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	7,818	6,735	7,124	9,178	7,299	-20.5%	-6.6%	
Decreased by Less Than 10%	5,159	4,187	4,604	6,024	4,957	-17.7%	-3.9%	
Subtotal for Decreased	57,532	54,260	52,049	69,143	53,012	-23.3%	-7.9%	
Unchanged	169	606	530	129	101	-21.7%	-40.2%	
Increased	952	1,200	517	683	650	-4.8%	-31.7%	
Subtotal for Unchanged and Increased	1,121	1,806	1,047	812	751	-7.5%	-33.0%	
Total	58,653	56,066	53,096	69,955	53,763	-23.1%	-8.3%	

^{*}No payment change information was reported on 203 modifications in the third quarter of 2010, 274 in the fourth quarter of 2010, 154 in the first quarter of 2011, 116 in the second quarter of 2011, and 178 in the third quarter of 2011.

^{**}Some HAMP modifications, like other modifications, may increase the borrowers' monthly principal and interest payments when loans with a previous interest-only or partial payment are modified to amortize the loans over their remaining terms, or when adjustable rate mortgages are reset to higher rates and payments but at lower rates than otherwise contractually required. While the principal and interest portion of the payment might increase, the total payment will reflect a housing expense ratio of 31 percent as specified by HAMP.

Average Change in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter

Modifications made during the third quarter of 2011 reduced monthly principal and interest payments by 24.4 percent on average, or \$382 (see table 24). HAMP modifications made during the quarter reduced payments by 35.1 percent on average, or \$567. Other modifications reduced borrower monthly payments by 17.5 percent on average, or \$262 during the third quarter.

Table 24. Average Change in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter* All Modifications							
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Decreased by 20% or More	(629)	(610)	(634)	(667)	(646)	-3.2%	2.7%
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	(188)	(186)	(184)	(187)	(192)	2.5%	2.2%
Decreased by Less Than 10%	(59)	(69)	(55)	(60)	(64)	6.8%	8.7%
Unchanged	0	0	0	0	0		
Increased**	132	134	122	106	128	21.1%	-2.9%
Overall	(373)	(379)	(334)	(393)	(382)	-2.8%	2.5%
Percentage Change	-24.6%	-25.5%	-21.6%	-25.1%	-24.4%		
		(Other Modifica	ations			
Decreased by 20% or More	(576)	(543)	(566)	(592)	(576)	-2.6%	0.0%
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	(181)	(178)	(171)	(170)	(181)	6.6%	-0.3%
Decreased by Less Than 10%	(55)	(67)	(50)	(55)	(61)	10.7%	9.8%
Unchanged	0	0	0	0	0		
Increased**	131	128	120	103	126	22.8%	-3.6%
Overall	(302)	(302)	(219)	(231)	(262)	13.4%	-13.0%
Percentage Change	-20.9%	-21.6%	-15.1%	-15.6%	-17.5%		
		H	IAMP Modifica	ations			
Decreased by 20% or More	(724)	(725)	(693)	(704)	(702)	-0.4%	-3.1%
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	(217)	(223)	(222)	(219)	(219)	0.1%	1.2%
Decreased by Less Than 10%	(82)	(82)	(83)	(79)	(77)	-1.8%	-6.0%
Unchanged	0	0	0	0	0		
Increased**	149	213	164	158	158	-0.4%	
Overall	(584)	(588)	(562)	(577)	(567)	-1.8%	-2.9%
Percentage Change	-35.6%	-35.9%	-34.6%	-35.9%	-35.1%		

^{*}Parentheses indicate that, on average, borrowers' monthly payments decreased by the amount enclosed within the parentheses.

^{**}Some modifications may increase the borrowers' monthly principal and interest payments when past-due interest, advances for taxes or insurance and other fees are added to loan balances. The monthly payments may also increase when loans with a previous interest-only or partial payment are modified to amortize the loans over their remaining terms.

B. Modified Loan Performance

Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 60 or More Days Delinquent

More recent modifications have generally performed better than earlier modifications, reflecting the ongoing emphasis on lower monthly payments and payment sustainability (see table 25). Modifications implemented during the second quarter of 2011 re-defaulted at a lower rate than any previous quarter when measured at three months subsequent to modification. After six months, modifications implemented during the first quarter of 2011 re-defaulted at a slightly higher rate than modifications implemented during either of the previous two quarters; a lesser percentage of first quarter 2011 modifications lowered monthly payments than did modifications in earlier quarters.

	Table 25. Modified Loans 60 or More Days Delinquent						
Modification Date*	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification			
Second Quarter 2010	10.7%	19.7%	23.9%	25.7%			
Third Quarter 2010	9.1%	15.7%	19.2%	23.1%			
Fourth Quarter 2010	8.5%	14.3%	20.5%				
First Quarter 2011	9.0%	17.0%					
Second Quarter 2011	7.8%						

^{*}All re-default data are based on modified loans that remain in effect at the specified amount of time after the modification. All loans that have been repaid in full, been refinanced, been sold, or completed the foreclosure process are removed from the calculation. Data include only modifications that have had time to age the indicated number of months.




Figure 11. Modified Loans 60 or More Days Delinquent

^{*}The second quarter 2011 data is a single point (7.8 percent), and is obscured by the beginning of the trend line for the fourth quarter of 2010.

Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 30 or More Days Delinquent

Re-default rates measured at 30 or more days delinquent provide an early indicator of mortgages that may need additional attention to prevent more serious delinquency or foreclosure. More recent modifications generally showed lower re-default rates than previous modifications as a result of the increased emphasis on lower monthly payments and payment sustainability. At three months after modification, modifications implemented during the second quarter of 2011 re-defaulted at a lower rate than modifications implemented during previous quarters. At six months, however, modifications implemented during the first quarter of 2011 defaulted at a higher rate than modifications implemented in the third and fourth quarters of 2010, as fewer first quarter 2011 modifications lowered monthly payments than modifications made in earlier quarters (see table 26).

Tal	Table 26. Modified Loans 30 or More Days Delinquent						
Modification Date	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification			
Second Quarter 2010	23.5%	33.3%	35.3%	37.0%			
Third Quarter 2010	20.7%	26.3%	29.7%	33.7%			
Fourth Quarter 2010	19.2%	25.8%	31.9%				
First Quarter 2011	18.7%	28.2%					
Second Quarter 2011	18.1%						

^{*}Data include only modifications that have had time to age the indicated number of months.




Figure 12. Modified Loans 30 or More Days Delinquent

^{*}The second quarter 2011 data is a single point (18.1 percent), and is obscured by the beginning of the trend line for the first quarter of 2011.

Re-Default Rates of Modified Loans: 90 or More Days Delinquent

The percentage of modified mortgages that were 90 or more days delinquent after modification was naturally lower than shorter-term delinquency measures. As with other measures of modification sustainability, more recent modifications tended to outperform previous vintages of loan modifications at three months after modification (see table 27).

Table 27. Modified Loans 90 or More Days Delinquent*						
Modification Date	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification		
Second Quarter 2010	5.0%	12.9%	18.0%	20.0%		
Third Quarter 2010	4.3%	10.5%	13.9%	17.7%		
Fourth Quarter 2010	4.2%	9.2%	14.7%			
First Quarter 2011	5.1%	11.4%				
Second Quarter 2011	3.5%					

^{*}Data include only modifications that have had time to age the indicated number of months.




Figure 13. Modified Loans 90 or More Days Delinquent

^{*}The second quarter 2011 data is a single point (7.8 percent), and is obscured by the beginning of the trend line for the fourth quarter of 2010.

Re-Default Rate, by Investor (60 or More Days Delinquent)

Modifications on mortgages held in the servicers' own portfolios or serviced for the GSEs—Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac—performed better than modifications on mortgages serviced for others. These lower re-default rates for portfolio and GSE mortgages may reflect differences in modification programs and, for portfolio mortgages, additional flexibility to modify terms for greater sustainability. Re-default rates for government-guaranteed mortgages and loans serviced for private investors were highest over time, reflecting the higher risk associated with those mortgages. Consistent with trends shown elsewhere, recent vintages of modifications generally performed better than earlier modifications, reflecting the emphasis of modifications that significantly reduce borrower monthly payments.

Table 28. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 2008						
	(60 or N	More Days Delinquent)				
Investor Loan Type	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification		
Fannie Mae	30.2%	44.9%	54.1%	59.5%		
Freddie Mac	22.7%	40.0%	51.2%	57.5%		
Government-Guaranteed	32.5%	53.6%	63.7%	67.8%		
Private	36.8%	49.1%	56.1%	61.2%		
Portfolio Loans	16.2%	27.9%	35.0%	40.0%		
Overall	31.7%	45.4%	53.2%	58.2%		

Table 29. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 2009						
	(60 or N	More Days Delinquent)				
Investor Loan Type	3 Months After Modification	6 Months after Modification	9 Months after Modification	12 Months After Modification		
Fannie Mae	17.9%	31.3%	37.8%	41.1%		
Freddie Mac	28.2%	36.5%	41.5%	43.9%		
Government-Guaranteed	23.4%	42.2%	51.7%	55.5%		
Private	28.0%	40.8%	48.8%	52.5%		
Portfolio Loans	7.1%	15.3%	21.0%	24.6%		
Overall	19.9%	32.2%	39.4%	43.0%		

Table 30. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 2010						
	(60 or N	fore Days Delinquent)*				
Investor Loan Type	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification		
Fannie Mae	9.7%	14.4%	18.2%	20.7%		
Freddie Mac	7.4%	12.3%	15.6%	17.5%		
Government-Guaranteed	12.4%	27.3%	36.0%	41.1%		
Private	12.2%	19.9%	25.0%	29.0%		
Portfolio Loans	6.6%	11.8%	15.7%	18.4%		
Overall	10.0%	17.4%	22.4%	25.5%		

^{*}Data include all modifications implemented during 2010 that have aged the indicated number of months.

Table 31. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 2011						
	(60 or N	Nore Days Delinquent)*				
Investor Loan Type	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification		
Fannie Mae	7.7%	13.6%				
Freddie Mac	5.8%	11.0%				
Government-Guaranteed	11.1%	26.7%				
Private	11.0%	20.3%				
Portfolio Loans	4.4%	8.6%				
Overall	8.4%	17.0%				

^{*}Data include all modifications implemented during 2011 that have aged the indicated number of months.

Performance of HAMP Modifications Compared With Other Modifications

HAMP modifications have performed better than other modifications implemented during the same periods. These lower post-modification delinquency rates reflect HAMP's emphasis on the affordability of monthly payments relative to the borrower's income, verification of income, and completion of a successful trial payment period (see table 32).

Table 32. Perfor	Table 32. Performance of HAMP Modifications Compared With Other Modifications										
(60 or More Days Delinquent)*											
	Number of Modifications	3 Months After Modification	6 Months After Modification	9 Months After Modification	12 Months After Modification						
HAMP Second Quarter 2010	108,155	8.3%	13.3%	15.9%	17.3%						
Other Second Quarter 2010	158,896	12.3%	24.0%	29.2%	31.4%						
HAMP Third Quarter 2010	58,856	7.5%	11.5%	13.5%	16.5%						
Other Third Quarter 2010	174,862	9.7%	17.1%	21.1%	25.4%						
HAMP Fourth Quarter 2010	56,340	9.0%	11.2%	14.7%							
Other Fourth Quarter 2010	152,375	8.3%	15.5%	22.7%							
HAMP First Quarter 2011	53,250	5.8%	9.9%								
Other First Quarter 2011	106,512	10.7%	20.7%								
HAMP Second Quarter 2011	70,071	5.4%									
Other Second Quarter 2011	80,185	10.0%									

^{*}Data include all modifications that have had time to age the indicated number of months.

C. Modified Loan Performance, by Change in Monthly Payments

Modifications that reduce borrowers' monthly payments consistently show re-default rates lower than other modifications, and the larger the reduction in monthly payment, the lower the subsequent re-default rates. Lower recent re-default rates may also result from the increased emphasis of HAMP and other modification programs on lowering monthly payments relative to the borrower's income and ability to repay, as well as verification of income and completion of a successful trial period.

For servicers and investors, determining the optimal type of modification often requires weighing the reduction in cash flow from loan terms that reduce monthly principal and interest payments, along with the possible costs of delaying foreclosure, against the potential for longer-term sustainability of the payments and ultimate repayment of the mortgage.

Re-Default Rates of Loans by Change in Payment

The following tables present re-default rates, measured as 60 or more days delinquent, for modifications made since January 1, 2008. Data show re-default rates decreased as reduction in monthly principal and interest payments increased. Re-default rates were lower for modifications made in 2009 than for modifications made in 2008. Re-default rates for modifications made in 2010 were lower than those made in the previous two years. Continuing this trend, modifications implemented during 2011 with greater payment reductions performed better than other modifications, and 2011 modifications performed better after three months than modifications from previous years, reflecting the continued emphasis on modifications with lower monthly payments.

Table 33. Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2008 by Change in Payment									
(60 or More Days Delinquent)*									
3 Months After 6 Months After 9 Months After 12 Months After Modification Modification Modification									
Decreased by 20% or More	15.8%	26.0%	33.3%	39.5%					
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	20.9%	33.1%	41.5%	48.2%					
Decreased by Less Than 10%	24.0%	40.7%	50.2%	55.9%					
Unchanged	47.4%	56.8%	62.5%	65.9%					
Increased	35.4%	54.7%	63.8%	69.0%					
Total	31.6%	45.2%	53.0%	58.1%					

Table 34. Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2009 by Change in Payment									
(60 or More Days Delinquent)*									
3 Months After 6 Months After 9 Months After 12 Months After Modification Modification Modification									
Decreased by 20% or More	11.0%	19.1%	25.1%	28.4%					
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	15.8%	29.2%	37.3%	41.7%					
Decreased by Less Than 10%	17.7%	33.9%	42.6%	46.7%					
Unchanged	42.3%	50.1%	55.1%	57.4%					
Increased	26.4%	46.4%	55.9%	59.7%					
Total	19.8%	32.2%	39.4%	43.0%					

Table 35. Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2010 by Change in Payment									
(60 or More Days Delinquent)*									
3 Months After 6 Months After 9 Months After 12 Months after Modification Modification Modification									
Decreased by 20% or More	7.3%	11.5%	15.0%	17.4%					
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	10.0%	19.8%	26.3%	30.1%					
Decreased by Less Than 10%	13.5%	26.2%	33.5%	37.3%					
Unchanged	17.5%	20.9%	23.8%	25.1%					
Increased	18.3%	32.9%	40.4%	45.1%					
Total	10.0%	17.4%	22.4%	25.5%					

^{*}Data include all modifications implemented during 2010 that have aged the indicated number of months.

Table 36. Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2011 by Change in Payment (60 or More Days Delinquent)*									
3 Months After 6 Months After 9 Months After 12 Months afte Modification Modification Modification									
Decreased by 20% or More	5.9%	11.1%							
Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	8.2%	18.3%							
Decreased by Less Than 10%	10.3%	21.6%							
Unchanged	9.4%	13.6%							
Increased	18.6%	35.4%							
Total	8.4%	17.0%							

^{*}Data include all modifications implemented during 2011 that have aged the indicated number of months.

60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment

Modifications that significantly reduce monthly principal and interest payments consistently performed better than other modifications. Modifications with the greatest decrease in monthly payments consistently had the lowest re-default rates (see table 37). Modifications that result in no change to the borrowers' monthly payments generally have performed better than all but the modifications with the greatest reduction in payment. Modifications with no change in payment tend to be offered to borrowers with adjustable rate mortgages who are current on their loan payments, which freezes the loan interest rate and payment so that it does not adjust higher.

Table 37. 60+ Delii	Table 37. 60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment										
	Decreased by 20% or More	Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	Decreased by Less Than 10%	Unchanged	Increased	Overall					
First Quarter 2010	11.9%	21.3%	28.6%	33.4%	37.8%	19.2%					
Second Quarter 2010	13.1%	22.5%	30.3%	25.0%	35.4%	19.7%					
Third Quarter 2010	10.6%	18.7%	22.2%	10.5%	30.4%	15.6%					
Fourth Quarter 2010	9.9%	16.3%	22.2%	18.5%	25.8%	14.3%					
First Quarter 2011	11.1%	18.3%	21.6%	13.6%	35.4%	17.0%					
Overall	11.4%	19.6%	25.3%	19.7%	33.3%	17.3%					

40% 30% 20% 10% 0% Decreased by Decreased by Decreased by Unchanged Increased Overall 20% or More 10% to Less Less Than 10% Than 20% ■ First Quarter 2010 ■ Second Quarter 2010 ■ Third Quarter 2010 ■ Fourth Quarter 2010 First Quarter 2011 ■ Overall

Figure 14. 60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment

Status of Mortgages Modified in 2008–2011 Through the Second Quarter of 2011

Servicers implemented 2,258,026 modifications from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011. Of these modifications, 49.5 percent were current and performing at the end of the third quarter of 2011 with another 1.3 percent paid off. Almost 27 percent of these modifications were delinquent, while 16.8 percent were in process of foreclosure or had completed the foreclosure process. HAMP modifications implemented since the third quarter of 2009 have performed better than other modifications. Modifications that reduced borrowers' monthly payments by 10 percent or more performed significantly better than other modifications. Of the 1,321,217 modifications that reduced payments by 10 percent or more, 58.8 percent were current and performing at the end of the third quarter, compared with 36.4 percent of modifications that reduced payments less than 10 percent (see table 38). Modifications of mortgages held in the servicers' portfolios and those serviced for GSEs performed better than modifications of mortgages serviced for other investors (see tables 28 through 31).

		Table 38.	Status of N	Mortgages Mo	odified in 2008	3–2011		
	Total	Current	30–59 Days Delinquent	Seriously Delinquent	Foreclosures in Process	Completed Foreclosures	Paid Off	No Longer in the Portfolio*
2008	421,322	25.0%	6.4%	20.0%	17.8%	15.4%	3.2%	12.2%
2009	587,460	39.6%	8.3%	20.8%	14.6%	7.7%	1.7%	7.3%
2010	939,226	58.6%	9.7%	16.6%	8.4%	2.2%	0.5%	4.0%
2011**	310,018	74.0%	10.3%	12.6%	2.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Total	2,258,026	49.5%	8.8%	17.8%	11.0%	5.8%	1.3%	5.9%
	HAI	MP Modifica	ation Performa	ance Compared	With Other Mod	lifications***		
Other Modifications	1,035,623	55.1%	10.4%	18.9%	8.8%	2.9%	0.7%	3.2%
HAMP Modifications	469,535	70.2%	8.1%	10.8%	5.3%	1.3%	0.3%	3.9%
		Modification	ons That Redu	uced Payments	by 10 Percent o	r More		
Modifications That Reduced Payments by 10% or More	1,321,217	58.8%	8.8%	14.4%	8.2%	3.3%	0.8%	5.7%
		Modification	ns That Reduc	ced Payments b	y Less Than 10	Percent		
Modifications That Reduced Payments by Less Than 10%	936,809	36.4%	8.9%	22.5%	14.8%	9.4%	2.0%	6.1%

^{*}Processing constraints prevented some servicers from reporting the reason for removal from the portfolio.

^{**}Includes modifications implemented during 2011 in effect at least three months.

^{***}Modifications used to compare with HAMP modifications only include modifications implemented from the third quarter of 2009 through the second quarter of 2011.

<u>Part III: Home Forfeiture Actions—Foreclosures, Short Sales, and Deed-in-Lieu-of-Foreclosure Actions</u>

Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions

Home forfeiture actions—foreclosure sales, short sales, and deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions—totaled 172,785 during the third quarter of 2011, a decrease of 4.1 percent from the previous quarter and a 30.1 percent decrease from a year earlier (see table 39). Completed foreclosures decreased to 112,686—down 7.0 percent from the previous quarter but up 18.5 percent from the fourth quarter 2010, a period of foreclosure moratoria. Short sales increased 1.9 percent during the third quarter and now make up more than 33 percent of all home forfeiture actions. Deed-in-lieu-of-foreclosure actions, while increased, remained a small portion of total home forfeiture actions.

Table 39. Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change			
Completed Foreclosures	189,285	95,070	119,739	121,209	112,686	-7.0%	-40.5%			
New Short Sales	56,270	49,061	50,108	56,406	57,479	1.9%	2.1%			
New Deed-in-Lieu-of- Foreclosure Actions	1,729	2,085	1,700	2,547	2,620	2.9%	51.5%			
Total	247,284	146,216	171,547	180,162	172,785	-4.1%	-30.1%			

Newly Initiated Foreclosures

Servicers initiate foreclosure actions at defined stages of loan delinquency. However, final foreclosure sales only proceed if servicers and borrowers cannot arrange a permanent loss mitigation action, modification, or alternate workout solution. Newly initiated foreclosures increased by 21.1 percent to 347,726 during the third quarter of 2011 (see table 40).

	Table 40. Number of Newly Initiated Foreclosures										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change				
Prime	189,959	174,534	144,742	136,119	158,632	16.5%	-16.5%				
Alt-A	74,880	67,149	58,474	52,064	64,215	23.3%	-14.2%				
Subprime	73,129	68,415	62,459	58,229	78,852	35.4%	7.8%				
Other	56,388	45,847	46,560	40,750	46,027	12.9%	-18.4%				
Total	394,356	355,945	312,235	287,162	347,726	21.1%	-11.8%				

200,000 150,000 50,000 Prime Alt-A Subprime Other 9/30/10 12/31/10 3/31/11 6/30/11 9/30/11

Figure 15. Number of Newly Initiated Foreclosures

Foreclosures in Process

The number of mortgages in process of foreclosure increased 0.5 percent from the previous quarter to 1,327,077 as the number of new foreclosure actions exceeded the number of foreclosure sales during the quarter. While the number of foreclosures in process increased during the third quarter, foreclosures in process as a percentage of total serviced mortgages remained relatively stable for the fourth consecutive quarter at 4.1 percent (see table 41).

	Table 41. Foreclosures in Process									
Pe	Percentage of Foreclosures in Process Relative to Mortgages in That Risk Category									
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change			
Prime	2.6%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.7%	-0.8%	2.1%			
Alt-A	6.4%	6.9%	7.0%	6.8%	6.9%	1.2%	7.9%			
Subprime	9.2%	10.0%	10.4%	11.3%	12.0%	6.1%	30.4%			
Other	4.0%	4.4%	4.5%	4.7%	5.0%	5.2%	24.4%			
Total	3.7%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.1%	1.6%	10.6%			
		Num	ber of Foreclos	ures in Proce	SS					
Prime	601,365	642,791	632,578	616,238	607,532	-1.4%	1.0%			
Alt-A	230,579	244,896	244,588	241,010	242,376	0.6%	5.1%			
Subprime	234,184	247,047	251,201	279,636	290,556	3.9%	24.1%			
Other	167,589	177,728	180,390	183,103	186,613	1.9%	11.4%			
Total	1,233,717	1,312,462	1,308,757	1,319,987	1,327,077	0.5%	7.6%			

800,000 400,000 Prime Alt-A Subprime Other 9/30/10 12/31/10 3/31/11 6/30/11 9/30/11

Figure 16. Number of Foreclosures in Process

Completed Foreclosures

The number of completed foreclosures decreased to 112,686 during the third quarter of 2011—down 7.0 percent from the previous quarter and 40.5 percent from the same period a year earlier (see table 42).

	Table 42. Completed Foreclosures									
Percentage of Completed Foreclosures Relative to Mortgages in That Risk Category										
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change			
Prime	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	-10.7%	-38.4%			
Alt-A	1.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	-5.6%	-38.0%			
Subprime	1.2%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	2.7%	-34.6%			
Other	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	5.7%	-44.7%			
Total	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	-6.1%	-38.8%			
		Nu	mber of Compl	eted Foreclosu	res					
Prime	98,211	47,224	65,889	67,451	59,878	-11.2%	-39.0%			
Alt-A	34,284	17,158	21,033	22,066	20,705	-6.2%	-39.6%			
Subprime	31,313	17,200	18,644	19,364	19,486	0.6%	-37.8%			
Other	25,477	13,488	14,173	12,328	12,617	2.3%	-50.5%			
Total	189,285	95,070	119,739	121,209	112,686	-7.0%	-40.5%			

100,000
75,000
25,000
Prime Alt-A Subprime Other

■ 9/30/10
■ 12/31/10
■ 3/31/11
■ 6/30/11
■ 9/30/11


Figure 17. Number of Completed Foreclosures

New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Risk Category

Home retention actions relative to home forfeitures increased during the third quarter of 2011, reflecting a 0.6 percent increase in new home retention actions and a decrease in completed foreclosures and other foreclosure actions. New home retention actions continued to significantly exceed home forfeitures as servicers initiated 2.7 times as many home retention actions as home forfeiture actions during the quarter (see table 43).

Table 43.	Table 43. Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Risk Category									
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change			
Prime	136.2%	230.6%	231.8%	175.2%	191.2%	9.1%	40.4%			
Alt-A	223.6%	405.6%	406.3%	313.4%	332.0%	5.9%	48.4%			
Subprime	312.4%	519.8%	556.8%	423.5%	400.4%	-5.4%	28.1%			
Other	239.5%	403.8%	411.2%	371.4%	375.8%	1.2%	56.9%			
Overall	190.2%	324.7%	324.9%	253.1%	265.6%	4.9%	39.7%			

Figure 18. Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Risk Category



Appendixes

Appendix A—New Loan Modifications

New loan modifications decreased for the fourth consecutive quarter to 137,539 during the third quarter of 2011—down 8.5 percent from the previous quarter and 41.2 percent from a year earlier (see table 44). New modifications decreased across all risk categories during the quarter.

	Table 44. Number of New Loan Modifications											
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change					
Prime	103,362	89,499	68,114	63,399	58,858	-7.2%	-43.1%					
Alt-A	45,768	42,654	32,352	31,190	28,169	-9.7%	-38.5%					
Subprime	55,201	51,305	39,920	39,569	35,177	-11.1%	-36.3%					
Other	29,387	25,257	19,376	16,098	15,335	-4.7%	-47.8%					
Total	233,718	208,715	159,762	150,256	137,539	-8.5%	-41.2%					

120,000 90,000 30,000 Prime Alt-A Subprime Other 12/31/10 3/31/11 6/30/11 9/30/11

Figure 19. Number of New Loan Modifications

Appendix B—New Trial-Period Plans

Servicers initiated 156,801 trial-period plans during the third quarter of 2011, a 3.8 percent decrease from the previous quarter (see table 45). This was the second consecutive quarterly decrease in new trial-period plans. New trial-period plans decreased across all risk categories except for prime during the quarter.

	Table 45. Number of New Trial Period Plans											
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change					
Prime	46,045	58,751	115,742	80,012	82,135	2.7%	78.4%					
Alt-A	22,940	26,823	48,528	32,771	31,825	-2.9%	38.7%					
Subprime	30,271	32,095	55,455	37,275	33,223	-10.9%	9.8%					
Other	17,721	16,387	19,023	13,018	9,618	-26.1%	-45.7%					
Total	116,977	134,056	238,748	163,076	156,801	-3.8%	34.0%					

120,000 80,000 40,000 Prime Alt-A Subprime Other 9/30/10 12/31/10 3/31/11 6/30/11 9/30/11

Figure 20. Number of New Trial-Period Plans

Appendix C—New Payment Plans

New payment plans increased by 15.3 percent to 164,559 during the third quarter of 2011 (see table 46). New payment plans increased across all risk categories during the quarter.

		Table 4	6. Number c	of New Payn	nent Plans		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Prime	37,943	40,555	50,401	43,356	49,639	14.5%	30.8%
Alt-A	25,412	28,745	33,881	30,957	36,758	18.7%	44.6%
Subprime	29,430	31,682	36,632	33,544	37,058	10.5%	25.9%
Other	26,804	31,006	37,907	34,821	41,104	18.0%	53.4%
Total	119,589	131,988	158,821	142,678	164,559	15.3%	37.6%

60,000 40,000 20,000 10,000 Prime Alt-A Subprime Other 12/31/10 3/31/11 = 6/30/11 = 9/30/11

Figure 21. Number of New Payment Plans

Appendix D—Breakdown of Individual and Combination Modification Actions

Servicers generally use a combination of actions to achieve payment sustainability when modifying a mortgage. Servicers changed more than one loan term in 94.4 percent of all modifications implemented during the third quarter of 2011 (see table 47).

Table 47. C	changes in ¹	Terms for Mo				d Quarter of	2011
	9/30/10	12/31/10	of Modification 3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Combination*	90.3%	92.1%	88.2%	94.2%	94.4%	0.2%	4.5%
Capitalization	3.4%	3.9%	3.6%	1.6%	2.5%	62.9%	-25.8%
Rate Reduction	1.2%	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%	1.2%	-6.7%	3.7%
Rate Freeze	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	58.0%	162.5%
Term Extension	2.1%	0.5%	2.9%	0.9%	0.4%	-58.8%	-83.6%
Principal Reduction	1.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	380.7%	-99.1%
Principal Deferral	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-50.2%	-81.0%
Not Reported**	0.7%	1.1%	2.9%	1.7%	1.0%	-43.0%	38.2%
		(Number	of Changes in	Each Catego	ry)	•	
Combination*	211,162	192,141	140,923	141,557	129,892	-8.2%	-38.5%
Capitalization	7,987	8,210	5,716	2,339	3,487	49.1%	-56.3%
Rate Reduction	2,755	3,679	2,709	1,970	1,682	-14.6%	-38.9%
Rate Freeze	367	496	660	392	567	44.6%	54.5%
Term Extension	4,993	1,000	4,690	1,278	482	-62.3%	-90.3%
Principal Reduction	4,245	194	3	5	22	340.0%	-99.5%
Principal Deferral	555	622	363	136	62	-54.4%	-88.8%
Not Reported**	1,654	2,373	4,698	2,579	1,345	-47.8%	-18.7%
All Modifications	233,718	208,715	159,762	150,256	137,539	-8.5%	-41.2%

^{*}Combination modifications result in a change to two or more loan terms. All other modification types detailed in this table involve only the individual listed action.

^{**}Processing constraints at some servicers prevented them from reporting specific modified term(s).

Changes in Terms for Combination Modification Actions

Of the 137,539 modifications implemented in the third quarter of 2011, 129,892 (94.4 percent) were combination modifications that changed more than one of original terms of the loan. Table 48 details the specific actions included in these modifications. Of the 129,892 combination modifications implemented during the third quarter of 2011, 91.0 percent included capitalization of missed fees and payments, 80.8 percent included interest rate reduction, and 60.9 percent included an extension of the loan maturity. Principal deferral was included in 21.6 percent of the combination modifications implemented during the quarter and principal reduction was part of 8.2 percent of third-quarter modifications. Because combination modifications changed more than one term, the sum of the individual actions exceeded 100 percent of total combination modifications.

Table 48. Chang	es in Terms	s for Combi	nation Modi	fications Th	rough the T	hird Quarte	r of 2011
	(Percentage of	f Modifications	in Each Cate	egory)		
	9/30/10	12/31/10	3/31/11	6/30/11	9/30/11	1Q %Change	1Y %Change
Capitalization	93.2%	95.2%	94.5%	94.7%	91.0%	-3.9%	-2.3%
Rate Reduction	94.4%	89.5%	91.7%	83.0%	80.8%	-2.6%	-14.4%
Rate Freeze	1.9%	2.4%	1.8%	2.0%	4.4%	122.9%	128.5%
Term Extension	61.4%	60.4%	62.5%	64.0%	60.9%	-4.9%	-0.9%
Principal Reduction	4.3%	2.9%	3.1%	6.1%	8.2%	35.0%	91.3%
Principal Deferral	10.9%	9.5%	12.5%	19.7%	21.6%	9.8%	97.4%
		(Total Numbe	r of Changes	in Each Cate	gory)		
Capitalization	196,737	182,922	133,134	134,059	118,175	-11.8%	-39.9%
Rate Reduction	199,302	172,000	129,254	117,452	104,969	-10.6%	-47.3%
Rate Freeze	4,098	4,530	2,482	2,817	5,761	104.5%	40.6%
Term Extension	129,652	116,058	88,086	90,602	79,054	-12.7%	-39.0%
Principal Reduction	9,095	5,502	4,423	8,640	10,700	23.8%	17.6%
Principal Deferral	23,122	18,214	17,595	27,853	28,071	0.8%	21.4%

Appendix E—Mortgage Modification Data by State

The following tables present certain mortgage modification data by state, the District of Columbia, and U.S. territories (included in the category labeled "Other"). Developed over several quarters, this data fulfills reporting requirements in the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Public Law 111-203).

Table 49 presents the number and percentage of HAMP modifications and other modifications in each state during the third quarter of 2011. Tables 50 and 51 present the number and percentage of each type of action included in modifications made during the quarter in each state. Tables 52 and 53 present the number and percentage of each type of action included in combination modifications made during the quarter in each state. Tables 54 and 55 present the number and percentage of modifications made during the quarter in each state by the amount of change in the borrowers' monthly principal and interest payments. Tables 56 and 57 present the number and percentage of modifications made in the first quarter of 2011 that were 60 or more days delinquent or in process of foreclosure at the end of the third quarter of 2011.

		Implemented in the				
	+	Modifications		Modifications		odifications
States	Total	% of State Total	Total	% of State Total	Total	% of Total
Total	53,941	39.2%	83,598	60.8%	137,539	100.0%
Alabama	278	21.9%	989	78.1%	1,267	0.9%
Alaska	21	23.3%	69	76.7%	90	0.1%
Arizona	1,772	43.2%	2,334	56.8%	4,106	3.0%
Arkansas	116	24.4%	359	75.6%	475	0.3%
California	15,599	52.7%	14,006	47.3%	29,605	21.5%
Colorado	740	36.2%	1,304	63.8%	2,044	1.5%
Connecticut	703	41.2%	1,002	58.8%	1,705	1.2%
Delaware	165	31.7%	356	68.3%	521	0.4%
District of Columbia	83	35.8%	149	64.2%	232	0.2%
Florida	6,094	44.5%	7,613	55.5%	13,707	10.0%
Georgia	1,896	30.6%	4,307	69.4%	6,203	4.5%
Hawaii	168	41.8%	234	58.2%	402	0.3%
Idaho	202	36.3%	355	63.7%	557	0.4%
Illinois	2,935	41.3%	4,175	58.7%	7,110	5.2%
Indiana	428	19.6%	1.756	80.4%	2,184	1.6%
lowa	135	22.6%	463	77.4%	598	0.4%
Kansas	136	25.5%	398	74.5%	534	0.4%
Kentucky	196	22.9%	659	77.1%	855	0.4%
Louisiana	295	24.9%	891	75.1%	1,186	0.0%
Maine	131	35.8%	235	64.2%	366	
						0.3%
Maryland	1,644	39.2%	2,548	60.8%	4,192	3.0%
Massachusetts	1,092	42.8%	1,458	57.2%	2,550	1.9%
Michigan	1,362	31.4%	2,973	68.6%	4,335	3.2%
Minnesota	748	36.5%	1,299	63.5%	2,047	1.5%
Mississippi	135	20.5%	522	79.5%	657	0.5%
Missouri	537	29.7%	1,273	70.3%	1,810	1.3%
Montana	61	29.2%	148	70.8%	209	0.2%
Nebraska	78	24.5%	240	75.5%	318	0.2%
Nevada	1,214	46.4%	1,402	53.6%	2,616	1.9%
New Hampshire	227	43.2%	298	56.8%	525	0.4%
New Jersey	1,971	41.7%	2,750	58.3%	4,721	3.4%
New Mexico	199	35.2%	367	64.8%	566	0.4%
New York	2,857	45.2%	3,458	54.8%	6,315	4.6%
North Carolina	977	26.4%	2,727	73.6%	3,704	2.7%
North Dakota	7	17.5%	33	82.5%	40	0.0%
Ohio	802	23.2%	2,653	76.8%	3,455	2.5%
Oklahoma	145	20.5%	563	79.5%	708	0.5%
Oregon	616	44.0%	784	56.0%	1,400	1.0%
Pennsylvania	1,071	30.3%	2,460	69.7%	3,531	2.6%
Rhode Island	249	45.6%	297	54.4%	546	0.4%
South Carolina	461	26.4%	1,285	73.6%	1,746	1.3%
South Dakota	8	10.4%	69	89.6%	77	0.1%
Tennessee	522	28.5%	1,307	71.5%	1,829	1.3%
Texas	1,494	22.3%	5,213	77.7%	6,707	4.9%
Utah	441	37.8%	725	62.2%	1,166	0.8%
Vermont	34	26.2%	96	73.8%	130	0.1%
	1,139	37.4%	1,907	62.6%	3,046	2.2%
Virginia						
Washington	1,196	42.0%	1,654	58.0%	2,850	2.1%
West Virginia	50	18.3%	223	81.7%	273	0.2%
Wisconsin	470	30.6%	1,064	69.4%	1,534	1.1%
Wyoming	20	22.5%	69	77.5%	89	0.1%

				ortgage Mo		Actions		
		Implen Rate		ne Third Quar				
States	Capitalization	Reduction or Freeze	Term Extension	Principal Reductions	Principal Deferral	Combination	Not Reported	Total Modifications
Total	3,487	2,249	482	22	62	129,892	1,345	137,539
Alabama	46	18	24	0	0	1,172	7	1,267
Alaska	5	2	0	0	0	83	0	90
Arizona	72	62	7	1	1	3,932	31	4,106
Arkansas	12	10	0	0	0	447	6	475
California	441	380	32	9	21	28,383	339	29,605
Colorado	47	33	5	0	3	1,948	8	2,044
Connecticut	58	24	3	0	0	1,597	23	1,705
Delaware	11	11	3	0	0	493	3	521
District of Columbia	6	3	0	1	0	219	3	232
Florida	251	256	44	4	7	12,970	175	13,707
Georgia	175	100	40	1	0	5,828	59	6,203
Hawaii	9	4	1	0	0	385	3	402
Idaho	12	7	4	0	0	529	5	557
Illinois	147	124	13	2	2	6,758	64	7,110
Indiana	72	58	11	0	0	2,021	22	2,184
lowa	28	8	5	0	0	553	4	598
Kansas	15	8	1	0	0	505	5	534
Kentucky	30	11	6	0	1	803	4	855
Louisiana	31	18	8	0	0	1,116	13	1,186
Maine	18	5	1	0	0	338	4	366
Maryland	108	63	13	0	3	3,950	55	4,192
Massachusetts	81	31	3	0	2		20	
Michigan	123	172	16	0	0	2,413 3,990	34	2,550 4,335
Minnesota	52	39	5	1	1	1,932	17	2,047
Mississippi	26	10	7	0	0	610	4	657
Missouri	60	38	15	0	0	1,686	11	1,810
Montana	1	1 -	1	0	0	205	1	209
Nebraska	12	7	1	0	1	295	2	318
Nevada	38	29	2	3	1	2,514	29	2,616
New Hampshire	17	11	5	0	0	489	3	525
New Jersey	120	48	8	0	4	4,492	49	4,721
New Mexico	26	13	3	0	0	524	0	566
New York	303	82	8	0	6	5,821	95	6,315
North Carolina	130	44	42	0	0	3,457	31	3,704
North Dakota	1	2	2	0	0	35	0	40
Ohio	116	87	24	0	0	3,195	33	3,455
Oklahoma	27	7	2	0	0	665	7	708
Oregon	30	27	2	0	0	1,335	6	1,400
Pennsylvania	137	77	17	0	1	3,270	29	3,531
Rhode Island	20	5	0	0	0	516	5	546
South Carolina	52	30	19	0	2	1,631	12	1,746
South Dakota	6	2	0	0	0	66	3	77
Tennessee	53	38	13	0	0	1,713	12	1,829
Texas	236	82	14	0	2	6,338	35	6,707
Utah	25	8	3	0	0	1,125	5	1,166
Vermont	4	4	8	0	0	113	1	130
Virginia	88	65	16	0	3	2,853	21	3,046
Washington	61	37	8	0	0	2,716	28	2,850
West Virginia	15	11	3	0	0	241	3	273
Wisconsin	30	35	12	0	1	1,444	12	1,534
Wyoming	3	1	2	0	0	83	0	89
Other	0	1	0	0	0	95	4	100

	Table	e 51. Percer				n Actions		
		Implem Rate		Third Quarte				
States	Capitalization	Reduction or Freeze	Term Extension	Principal Reduction	Principal Deferral	Combination	Not Reported	Total Modifications
Total	2.5%	1.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	94.4%	1.0%	137,539
Alabama	3.6%	1.4%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	92.5%	0.6%	1,267
Alaska	5.6%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	92.2%	0.0%	90
Arizona	1.8%	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	95.8%	0.8%	4,106
Arkansas	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	94.1%	1.3%	475
California	1.5%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	95.9%	1.1%	29,605
Colorado	2.3%	1.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	95.3%	0.4%	2,044
Connecticut	3.4%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	93.7%	1.3%	1,705
Delaware	2.1%	2.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	94.6%	0.6%	521
District of Columbia	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	94.4%	1.3%	232
Florida	1.8%	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	94.6%	1.3%	13,707
Georgia	2.8%	1.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	94.0%	1.0%	6,203
Hawaii	2.2%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	95.8%	0.7%	402
Idaho	2.2%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	95.0%	0.9%	557
Illinois	2.1%	1.7%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	95.0%	0.9%	7,110
Indiana	3.3%	2.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	92.5%	1.0%	2,184
Iowa	4.7%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	92.5%	0.7%	598
Kansas	2.8%	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	94.6%	0.9%	534
Kentucky	3.5%	1.3%	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	93.9%	0.5%	855
Louisiana	2.6%	1.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	94.1%	1.1%	1,186
Maine	4.9%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	92.3%	1.1%	366
Maryland	2.6%	1.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	94.2%	1.3%	4,192
Massachusetts	3.2%	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	94.6%	0.8%	2,550
Michigan	2.8%	4.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	92.0%	0.8%	4,335
Minnesota	2.5%	1.9%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	94.4%	0.8%	2,047
Mississippi	4.0%	1.5%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	92.8%	0.6%	657
Missouri	3.3%	2.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	93.1%	0.6%	
Montana	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	98.1%	0.5%	1,810 209
Nebraska				0.0%				
	3.8%	2.2%	0.3%		0.3%	92.8%	0.6%	318
Nevada	1.5%	1.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	96.1%	1.1%	2,616
New Hampshire	3.2%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.1%	0.6%	525
New Jersey	2.5%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	95.1%	1.0%	4,721
New Mexico	4.6%	2.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	92.6%	0.0%	566
New York	4.8%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	92.2%	1.5%	6,315
North Carolina	3.5%	1.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	93.3%	0.8%	3,704
North Dakota	2.5%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	87.5%	0.0%	40
Ohio	3.4%	2.5%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	92.5%	1.0%	3,455
Oklahoma	3.8%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	93.9%	1.0%	708
Oregon	2.1%	1.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	95.4%	0.4%	1,400
Pennsylvania	3.9%	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	92.6%	0.8%	3,531
Rhode Island	3.7%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	94.5%	0.9%	546
South Carolina	3.0%	1.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.1%	93.4%	0.7%	1,746
South Dakota	7.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	3.9%	77
Tennessee	2.9%	2.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	93.7%	0.7%	1,829
Texas	3.5%	1.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	94.5%	0.5%	6,707
Utah	2.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	96.5%	0.4%	1,166
Vermont	3.1%	3.1%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	86.9%	0.8%	130
Virginia	2.9%	2.1%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	93.7%	0.7%	3,046
Washington	2.1%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	95.3%	1.0%	2,850
West Virginia	5.5%	4.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	88.3%	1.1%	273
Wisconsin	2.0%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%	94.1%	0.8%	1,534
Wyoming	3.4%	1.1%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	93.3%	0.0%	89

		Implemented in the	Third Quarte	er of 2011				
States	Capitalization	Rate Reduction or Freeze	Term Extension	Principal Reduction	Principal Deferral	Total Combination Modifications		
Total	118,175	110,570	79,054	10,700	28,071	129,892		
Alabama	1,043	1,022	748	43	91	1,172		
Alaska	83	73	57	0	5	83		
Arizona	3,635	3,182	2,268	397	1,084	3,932		
Arkansas	439	383	284	12	38	447		
California	24,664	23,876	15,951	4,035	9,743	28,383		
Colorado	1,783	1,736	1,221	94	244	1,948		
Connecticut	1,483	1,380	943	98	304	1,597		
Delaware	453	436	320	15	60	493		
District of Columbia	204	183	133	12	40	219		
Florida	11,458	10,451	7,649	1,671	4,020	12,970		
Georgia	5,494	5,132	3,650	286	905	5,828		
Hawaii	364	315	222	16	67	385		
Idaho	487	446	338	27	97	529		
Illinois	6,127	5,687	4,355	591	1,670	6,758		
Indiana	1,903	1.786	1.323	73	150	2,021		
lowa	523	,	371	12		553		
		488			23			
Kansas	476	451	322	11	34	505		
Kentucky	739	706	544	25	52	803		
Louisiana	1,075	1,003	702	31	80	1,116		
Maine	320	302	196	11	38	338		
Maryland	3,687	3,351	2,311	228	758	3,950		
Massachusetts	2,255	1,995	1,432	158	447	2,413		
Michigan	3,546	3,350	2,324	338	731	3,990		
Minnesota	1,784	1,613	1,224	103	349	1,932		
Mississippi	556	539	368	26	47	610		
Missouri	1,566	1,465	1,034	89	197	1,686		
Montana	189	164	132	14	27	205		
Nebraska	270	269	213	4	29	295		
Nevada	2,333	1,982	1,431	274	771	2,514		
New Hampshire	447	429	305	21	69	489		
New Jersey	4,206	3,820	2,922	300	1,006	4,492		
New Mexico	489	471	327	19	53	524		
New York	5,417	4,995	3,513	347	1,233	5,821		
North Carolina	3,119	3,100	2,369	83	294	3,457		
North Dakota	29	33	27	0	3	35		
Ohio	2,929	2,736	1,998	193	350	3,195		
Oklahoma	647	600	450	8	40	665		
Oregon	1,244	1,146	803	85	254	1,335		
Pennsylvania	3,047	2,823	2,110	117	376	3,270		
Rhode Island	472	420	316	39	152	516		
South Carolina	1,475	1,445	1,119	45	177	1,631		
South Dakota	66	1,445 58	49	0	2	66		
		1,496	1,026	77	153	1,713		
Tennessee Texas	1,606							
	6,107	5,807	4,260	212	360	6,338		
Utah	1,072	975	670	48	145	1,125		
Vermont	90	97	78	2	7	113		
Virginia	2,571	2,473	1,725	146	473	2,853		
Washington	2,492	2,289	1,718	193	560	2,716		
West Virginia	208	205	154	12	23	241		
Wisconsin	1,332	1,227	956	59	220	1,444		
Wyoming	77	74	60	0	7	83		
Other	94	85	33	0	13	95		

	5,0 00, 1 0,00	ntage of Modification Implemented in the				115
States	Capitalization	Rate Reduction or Freeze	Term Extension	Principal Reduction	Principal Deferral	Total Combinatio Modifications
Total	91.0%	85.1%	60.9%	8.2%	21.6%	129,892
Alabama	89.0%	87.2%	63.8%	3.7%	7.8%	1,172
Alaska	100.0%	88.0%	68.7%	0.0%	6.0%	83
Arizona	92.4%	80.9%	57.7%	10.1%	27.6%	3,932
Arkansas	98.2%	85.7%	63.5%	2.7%	8.5%	447
California	86.9%	84.1%	56.2%	14.2%	34.3%	28,383
Colorado	91.5%	89.1%	62.7%	4.8%	12.5%	1,948
Connecticut	92.9%	86.4%	59.0%	6.1%	19.0%	1,597
Delaware	91.9%	88.4%	64.9%	3.0%	12.2%	493
District of Columbia	93.2%	83.6%	60.7%	5.5%	18.3%	219
Florida	88.3%	80.6%	59.0%	12.9%	31.0%	12,970
Georgia	94.3%	88.1%	62.6%	4.9%	15.5%	5,828
Hawaii	94.5%	81.8%	57.7%	4.2%	17.4%	385
Idaho	92.1%	84.3%	63.9%	5.1%	18.3%	529
Illinois	90.7%	84.2%	64.4%	8.7%	24.7%	6,758
Indiana	94.2%	88.4%	65.5%	3.6%	7.4%	2,021
lowa	94.6%	88.2%	67.1%	2.2%	4.2%	553
Kansas	94.3%	89.3%	63.8%	2.2%	6.7%	505
Kentucky	92.0%	87.9%	67.7%	3.1%	6.5%	803
Louisiana	96.3%	89.9%	62.9%	2.8%	7.2%	
		89.3%				1,116
Maine	94.7%		58.0%	3.3%	11.2%	338
Maryland	93.3%	84.8%	58.5%	5.8%	19.2%	3,950
Massachusetts	93.5%	82.7%	59.3%	6.5%	18.5%	2,413
Michigan	88.9%	84.0%	58.2%	8.5%	18.3%	3,990
Minnesota	92.3%	83.5%	63.4%	5.3%	18.1%	1,932
Mississippi	91.1%	88.4%	60.3%	4.3%	7.7%	610
Missouri	92.9%	86.9%	61.3%	5.3%	11.7%	1,686
Montana	92.2%	80.0%	64.4%	6.8%	13.2%	205
Nebraska	91.5%	91.2%	72.2%	1.4%	9.8%	295
Nevada	92.8%	78.8%	56.9%	10.9%	30.7%	2,514
New Hampshire	91.4%	87.7%	62.4%	4.3%	14.1%	489
New Jersey	93.6%	85.0%	65.0%	6.7%	22.4%	4,492
New Mexico	93.3%	89.9%	62.4%	3.6%	10.1%	524
New York	93.1%	85.8%	60.4%	6.0%	21.2%	5,821
North Carolina	90.2%	89.7%	68.5%	2.4%	8.5%	3,457
North Dakota	82.9%	94.3%	77.1%	0.0%	8.6%	35
Ohio	91.7%	85.6%	62.5%	6.0%	11.0%	3,195
Oklahoma	97.3%	90.2%	67.7%	1.2%	6.0%	665
Oregon	93.2%	85.8%	60.1%	6.4%	19.0%	1,335
Pennsylvania	93.2%	86.3%	64.5%	3.6%	11.5%	3,270
Rhode Island	91.5%	81.4%	61.2%	7.6%	29.5%	516
South Carolina	90.4%	88.6%	68.6%	2.8%	10.9%	1,631
South Dakota	100.0%	87.9%	74.2%	0.0%	3.0%	66
Tennessee	93.8%	87.3%	59.9%	4.5%	8.9%	1,713
Texas	96.4%	91.6%	67.2%	3.3%	5.7%	6,338
Utah	95.3%	86.7%	59.6%	4.3%	12.9%	1,125
Vermont	79.6%	85.8%	69.0%	1.8%	6.2%	113
Virginia	90.1%	86.7%	60.5%	5.1%	16.6%	2,853
Washington	91.8%	84.3%	63.3%	7.1%	20.6%	2,716
West Virginia	86.3%	85.1%	63.9%	5.0%	9.5%	241
Wisconsin	92.2%	85.0%	66.2%	4.1%	15.2%	1,444
Wyoming	92.8%	89.2%	72.3%	0.0%	8.4%	83
Other	98.9%	89.5%	34.7%	0.0%	13.7%	95

Table !		es in Monthly Prin Modifications Implem				ite (Numb	er)
States	Decreased by 20% or More	Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	Decreased by Less Than 10%	Unchanged	Increased	Not Reported	Total Modifications
Total	73,353	25,055	23,971	3,335	11,202	623	137,539
Alabama	485	312	295	32	135	8	1,267
Alaska	30	17	25	1	16	1	90
Arizona	2,370	729	646	89	256	16	4,106
Arkansas	184	111	117	9	53	1	475
California	18,595	4,212	3,973	901	1,826	98	29,605
Colorado	895	470	453	55	167	4	2,044
Connecticut	928	311	276	34	148	8	1,705
Delaware	222	104	131	10	53	1	521
District of Columbia	99	40	58	4	29	2	232
Florida	8,609	2,046	1,774	427	786	65	13,707
Georgia	2,979	1,237	1,280	118	536	53	6,203
Hawaii	238	61	75	5	21	2	402
Idaho	292	101	120	5	38	1	557
Illinois	4,112	1,150	1,100	139	583	26	7,110
Indiana	844	569	481	43	235	12	2,184
lowa	247	143	124	9	71	4	598
Kansas	227	121	119	7	56	4	534
Kentucky	338	214	183	17	98	5	855
Louisiana	474	248	279	19	162	4	1,186
Maine	164	91	62	5	42	2	366
Maryland	2.060	801	846	81	376	28	4,192
Massachusetts	1,388	482	425	63	188	4	2,550
	2,166	837	739	210	352	31	4,335
Michigan		407	366	63	159	12	
Minnesota	1,040				84	1	2,047
Mississippi	285	122	143	22	-		657
Missouri	824	397	383	38	161	7	1,810
Montana	101	32	52	3	20	1	209
Nebraska	129	75	66	5	43	0	318
Nevada	1,632	406	368	57	144	9	2,616
New Hampshire	273	94	106	12	38	2	525
New Jersey	2,597	827	789	79	414	15	4,721
New Mexico	248	140	120	5	51	2	566
New York	3,703	1,076	956	116	434	30	6,315
North Carolina	1,594	811	822	72	381	24	3,704
North Dakota	13	7	10	2	8	0	40
Ohio	1,476	732	739	94	393	21	3,455
Oklahoma	249	173	170	14	98	4	708
Oregon	776	286	205	18	110	5	1,400
Pennsylvania	1,580	741	742	74	380	14	3,531
Rhode Island	327	84	81	11	42	1	546
South Carolina	721	397	402	37	177	12	1,746
South Dakota	21	16	26	0	11	3	77
Tennessee	765	407	417	40	189	11	1,829
Texas	2,672	1,511	1,584	67	849	24	6,707
Utah	542	254	247	12	107	4	1,166
Vermont	57	20	35	7	11	0	130
Virginia	1,452	640	608	87	247	12	3,046
Washington	1,435	591	517	70	224	13	2,850
West Virginia	100	55	70	12	33	3	273
Wisconsin	724	297	318	31	154	10	1,534
Wyoming	27	22	29	3	8	0	89
Other	44	28	19	1	5	3	100

Tabl		jes in Monthly P				ercentage	e)
States	Mod Decreased by 20% or More	lifications Implemed Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	Decreased by Less Than 10%	Third Quarte Unchanged	r of 2011 Increased	Not Reported	Total Modifications
Total	53.3%	18.2%	17.4%	2.4%	8.1%	0.5%	137,539
Alabama	38.3%	24.6%	23.3%	2.5%	10.7%	0.6%	1,267
Alaska	33.3%	18.9%	27.8%	1.1%	17.8%	1.1%	90
Arizona	57.7%	17.8%	15.7%	2.2%	6.2%	0.4%	4,106
Arkansas	38.7%	23.4%	24.6%	1.9%	11.2%	0.2%	475
California	62.8%	14.2%	13.4%	3.0%	6.2%	0.3%	29,605
Colorado	43.8%	23.0%	22.2%	2.7%	8.2%	0.2%	2,044
Connecticut	54.4%	18.2%	16.2%	2.0%	8.7%	0.5%	1,705
Delaware	42.6%	20.0%	25.1%	1.9%	10.2%	0.2%	521
District of Columbia	42.7%	17.2%	25.0%	1.7%	12.5%	0.9%	232
Florida	62.8%	14.9%	12.9%	3.1%	5.7%	0.5%	13,707
Georgia	48.0%	19.9%	20.6%	1.9%	8.6%	0.5%	6,203
Hawaii	59.2%	15.2%	18.7%	1.9%	5.2%	0.5%	402
		18.1%					
Idaho	52.4%		21.5%	0.9%	6.8%	0.2%	557 7 110
Illinois	57.8%	16.2%	15.5%	2.0%	8.2%	0.4%	7,110
Indiana	38.6%	26.1%	22.0%	2.0%	10.8%	0.5%	2,184
Iowa	41.3%	23.9%	20.7%	1.5%	11.9%	0.7%	598
Kansas	42.5%	22.7%	22.3%	1.3%	10.5%	0.7%	534
Kentucky	39.5%	25.0%	21.4%	2.0%	11.5%	0.6%	855
Louisiana	40.0%	20.9%	23.5%	1.6%	13.7%	0.3%	1,186
Maine	44.8%	24.9%	16.9%	1.4%	11.5%	0.5%	366
Maryland	49.1%	19.1%	20.2%	1.9%	9.0%	0.7%	4,192
Massachusetts	54.4%	18.9%	16.7%	2.5%	7.4%	0.2%	2,550
Michigan	50.0%	19.3%	17.0%	4.8%	8.1%	0.7%	4,335
Minnesota	50.8%	19.9%	17.9%	3.1%	7.8%	0.6%	2,047
Mississippi	43.4%	18.6%	21.8%	3.3%	12.8%	0.2%	657
Missouri	45.5%	21.9%	21.2%	2.1%	8.9%	0.4%	1,810
Montana	48.3%	15.3%	24.9%	1.4%	9.6%	0.5%	209
Nebraska	40.6%	23.6%	20.8%	1.6%	13.5%	0.0%	318
Nevada	62.4%	15.5%	14.1%	2.2%	5.5%	0.3%	2,616
New Hampshire	52.0%	17.9%	20.2%	2.3%	7.2%	0.4%	525
New Jersey	55.0%	17.5%	16.7%	1.7%	8.8%	0.3%	4,721
New Mexico	43.8%	24.7%	21.2%	0.9%	9.0%	0.4%	566
New York	58.6%	17.0%	15.1%	1.8%	6.9%	0.5%	6,315
North Carolina	43.0%	21.9%	22.2%	1.9%	10.3%	0.6%	3,704
North Dakota	32.5%	17.5%	25.0%	5.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40
Ohio	42.7%	21.2%	21.4%	2.7%	11.4%	0.6%	3,455
Oklahoma	35.2%	24.4%	24.0%	2.0%	13.8%	0.6%	708
Oregon	55.4%	20.4%	14.6%	1.3%	7.9%	0.4%	1,400
Pennsylvania	44.7%	21.0%	21.0%	2.1%	10.8%	0.4%	3,531
Rhode Island	59.9%	15.4%	14.8%	2.0%	7.7%	0.4%	546
South Carolina	41.3%	22.7%	23.0%	2.0%	10.1%	0.2%	1,746
South Dakota	27.3%	20.8%	33.8%	0.0%	14.3%	3.9%	77
Tennessee	41.8%	22.3%	22.8%	2.2%		0.6%	1,829
		22.5%			10.3%		•
Texas	39.8%		23.6%	1.0%	12.7%	0.4%	6,707
Utah	46.5%	21.8%	21.2%	1.0%	9.2%	0.3%	1,166
Vermont	43.8%	15.4%	26.9%	5.4%	8.5%	0.0%	130
Virginia	47.7%	21.0%	20.0%	2.9%	8.1%	0.4%	3,046
Washington	50.4%	20.7%	18.1%	2.5%	7.9%	0.5%	2,850
West Virginia	36.6%	20.1%	25.6%	4.4%	12.1%	1.1%	273
Wisconsin	47.2%	19.4%	20.7%	2.0%	10.0%	0.7%	1,534
Wyoming	30.3%	24.7%	32.6%	3.4%	9.0%	0.0%	89
Other	44.0%	28.0%	19.0%	1.0%	5.0%	3.0%	100

Sales	States Decreased by 20% or More 20% or More best Than 20% to Less Than 10% to Less Than 10	Not Reported 160 5 0 3 0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	Total Modifications 26,713 353 21 7777 114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Total 8,260 5,339 7,015 661 5,278 160 26,77 Alabama 61 85 113 15 74 5 353 Alaska 8 5 5 5 0 3 3 0 21 Anzona 254 173 193 23 131 3 777 Arkansas 19 26 44 2 2 23 0 114 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 35 3,89 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 4 3 381 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 2 312 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 1 4 66 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 6 4 2 118 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,32 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 0 13 Kentucky 40 44 6 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maire 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryand 240 145 233 10 170 4 80 Marsachusetts 135 58 94 14 70 1 199 Marsachusetts 135 58 94 14 77 14 4 1 218 Mincison 123 109 114 9 77 11 4 4 1 1 80 Marsachusetts 135 58 94 14 77 14 1 1 80 Marsachusetts 135 58 94 14 77 15 1 78 1 78 1 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 1 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 89 118 11 76 5 5 4 478 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 89 118 11 76 5 5 4 478 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 2 80 Mississippi 41 4 82 77 11 89 118 11 76 5 5 476 Now York 337 144 196 23 156 11 78 80 North Dakota 3 3 1 1 0 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Total 8,260 5,339 7,015 661 5,278 Alabama 61 85 113 15 74 Alaska 8 5 5 0 3 Arizona 254 173 193 23 131 Arkansas 19 26 44 2 23 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24<	160 5 0 3 0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	26,713 353 21 777 114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Alaska	Alaska 8 5 5 5 0 3 Arizona 254 173 193 23 131 Arkansas 19 26 44 2 2 23 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Ilowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 New Hampshire 29 10 27 11 12	0 3 0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	21 777 114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Alaska	Alaska 8 5 5 0 3 Arizona 254 173 193 23 131 Arkansas 19 26 44 2 23 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 <td>3 0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8</td> <td>777 114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790</td>	3 0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	777 114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Arkansas 19 26 44 2 23 0 1144 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 35 3,53,89 Colorado 101 85 1117 11 64 3 389 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 2 312 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 1 46 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 1,79 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 64 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 2 118 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,22 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 0 144 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 780 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 1 14 2 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 1 11 2 4 0 36 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 1 1 2 6 7 3 3 8 8 31 1 3 8 8 30 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 780 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 1 11 2 4 0 35 Mississippi 41 4 1 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 1 11 2 4 0 35 New Maryland 29 10 27 1 1 12 3 82 New Mersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Maryland 29 10 27 1 12 3 90 69 New Mersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Maryland 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 96 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Rennessee 111 114 149 17 1 1 38 Routh Dakota 3 5 188 284 16 194 2 865 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Rennessee 111 1 114 149 17 17 1 86 South Dakota 3 5 2 2 1 4 0 0 5 North Dakota 3 5 79 Rennessee 111 1 114 149 12 70 0 45 Rennessee 111 1 114 149 12 70 0 45 Rennessee 111 1 114 149 12 70 0 45 RestVirginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 WestVirginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 6 62 WestVirginia 11 1 18 21 1 10 1 1 622 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358	Arkansas 19 26 44 2 23 California 1,598 612 721 131 793 Colorado 101 85 1117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Missouri 123 109 New Hampshire 29 10 27 11 12	0 35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	114 3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
California 1,598 612 721 131 793 35 3,89 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 3 331 Colorado 101 60 78 110 62 2 312 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107 Sixtict of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 1 46 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 1,79 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 64 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 2 118 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,52 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 0 134 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 140 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 332 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 3 382 Michigan 23 166 223 15 153 4 78 Minesota 126 81 116 6 7 37 11 44 1 21 8 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 24 7 7 11 44 1 22 8 Minesta 17 18 116 6 7 8 91 New Hampshire 29 10 774 22 1 3 89 New Hampshire 29 10 774 22 1 1 2 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 1 1 2 6 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 76 5 95 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 170 4 29 New Maxica 17 18 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 11 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Maxica 26 26 20 3 266 23 213 2 95 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 10 170 1 4 802 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Maxica 26 26 20 3 266 23 213 2 95 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 10 170 1 4 802 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 170 1 4 802 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 170 1 4 802 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 170 1 1 2 3 82 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 170 1 1 2 3 82 North Dakota 3 5 188 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	California 1,598 612 721 131 793 Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40	35 3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	3,890 381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Colorado 101 85 1117 11 64 3 3 381 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 2 312 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107 Olstrict of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 1 46 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 1,79 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 64 18 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,22 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,22 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Illinois 27 30 47 5 25 0 144 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 144 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 144 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 140 Illinois 68 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 11 4 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 78 Misnissippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 78 3 3 382 Michigan 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 26 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 26 Mississippi 41 44 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 177 15 21 0 9 0 62 New Hampshire 29 10 27 14 15 21 0 9 0 62 New Hampshire 29 10 27 14 16 23 13 13 14 26 New Hampshire 29 10 27 14 16 23 13 10 170 4 802 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 1 24 1 26 0 35 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 1 1 2 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 918 New Mayco 26 26 22 1 26 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Illinois 413 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22	3 2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Colorado 101 85 1117 11 64 3 3 381 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 2 312 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107 Olstrict of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 1 46 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 1,79 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 64 18 18 18 18 18 11 76 5 14 12 18 18 11 76 5 14 12 18 18 11 76 18 18 11 19 12 19 10 14 14 11 15 14 14 14 19 12 11 14 14 15 14 15 15 14 17 18 18 18 11 16 16 13 18 18 18 11 16 16 13 18 18 18 11 18 18	Colorado 101 85 117 11 64 Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Ilndiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72	2 0 1 21 7 0 2 8	381 312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Connecticut	Connecticut 100 60 78 10 62 Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 <tr< td=""><td>0 1 21 7 0 2 8</td><td>312 107 46 2,490 1,790</td></tr<>	0 1 21 7 0 2 8	312 107 46 2,490 1,790
Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 0 107	Delaware 20 27 32 5 23 District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 <tr< td=""><td>1 21 7 0 2 8</td><td>46 2,490 1,790</td></tr<>	1 21 7 0 2 8	46 2,490 1,790
District of Columbia 15	District of Columbia 15 6 10 4 10 Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78	21 7 0 2 8	2,490 1,790
Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 21 2,49 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 I.79 Hawaii 25 177 11 2 9 0 64 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 2 118 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,32 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 0 134 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 140 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 7 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Hampshire 3 3 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 88 88 New 113 10 110 1 410 North Dakota 3 3 1 1 11 North Dakota 3 3 1 1 11 North Dakota 3 5 6 6 2 9 430 3 2,044 Utah 52 43 77 6 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 177 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 5 1 177 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 5 1 177 Vermont 3 5 6 3 0 5 5 1 177 Vermont 16 67 85 11 78 10 10 1 62 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 1 0 1 62	Florida 1,106 416 473 54 420 Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	21 7 0 2 8	2,490 1,790
Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 7 1,79 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 0 64 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 2 118 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 8 1,32 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 1 561 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 0 134 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 140 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 24 40 145 233 10 170 4 80 <td>Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73</td> <td>7 0 2 8</td> <td>1,790</td>	Georgia 419 411 559 32 362 Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73	7 0 2 8	1,790
Hawaii	Hawaii 25 17 11 2 9 Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispipi 41 42 77 11 44 <tr< td=""><td>0 2 8</td><td></td></tr<>	0 2 8	
Idaho	Idaho 39 18 30 5 24 Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74	2	
Illinois	Illinois 413 241 367 37 262 Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispipi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4	8	_
Indiana	Indiana 125 147 162 13 113 Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9		
Iowa	Iowa 27 30 47 5 25 Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	1	
Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 0 140 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 <	Kansas 37 38 37 6 22 Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 1 199 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 362 Mississippi 41 42 77 111 44 1 214 4 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 <t< td=""><td>Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Kentucky 40 44 67 7 40 Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 3 327 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Mininesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82	Louisiana 66 82 99 5 72 Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispipi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	-	
Maine 22 15 17 2 13 0 69 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississispi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 <td>Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Maine 22 15 17 2 13 Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 4 802 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Miner Miner 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Newada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 89 <td>Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Maryland 240 145 233 10 170 Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississisppi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 3 382 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Missispipi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11	Massachusetts 135 58 94 14 78 Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 4 784 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 355 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Hexico 26 26 22 1 20 9 9 99 9 62 20 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 <t< td=""><td>Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12</td><td>•</td><td></td></t<>	Michigan 223 166 223 15 153 Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississispi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	•	
Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 3 405 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Hersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856	Minnesota 126 81 116 6 73 Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 1 216 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11	Mississippi 41 42 77 11 44 Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 0 429 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2	Missouri 123 109 114 9 74 Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Montana 14 4 11 2 4 0 35 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 <	Montana 14 4 11 2 4 Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		-
Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 0 62 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 156 11 867 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 25 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	Nebraska 17 15 21 0 9 Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12		
Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 5 476 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 286 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 <td< td=""><td>Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12</td><td>0</td><td>35</td></td<>	Nevada 177 89 118 11 76 New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	0	35
New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12 3 82 New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 <	New Hampshire 29 10 27 1 12	0	_
New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207 8 919 New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1	·	5	476
New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26 0 101 New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0		3	82
New York 337 144 196 23 156 11 867 North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 <t< td=""><td>New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207</td><td>8</td><td>919</td></t<>	New Jersey 290 174 224 16 207	8	919
North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213 2 953 North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17	New Mexico 26 26 22 1 26	0	101
North Dakota 3 3 1 0 3 1 11 Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17	New York 337 144 196 23 156	11	867
Ohio 172 188 284 16 194 2 856 Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623	North Carolina 226 203 286 23 213	2	953
Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40 3 217 Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>11</td>		1	11
Oregon 71 45 57 11 43 2 229 Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526	Ohio 172 188 284 16 194	2	856
Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 </td <td>Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40</td> <td>3</td> <td>217</td>	Oklahoma 48 59 62 5 40	3	217
Pennsylvania 235 168 231 16 139 5 794 Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 </td <td>Oregon 71 45 57 11 43</td> <td>2</td> <td>229</td>	Oregon 71 45 57 11 43	2	229
Rhode Island 40 12 15 1 17 1 86 South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358	-	5	794
South Carolina 88 88 113 10 110 1 410 South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358	·	1	86
South Dakota 3 5 2 1 4 0 15 Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			410
Tennessee 111 114 149 12 70 0 456 Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			
Texas 450 481 651 29 430 3 2,04 Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			456
Utah 52 43 77 6 64 0 242 Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			2,044
Vermont 3 5 3 0 5 1 17 Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			
Virginia 180 126 173 19 123 2 623 Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			
Washington 169 103 137 12 104 1 526 West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			
West Virginia 11 18 21 1 10 1 62 Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358			
Wisconsin 116 67 85 11 78 1 358	-		
	-		
vyoming 5 5 5 0 4 0 19			
Other 4 5 5 0 0 0 14			

		Rates for Loans I					
(60 or Mo	re Days Deling Decreased by 20% or More	uent After 6 Months Decreased by 10% to Less Than 20%	Decreased by Less Than 10%	Unchanged	pal and Inte Increased	rest Paym Not Reported	ents) Total Modifications
Total	11.1%	18.3%	21.6%	13.6%	35.4%	22.8%	17.0%
Alabama	12.3%	22.1%	29.8%	30.0%	42.8%	62.5%	23.7%
Alaska	17.4%	20.8%	22.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	20.4%
Arizona	9.9%	19.0%	20.9%	14.6%	33.9%	18.8%	15.7%
Arkansas	9.7%	18.4%	26.8%	22.2%	36.5%	0.0%	19.9%
California	8.7%	14.1%	12.9%	12.1%	32.3%	17.3%	12.1%
Colorado	11.5%	16.5%	16.3%	7.6%	25.2%	30.0%	15.1%
Connecticut	11.8%	21.0%	19.3%	19.2%	31.3%	22.2%	17.4%
Delaware	9.5%	20.9%	19.3%	20.8%	34.8%	0.0%	17.9%
District of Columbia	12.1%	13.6%	11.9%	33.3%	31.3%	50.0%	15.4%
Florida	12.4%	18.8%	18.5%	10.8%	35.0%	28.8%	16.1%
Georgia	13.0%	21.3%	32.5%	20.1%	42.0%	22.6%	22.6%
Hawaii	9.5%	18.1%	15.1%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	13.5%
Idaho	13.5%	14.8%	21.1%	22.7%	39.3%	66.7%	18.5%
Illinois	11.2%	19.3%	22.9%	13.4%	34.9%	28.6%	17.5%
Indiana	15.9%	22.1%	27.6%	19.4%	34.6%	14.3%	23.0%
lowa	12.3%	20.8%	30.3%	16.7%	27.8%	0.0%	20.9%
Kansas	16.2%	22.5%	24.0%	33.3%	35.5%	0.0%	22.0%
Kentucky	12.1%	19.4%	27.5%	14.9%	31.3%	20.0%	20.3%
Louisiana	14.0%	23.1%	30.2%	25.0%	40.2%	42.9%	24.0%
Maine	12.3%	18.8%	24.6%	50.0%	35.1%	0.0%	18.6%
Maryland	11.3%	17.1%	22.8%	8.1%	34.6%	18.2%	17.3%
Massachusetts	9.2%	12.9%	15.6%	12.8%	32.2%	18.8%	13.3%
Michigan	10.8%	16.2%	24.8%	10.6%	34.5%	22.2%	17.1%
Minnesota	10.4%	17.3%	23.7%	11.3%	34.6%	42.9%	16.6%
Mississippi	16.7%	20.9%	35.6%	35.5%	42.7%	100.0%	27.1%
Missouri	14.9%	20.8%	25.2%	21.4%	35.4%	0.0%	20.9%
Montana	16.9%	9.3%	21.6%	50.0%	16.0%	0.0%	17.0%
Nebraska	13.2%	15.3%	24.7%	0.0%	32.1%	0.0%	17.5%
Nevada	10.5%	18.5%	20.8%	12.8%	35.8%	35.7%	15.6%
New Hampshire	10.0%	10.2%	20.9%	6.3%	25.5%	60.0%	14.0%
New Jersey	11.3%	20.6%	20.9%	13.1%	39.0%	22.2%	17.8%
New Mexico	11.3%	17.3%	18.5%	12.5%	36.6%	0.0%	17.4%
New York	9.5%	14.7%	15.5%	10.8%	34.1%	23.9%	13.3%
North Carolina	13.3%	20.8%	26.9%	18.5%	41.8%	12.5%	21.7%
North Dakota	12.5%	20.0%	12.5%	0.0%	42.9%	100.0%	19.3%
Ohio	11.0%	17.9%	26.8%	10.7%	34.6%	28.6%	19.5%
Oklahoma	17.8%	24.4%	30.5%	23.8%	38.1%	60.0%	25.7%
Oregon	8.6%	15.6%	15.1%	13.8%	31.2%	16.7%	13.3%
Pennsylvania	14.7%	21.8%	26.8%	11.4%	32.0%	33.3%	20.8%
Rhode Island	11.9%	14.3%	18.3%	14.3%	33.3%	33.3%	15.3%
South Carolina	12.0%	21.5%	27.2%	22.2%	40.4%	12.5%	21.8%
South Dakota	8.8%	19.2%	8.0%	20.0%	30.8%	0.0%	14.6%
Tennessee	13.1%	21.1%	28.7%	27.3%	32.1%	0.0%	21.0%
Texas	14.5%	20.1%	29.2%	15.8%	40.6%	25.0%	22.8%
Utah	7.9%	13.6%	19.7%	11.8%	38.6%	0.0%	15.3%
Vermont	4.9%	23.8%	11.1%	0.0%	38.5%	100.0%	13.6%
Virginia	10.9%	16.7%	20.2%	16.2%	33.6%	16.7%	16.6%
Washington	11.7%	19.5%	16.3%	8.1%	35.4%	7.7%	16.1%
West Virginia	8.9%	29.5%	32.8%	10.0%	28.6%	33.3%	20.9%
Wisconsin	16.0%	19.6%	21.0%	16.2%	37.9%	14.3%	20.5%
Wyoming	11.9%	27.8%	17.9%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Other	10.8%	20.8%	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%
Juli01	10.070	20.070	31.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	10.270

Index of Tables

Table 1. Number of New Home Retention Actions 5
Table 2. Status of Mortgages Modified in 2008–2011
Table 3. Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others 7
Table 4. New Foreclosures and Foreclosures in Process
Table 5. Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions 7
Table 6. Overall Mortgage Portfolio
Table 7. Overall Portfolio Performance 12
Table 8. Performance of Mortgages Held by Reporting Banks and Thrift (Percentage)* 13
Table 9. Performance of Government-Guaranteed Mortgages (Percentage)
Table 10. Performance of GSE Mortgages (Percentage)
Table 11. Seriously Delinquent Mortgages, by Risk Category
Table 12. Mortgages 30 to 59 Days Delinquent, by Risk Category
Table 13. Number of New Home Retention Actions
Table 14. HAMP Modifications, by Investor and Risk Category. 21
Table 15. HAMP Trial-Period Plans, by Investor and Risk Category
Table 16. Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures, by Risk Category
Table 17. Changes in Loan Terms for Modifications Made During the Third Quarter of 2011. 23
Table 18. Changes in Loan Terms for HAMP Modifications During the Third Quarter of 201124
Table 19. Changes in Loan Terms for Modifications, by Risk Category, in Third Quarter 201125
Table 20. Type of Modification Action, by Investor and Product Type, in Third Quarter 2011 26
Table 21. Type of HAMP Modification Action, by Investor and Product Type, in Third Quarter 2011
Table 22. Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments Resulting From Modifications 29
Table 23. Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments Resulting From HAMP Modifications 30
Table 24. Average Change in Monthly Payments Resulting From Modifications, by Quarter*. 31
Table 25. Modified Loans 60 or More Days Delinquent
Table 26. Modified Loans 30 or More Days Delinquent
Table 27. Modified Loans 90 or More Days Delinquent*

	Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 200	
Table 29.	Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 200	9
Table 30.	Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 201	0
Table 31.	Re-Default Rates for Portfolio Loans and Loans Serviced for Others Modified in 201	1
Table 32.	Performance of HAMP Modifications Compared With Other Modifications 3	37
Table 33.	Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2008 by Change in Payment	39
Table 34.	Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2009 by Change in Payment	39
Table 35.	Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2010 by Change in Payment	39
Table 36.	Re-Default Rates of Loans Modified in 2011 by Change in Payment	10
Table 37.	60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment 4	11
Table 38.	Status of Mortgages Modified in 2008–2011	12
Table 39.	Completed Foreclosures and Other Home Forfeiture Actions	13
Table 40.	Number of Newly Initiated Foreclosures	ļ4
Table 41.	Foreclosures in Process	l 5
Table 42.	Completed Foreclosures	l 6
	Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Risk	! 7
Table 44.	Number of New Loan Modifications	18
Table 45.	Number of New Trial-Period Plans	19
Table 46.	Number of New Payment Plans	50
Table 47.	Changes in Terms for Modifications Made Through the Third Quarter of 2011 5	51
	Changes in Terms for Combination Modifications Through the Third Quarter of 2011	
Table 49.	Number and Percentage of Mortgage Modifications	54
Table 50.	Number of Mortgage Modification Actions	55
Table 51.	Percentage of Mortgage Modification Actions	56
Table 52.	Number of Modification Actions in Combination Actions	57
Table 53.	Percentage of Modification Actions in Combination Actions	58
Table 54	Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments by State (Number)	59

Table 55.	Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments (Percentage)	60
Table 56.	Number of Re-Defaults for Loans Modified in the First Quarter of 2011	61
Table 57.	Re-Default Rates for Loans Modified in the First Quarter of 2011 (Percentage)	62

Index of Figures

Figure 1. Portfolio Composition	. 11
Figure 2. Overall Portfolio Performance	. 12
Figure 3. Performance of Mortgages Held by Reporting Banks and Thrift	. 14
Figure 4. Performance of Government-Guaranteed Mortgages	. 15
Figure 5. Performance of GSE Mortgages	. 16
Figure 6. Seriously Delinquent Mortgages, by Risk Category	. 17
Figure 7. Mortgages 30 to 59 Days Delinquent, by Risk Category	. 18
Figure 8. Number of New Home Retention Actions	. 20
Figure 9. New Home Retention Actions Relative to Newly Initiated Foreclosures, by Risk Category	. 22
Figure 10. Changes in Monthly Principal and Interest Payments	. 29
Figure 11. Modified Loans 60 or More Days Delinquent	. 32
Figure 12. Modified Loans 30 or More Days Delinquent	. 33
Figure 13. Modified Loans 90 or More Days Delinquent	. 34
Figure 14. 60+ Delinquency at 6 Months After Modification by Change in Monthly Payment	41
Figure 15. Number of Newly Initiated Foreclosures	. 44
Figure 16. Number of Foreclosures in Process	. 45
Figure 17. Number of Completed Foreclosures	. 46
Figure 18. Percentage of New Home Retention Actions Relative to Forfeiture Actions, by Ricategory	
Figure 19. Number of New Loan Modifications	. 48
Figure 20. Number of New Trial-Period Plans	. 49
Figure 21. Number of New Payment Plans	. 50