# OCC's Quarterly Report on Bank Trading and Derivatives Activities First Quarter 2012 

## Executive Summary

- Insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations reported trading revenues of $\$ 7.0$ billion in the first quarter, $5 \%$ lower than $\$ 7.4$ billion in the first quarter of 2011. Trading revenues in the first quarter of 2012 were $178 \%$ higher than in the fourth quarter of 2011.
- Credit exposure from derivatives fell in the first quarter. Net current credit exposure decreased $12 \%$, or $\$ 53$ billion, to $\$ 377$ billion.
- Trading risk exposure, as measured by Value-at-Risk (VaR), at the 5 largest trading companies totaled \$564 million, 16.7\% lower than in the first quarter of 2011.
- For the third consecutive quarter, the notional amount of derivatives held by insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations fell. Notional derivatives fell $\$ 3$ trillion, or $1.2 \%$, from the fourth quarter of 2011, to $\$ 228$ trillion. Notional derivatives continue to fall due to trade compression efforts in credit and interest rate contracts.
- Derivative contracts remain concentrated in interest rate products, which comprise $81 \%$ of total derivative notional amounts. Credit derivatives, which represent $6 \%$ of total derivatives notionals, fell $5 \%$ to $\$ 14$ trillion.

The OCC's quarterly report on trading revenues and bank derivatives activities is based on Call Report information provided by all insured U.S. commercial banks and trust companies, reports filed by U.S. financial holding companies, and other published data. Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, savings associations reported their financial results in the Call Reports. As a result, their trading and derivatives activity is now included in the OCC's quarterly derivatives report.

A total of 1,291 insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations reported derivatives activities at the end of the first quarter, an increase of 213 (of which 192 were savings associations) from the prior quarter. Derivatives activity in the U.S. banking system continues to be dominated by a small group of large financial institutions. Four large commercial banks represent $93 \%$ of the total banking industry notional amounts and $81 \%$ of industry net current credit exposure.

The OCC and other supervisors have examiners on-site at the largest banks to continuously evaluate the credit, market, operational, reputation, and compliance risks of bank derivatives activities. In addition to the OCC's onsite supervisory activities, the OCC continues to work with other financial supervisors and major market participants to address infrastructure issues in OTC derivatives, including development of objectives and milestones for stronger trade processing and improved market transparency across all OTC derivatives categories.

## Revenues

Insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations reported $\$ 7.0$ billion in trading revenues in the first quarter, $178 \%$ higher than in the fourth quarter of 2011, but $5 \%$ lower than $\$ 7.4$ billion in the first quarter of 2011. Improvements in the general macroeconomic and risk outlook led to healthy client demand that
supported first quarter trading revenues. Trading revenues in the first quarter are typically the strongest of the year, as business demand and trading volume increase from the seasonally slow year-end period. In the 12 full years beginning in 2000, first quarter trading revenues have been the strongest of the year 7 times, and second strongest 4 times. Over that same period, $37 \%$ of total trading revenues have come in the first quarter.

Relative to the fourth quarter, stronger first quarter revenues were driven by seasonal rebounds in interest rate/foreign exchange and commodity trading revenues. Combined interest rate and FX revenues, typically the driver of bank trading revenues, totaled $\$ 7.1$ billion, $224 \%$ higher than $\$ 2.2$ billion in the fourth quarter. Credit trading revenues, the most volatile component of overall trading revenues, were $\$ 1.0$ billion lower.

The 5\% (\$390 million) fall in trading revenues compared to the first quarter of 2011 resulted from weaker credit trading results. Revenues from credit intermediation activities were $\$ 2.5$ billion lower in the first quarter of 2012 than in 2011, which was offset by a $\$ 2.5$ billion increase in interest rate/FX revenues. Revenues from equity intermediation were $\$ 0.5$ billion lower.

## Commercial Bank Trading Revenue

| Bank Trading Revenue <br> \$ in millions | 1Q12 | 4Q11 | Change 1Q12 vs. 4Q11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Change } \\ \text { 1Q12 vs. } \\ 4 Q 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1Q11 | Change 1Q12 vs. 1Q11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Change } \\ \text { 1Q12 vs. } \\ \text { 1Q11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate | 5,627 | 253 | 5,374 | 2127\% | 4,587 | 1,040 | 23\% |
| Foreign Exchange | 1,505 | 1,940 | (435) | -22\% | 35 | 1,470 | 4222\% |
| Equity | 260 | (119) | 379 | 319\% | 743 | (483) | -65\% |
| Commodity \& Other | 412 | 258 | 154 | 60\% | 315 | 97 | 31\% |
| Credit | (784) | 193 | (978) | -506\% | 1,729 | $(2,514)$ | -145\% |
| Total Trading Revenues | 7,019 | 2,525 | 4,494 | 178\% | 7,409 | (390) | -5\% |


| Bank Trading Revenue $\$$ in millions | 1Q12 | Avg Past 12 Q1's | ALL Quarters Since Q4 1996 |  |  | Past 8 Quarters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Avg | Hi | Low | Avg | Hi | Low |
| Interest Rate | 5,627 | 2,736 | 1,435 | 9,099 | $(3,420)$ | 2,843 | 5,627 | 145 |
| Foreign Exchange | 1,505 | 1,761 | 1,497 | 4,261 | $(1,535)$ | 1,461 | 4,261 | $(1,047)$ |
| Equity | 260 | 822 | 403 | 1,829 | $(1,229)$ | 519 | 1,442 | (119) |
| Commodity \& Other | 412 | 214 | 163 | 789 | (320) | 271 | 558 | (25) |
| Credit* | (784) | (347) | N/A | 2,707 | $(11,780)$ | 788 | 1,840 | (784) |
| Total Trading Revenues | 7,019 |  |  |  |  | 5,881 |  |  |

[^0]

Note: Beginning 1Q07, credit exposures are broken out as a separate category.
Data Source: Call Reports.

## Holding Company Trading Revenues ${ }^{1}$

To get a more complete picture of trading revenues in the banking system, it is useful to consider consolidated holding company trading performance. As illustrated in the table below, consolidated holding company trading revenues of $\$ 18.9$ billion in the first quarter of 2012 were $268 \%$ higher than fourth quarter revenues, and $151 \%$ higher ( $\$ 11.4$ billion) than in the first quarter of 2011. Trading revenues were sharply higher across product sectors in the first quarter of 2012. Interest rate and FX revenues were $\$ 9.6$ billion this quarter, compared to $\$ 3.4$ billion in the fourth quarter and $\$ 2.6$ billion in last year's first quarter. Equity revenues of $\$ 5.7$ billion in the first quarter of 2012 were $87 \%$ higher than in both the fourth quarter and the first quarter of 2011.

| Holding Co. Trading Revenue <br> $\$$ in millions <br> 俍 | 1Q12 | 4Q11 | Change <br> 1Q12 vs. <br> 4Q11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ \text { 1Q12 vs. } \\ \text { 4Q11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1Q11 | Change 1Q12 vs. 1Q11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ \text { 1Q12 vs. } \\ \text { 1Q11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate | 7,608 | 324 | 7,284 | 2248\% | $(1,595)$ | 9,203 | 577\% |
| Foreign Exchange | 2,005 | 3,034 | $(1,028)$ | -34\% | 4,194 | $(2,188)$ | -52\% |
| Equity | 5,684 | 3,047 | 2,637 | 87\% | 3,035 | 2,649 | 87\% |
| Commodity \& Other | 2,265 | 1,646 | 619 | 38\% | 1,622 | 643 | 40\% |
| Credit | 1,333 | $(2,912)$ | 4,245 | 146\% | 271 | 1,063 | 393\% |
| Total HC Trading Revenues | 18,896 | 5,139 | 13,757 | 268\% | 7,526 | 11,370 | 151\% |

Prior to the financial crisis, bank trading revenues typically ranged from 60-80\% of consolidated holding company trading revenues. Since the financial crisis, and the adoption of bank charters by the former investment banks, the percentage of bank trading revenues to consolidated company revenues has fallen into a range of $30-50 \%$. This decline reflects the significant amount of trading activity by the former investment banks that, while included in holding company results, remains outside the insured commercial bank. More

[^1]generally, insured commercial banks and savings associations have more limited legal authorities than do their holding companies, particularly in commodity and equity products.

In the first quarter, bank trading revenues represented 37\% of consolidated company trading revenues, compared to $49 \%$ in the fourth quarter. The decline in the bank contribution to holding company revenues is attributable to stronger equity, credit and commodity revenue at the consolidated company level. Equity and commodity trading revenues are a much bigger component of trading revenues at the consolidated company than in the insured commercial bank.

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is a significant risk in bank derivatives trading activities. The notional amount of a derivative contract is a reference amount from which contractual payments will be derived, but it is generally not an amount at risk. The credit risk in a derivative contract is a function of a number of variables, such as whether counterparties exchange notional principal, the volatility of the underlying market factors (interest rate, currency, commodity, equity or corporate reference entity), the maturity and liquidity of the contract, and the creditworthiness of the counterparty.

Credit risk in derivatives differs from credit risk in loans due to the more uncertain nature of the potential credit exposure. With a funded loan, the amount at risk is the amount advanced to the borrower. The credit risk is unilateral; the bank faces the credit exposure of the borrower. However, in most derivatives transactions, such as swaps (which make up the bulk of bank derivatives contracts), the credit exposure is bilateral. Each party to the contract may (and, if the contract has a long enough tenor, probably will) have a current credit exposure to the other party at various points in time over the contract's life. Moreover, because the credit exposure is a function of movements in market factors, banks do not know, and can only estimate, how much the value of the derivative contract might be at various points of time in the future.

The first step to measuring credit exposure in derivative contracts involves identifying those contracts where a bank would lose value if the counterparty to a contract defaulted today. The total of all contracts with positive value (i.e., derivatives receivables) to the bank is the gross positive fair value (GPFV) and represents an initial measurement of credit exposure. The total of all contracts with negative value (i.e., derivatives payables) to the bank is the gross negative fair value (GNFV) and represents a measurement of the exposure the bank poses to its counterparties.

| \$ in billions | Gross Positive Fair Values |  |  |  | Gross Negative Fair Values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1Q12 | 4Q11 | Change | \%Change | 1Q12 | 4Q11 | Change | \%Change |
| Interest Rates | 3,771 | 4,478 | (707) | -16\% | 3,677 | 4,388 | (711) | -16\% |
| FX | 412 | 503 | (90) | -18\% | 417 | 477 | (60) | -13\% |
| Equity | 84 | 77 | 7 | 9\% | 84 | 75 | 9 | 11\% |
| Commodity | 54 | 53 | 1 | 2\% | 56 | 55 | 1 | 3\% |
| Credit | 293 | 418 | (125) | -30\% | 288 | 404 | (116) | -29\% |
| Total | 4,614 | 5,528 | (915) | -17\% | 4,522 | 5,400 | (877) | -16\% |

Gross positive fair values (i.e., derivatives receivables) decreased $17 \%$, or $\$ 915$ billion, to $\$ 4.6$ trillion in the first quarter. Receivables from interest rate contracts, which make up $82 \%$ of gross derivatives receivables (and hence are the dominant source of credit exposure), decreased $16 \%$, or $\$ 707$ billion, due to higher interest rates during the quarter. Because banks hedge the market risk of their derivatives portfolios, the decrease in gross positive fair values was offset by a similar decrease in gross negative fair values (i.e., derivatives payables). Derivatives payables decreased $16 \%$, or $\$ 877$ billion, to $\$ 4.5$ trillion, with payables declining across interest rates, FX and credit contracts, consistent with the decline in receivables on those same asset classes.

For a portfolio of contracts with a single counterparty where the bank has a legally enforceable bilateral netting agreement, contracts with negative values may be used to offset contracts with positive values. This process generates a "net" current credit exposure (NCCE), as shown in the example below:

| Counterparty A Portfolio | \# of <br> Contracts | Value of Contracts | Credit Measure/ Metric |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Contracts With Positive <br> Value | 6 | $\$ 500$ | Gross Positive Fair Value |
| Contracts With Negative <br> Value | 4 | $\$ 350$ | Gross Negative Fair Value |
| Total Contracts | 10 | $\$ 150$ | Net Current Credit Exposure (NCCE) <br> to Counterparty A |

A bank's net current credit exposure across all counterparties will therefore be the sum of the gross positive fair values for counterparties without legally certain bilateral netting arrangements (this may be due to the use of non-standardized documentation or jurisdiction considerations) and the bilaterally netted current credit exposure for counterparties with legal certainty regarding the enforceability of netting agreements.

Net current credit exposure is the primary metric used by the OCC to evaluate credit risk in bank derivatives activities. NCCE for insured U.S. commercial banks and saving associations decreased $12 \%$ ( $\$ 53$ billion) to $\$ 377$ billion in the first quarter, as the $\$ 915$ billion decrease in gross receivables (GPFV) exceeded the $\$ 862$ billion decrease in the dollar amount of netting benefits. NCCE peaked at $\$ 800$ billion at the end of 2008, during the financial crisis, when interest rates had plunged and credit spreads were very high. Legally enforceable netting agreements allowed banks to reduce GPFV exposures by $91.8 \%$ ( $\$ 4.2$ trillion) in the first quarter, down from the record $92.2 \%$ in the fourth quarter. Notwithstanding the decline in NCCE, credit exposures from derivatives remains elevated, and very sensitive to declines in interest rates and increases in credit spreads.

| $\$$ in billions | $1 Q 12$ | $4 \mathrm{Q11}$ | Change | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross Positive Fair Value (GPFV) | 4,614 | 5,528 | $(915)$ | $-17 \%$ |
| Netting Benefits | 4,236 | 5,098 | $(862)$ | $-17 \%$ |
| Netted Current Credit Exposure (NCCE) | $\mathbf{3 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 \%}$ |
| Potential Future Exposure (PFE) | 748 | 767 | $(19)$ | $-2 \%$ |
| Total Credit Exposure (TCE) | 1,126 | 1,198 | $(72)$ | $-6 \%$ |
| Netting Benefit \% | $91.8 \%$ | $92.2 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 10 Year Interest Swap Rate | $2.23 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Dollar Index Spot | 79.0 | 80.2 | $(1.2)$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Credit Derivative Index - North America Inv Grade | 87.0 | 119.9 | $(32.9)$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Credit Derivative Index - High Volatility | 172.3 | 252.8 | $(80.6)$ | $-32 \%$ |
| Russell 3000 Index Fund (RAY) | 834.1 | 742.6 | 91.5 | $12 \%$ |
| Dow J ones-UBS Commodity Index (DJ UBS) | 141.9 | 140.7 | 1.2 | $1 \%$ |

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
The second step in evaluating credit risk involves an estimation of how much the value of a given derivative contract might change in the bank's favor over the remaining life of the contract; this is referred to as the "potential future exposure" (PFE). PFE decreased $2 \%$ ( $\$ 19$ billion) in the first quarter to $\$ 748$ billion, due to a decline in the notional amount of credit and interest rate contracts. The total credit exposure (PFE plus the net current credit exposure) decreased $6 \%$ in the first quarter to $\$ 1.1$ trillion.

The distribution of NCCE in the banking system is concentrated in banks/securities firms (57\%) and corporations ( $36 \%$ ). Exposure to hedge funds, sovereign governments and monoline financial firms is very small ( $6 \%$ in total). However, the sheer size of aggregate counterparty exposures results in the potential for major losses even in sectors where exposure is a small percentage of the total. For example, notwithstanding the minimal share of NCCE to monolines, banks suffered material losses on these exposures during the credit crisis. Because banks have taken credit charges (via credit valuation adjustments) to completely write down
their monoline exposures, current credit exposures to monolines are now virtually $0 \%$ of total net current credit exposure. Sovereign credit exposures are also a small component (5\%) of net current credit exposure and, like monoline exposures, are largely unsecured. These exposures are an increasing area of focus for bank supervisors as they review counterparty credit risk.

| Net Current Credit Exposure <br> By Counterparty Type as a \% of Total NCCE | Banks \& Securities <br> Firms | Monoline <br> Financial Firms | Hedge <br> Funds | Sovereign <br> Governments | Corp and All Other <br> Counterparties | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Commercial Banks | $57 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Top 4 Commercial Banks | $59 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

A more risk sensitive measure of credit exposure would also consider the value of collateral held against counterparty exposures. Commercial banks and savings associations with total assets greater than $\$ 10$ billion report the fair value of collateral held against various classifications of counterparty exposure.

Reporting banks held collateral against $67 \%$ of total NCCE at the end of the first quarter, up from $66 \%$ in the fourth quarter of 2011. Credit exposures to banks/securities firms and hedge funds are well secured. Banks held collateral against $88 \%$ of their current exposure to banks and securities firms, up from $87 \%$ in the fourth quarter. Collateral held against hedge fund exposures increased to $312 \%$ in the first quarter, from $245 \%$ in the fourth quarter. Hedge fund exposures have always been very well secured, because banks take "initial margin" on transactions with hedge funds, in addition to fully securing any current credit exposure. Collateral coverage of corporate, monoline and sovereign exposures is much less than for financial institutions and hedge funds.

| FV of Collateral to Net Current Credit Exposure | Banks \& Securities Firms | Monoline Financial Firms | Hedge Funds | Sovereign Governments | Corp and All Other Counterparties | Overall FV/ NCCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Commercial Banks | 88\% | 6\% | 312\% | 15\% | 32\% | 67\% |

Collateral quality held by banks is very high and liquid, with $81.3 \%$ held in cash (both U.S. dollar and nondollar), and an additional $9.1 \%$ held in U.S. Treasuries and government agencies.

| Fair Value of Collateral | Cash <br> U.S. Dollar | Cash <br> Other | U.S. Treas <br> Securities | U.S. Gov't <br> Agency | Corp <br> Bonds | Equity <br> Securities | All Other <br> Collateral | Total <br> Collateral Compostion (\%)$\quad 47.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $33.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

Key credit performance metrics for derivatives receivables were mixed in the first quarter, with slightly higher charge-offs but lower volumes of past due contracts. The fair value of derivatives contracts past due 30 days or more decreased $32 \%$ to $\$ 25$ million. Past-due derivative contracts represent $0.01 \%$ of NCCE. Banks chargedoff $\$ 76$ million in derivatives receivables in the first quarter, up from $\$ 69$ million in the fourth quarter. Though the volume of charge-offs increased in the first quarter, 2 fewer banks reported charge-offs of derivatives exposures ( 21 versus 23). Charge-offs in the first quarter of 2012 represented $0.02 \%$ of the net current credit exposure from derivative contracts, the same as in the fourth quarter. [See Graph 5C.] For comparison purposes, Commercial and Industrial (C\&l) loan net charge-offs decreased $\$ 488$ million, or $21 \%$, to $\$ 1.9$ billion, in the first quarter. Net C\&l charge-offs were $0.14 \%$ of total C\&l loans in the first quarter, down from $0.19 \%$ in the fourth quarter.

The level of charge-offs of derivatives credit exposures is typically much less than for C\&l exposures. Two factors account for the historically favorable charge-off performance of derivatives. First, the credit quality of the typical derivatives counterparty is higher than the credit quality of the typical C\&l borrower. Second, most of the large credit exposures from derivatives, whether from other dealers, large non-dealer banks, or hedge funds are collateralized daily, typically by cash and/or government securities.

## Market Risk

Banks control market risk in trading operations primarily by establishing limits against potential losses. Value-at-Risk (VaR) is a statistical measure that banks use to quantify the maximum expected loss, over a specified horizon and at a certain confidence level, in normal markets. It is important to emphasize that VaR is not the maximum potential loss; it provides a loss estimate at a specified confidence level. A VaR of $\$ 50$ million at $99 \%$ confidence measured over one trading day, for example, indicates that a trading loss of greater than $\$ 50$ million
in the next day on that portfolio should occur only once in every 100 trading days under normal market conditions. Since VaR does not measure the maximum potential loss, banks stress test trading portfolios to assess the potential for loss beyond the VaR measure. Banks and supervisors have been working to expand the use of stress analyses to complement the VaR risk measurement process that is typically used when assessing a bank's exposure to market risk.

| \$ in millions | JPMorgan Chase \& Co. | Citigroup Inc. | Bank of America Corp. | The Goldman Sachs Group | Morgan Stanley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average VaR Q1'12 | \$170 | \$131 | \$84 | \$95 | \$84 |
| Average VaR Q1'11 | \$88 | \$171 | \$184 | \$113 | \$121 |
| Change in Avg VaR Q1'12 vs Q1'11 | \$106 | (\$40) | (\$100) | (\$18) | (\$37) |
| \% Change in Avg VaR Q1'12 vs Q1'11 | 93\% | -23\% | -54\% | -16\% | -31\% |
| 3-31-12 Equity Capital | \$189,728 | \$181,820 | \$232,499 | \$71,656 | \$62,324 |
| 2011 Net Income | \$18,976 | \$11,067 | \$1,446 | \$4,442 | \$4,110 |
| Avg VaR Q1'12 / Equity | 0.09\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |
| Avg VaR Q1'12 / 2011 Net Income | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 5.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |

Data Source: 10K \& 10Q SEC Reports.
The large trading banks disclose average VaR data in published financial reports. To provide perspective on the market risk of trading activities, it is useful to compare the VaR numbers over time, and to equity capital and net income. As shown in the table above, market risks reported by the five largest banking companies, as measured by VaR, are small as a percentage of their capital. Because of mergers, and VaR measurement systems incorporating higher volatility price changes throughout the credit crisis (compared to the very low volatility environment prior to the crisis), bank VaR measures had generally increased throughout the credit crisis. After the peak of the financial crisis, as more normal market conditions emerged and volatility declined, bank VaR measures have broadly trended lower.

The VaR data in the table above reflect the VaR of all activities in the large dealer firms. In the past, our reports have used only the VaR related to trading/intermediation activities. The large dealers also measure risk, using VaR, for non-trading activities such as hedging mortgage servicing rights. Effective with this report, the VaR data above reflect the aggregate VaR of each dealer firm, for both trading and non-trading activities. As a result, the VaR measures are higher than in our previous reports.

Concerns about the quality of European sovereign debt have led market participants to increasingly focus on the health of the banking system in Europe. Those European concerns, combined with uncertainty about the impact on derivatives markets from legislative changes and progress on reducing the U.S. budget deficit, led large dealers to actively reduce risk throughout 2011, and this broad trend has continued in 2012. Aggregate average VaR measures across the five largest dealer firms totaled $\$ 564$ million for the first quarter of 2012, $16.7 \%$ lower than $\$ 677$ million in the first quarter of 2011.

Because of methodological differences in calculating VaR, readers are cautioned that a higher VaR figure at a particular bank may not necessarily imply that the bank has more trading risk than another bank with a lower VaR. For example, JP Morgan, Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley calculate VaR using a $95 \%$ confidence interval. If those firms used a 99\% confidence interval, as does Bank of America and Citigroup, their VaR estimates would be meaningfully higher. The data series used to measure risk also is an important factor in the calculated risk measure. Firms using a longer period over which to measure risk may include the higher volatility period of the financial crisis, and therefore their measured VaR will be higher than firms that use a less volatile data series. Indeed, one major reason for the decline in VaR at large trading firms is the lower volatility environment that has prevailed since the end of the financial crisis. The VaR measure for a single portfolio of exposures will be different if the time period used to measure risk is not the same.

To test the effectiveness of VaR measurement systems, trading institutions track the number of times that daily losses exceed VaR estimates. Under the Market Risk Rule that establishes regulatory capital requirements for U.S. commercial banks and savings associations with significant trading activities, a bank's capital requirement for market risk is based on its VaR measured at a $99 \%$ confidence level and assuming a 10-day holding period. Banks back-test their VaR measure by comparing the actual daily profit or loss to the VaR measure. The results of the back-test determine the size of the multiplier applied to the VaR measure in the risk-based capital calculation. The multiplier adds a safety factor to the capital requirements. An "exception" occurs when a
dealer has a daily loss in excess of its VaR measure. Some banks disclose the number of such "exceptions" in their published financial reports. Because of the unusually high market volatility and large write-downs in CDOs during the financial crisis, as well as poor market liquidity, a number of banks experienced back-test exceptions and therefore an increase in their capital multiplier. Currently, however, none of the large dealer banks hold additional capital for market risk based upon an increased multiplier, as the incidence of back-test exceptions no longer requires it.

## Credit Derivatives

Credit derivatives decreased 5\% in the first quarter to $\$ 14$ trillion. Credit derivatives outstanding remain below the peak of $\$ 16.4$ trillion in the first quarter of 2008. From year-end 2003 to 2008, credit derivative contracts grew at a 100\% compounded annual growth rate. Industry efforts to eliminate offsetting trades ("trade compression"), as well as reduced demand for structured products, has led to a decline in credit derivative notionals. Tables 11 and 12 provide detail on individual bank holdings of credit derivatives by product and maturity, as well as the credit quality of the underlying reference entities. As shown in the first chart below, credit default swaps are the dominant product at $97 \%$ of all credit derivatives notionals. [See charts below, Tables 11 and 12, and Graph 10.]


Note: Beginning 1Q07, credit exposures are broken out as a separate category.
Data Source: Call Reports.
Contracts referencing investment grade entities with maturities from 1-5 years represent the largest segment of the market at $39 \%$ of all credit derivatives notionals, down from $40 \%$ at end of the fourth quarter of 2011. Contracts of all tenors that reference investment grade entities are $61 \%$ of the market, up from $59 \%$ in the fourth quarter. [See chart on right above.]

The notional amount for the 43 insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations that sold credit protection (i.e., assumed credit risk) was $\$ 7$ trillion, down $4 \%$ ( $\$ 294$ billion) from the fourth quarter. The notional amount for the 35 banks that purchased credit protection (i.e., hedged credit risk) was $\$ 7$ trillion, a decrease of $6 \%$ ( $\$ 414$ billion). [See Tables 1, 3, 11 and 12 and Graphs 2, 3 and 4.]

## Notionals

Changes in notional volumes are generally reasonable reflections of business activity, and therefore can provide insight into potential revenue and operational issues. However, the notional amount of derivatives contracts does not provide a useful measure of either market or credit risks.

The notional amount of derivatives contracts held by insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations in the first quarter fell, for a third consecutive quarter, by $\$ 3$ trillion ( $1.2 \%$ ) to $\$ 227.8$ trillion from the fourth quarter. The decline in notionals is entirely due to a $\$ 7.6$ trillion decline ( $5.2 \%$ ) in swap contracts, reflecting
ongoing trade compression efforts. Trade compression aggregates a large number of swap contracts with similar factors, such as risk or cash flows, into fewer trades. Compression removes economic redundancy in a derivatives book, and also reduces both operational risks and capital costs for large dealers. Trade compression efforts have focused on interest rate and credit derivatives, each of which fell in the first quarter. Interest rate contracts fell by $\$ 3.8$ trillion ( $2 \%$ ) to $\$ 184$ trillion, while credit derivative contracts (as noted above) fell $\$ 700$ billion (5\%) to $\$ 14.1$ trillion.

The four banks with the most derivatives activity hold $93 \%$ of all derivatives, while the largest 25 banks account for nearly $100 \%$ of all contracts. [See Tables 3, 5 and Graph 4.]


Percentage Total Notionals by Type-4Q11


Note: Beginning 1Q07, credit exposures are broken out as a separate category.
Data Source: Call Reports.
Interest rate contracts comprise 81\% of total derivatives. FX and credit derivatives are 12\% and 6\%, respectively, of total notionals.

| $\$$ in billions | 1Q12 | $4 \mathrm{Q11}$ | \$ Change | \% Change | \% of Total <br> Derivatives |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest Rate Contracts | 183,742 | 187,509 | $(3,767)$ | $-2 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Foreign Exchange Contracts | 26,816 | 25,436 | 1,379 | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Equity Contracts | 1,899 | 1,589 | 310 | $20 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Commodity/Other | 1,474 | 1,501 | $(27)$ | $-2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Credit Derivatives | 14,052 | 14,759 | $(707)$ | $-5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 227,982 | 230,794 | $(2,812)$ | $-1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Swap contracts, notwithstanding the decline in the first quarter, continue to represent the bulk of the derivatives market at 61\%.

| $\$$ in billions | 1Q12 | 4Q11 | \$ Change | \% Change | \% of Total <br> Derivatives |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Futures \& Forwards | 40,604 | 37,248 | 3,356 | $9 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Swaps | 138,671 | 146,253 | $(7,582)$ | $-5 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Options | 34,656 | 32,534 | 2,122 | $7 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Credit Derivatives | 14,052 | 14,759 | $(707)$ | $-5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 227,982 | 230,794 | $(2,812)$ | $-1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

[^2]
## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Bilateral Netting: A legally enforceable arrangement between a bank and a counterparty that creates a single legal obligation covering all included individual contracts. This means that a bank's receivable or payable, in the event of the default or insolvency of one of the parties, would be the net sum of all positive and negative fair values of contracts included in the bilateral netting arrangement.

Credit Derivative: A financial contract that allows a party to take, or reduce, credit exposure (generally on a bond, loan or index). Our derivatives survey includes over-the-counter (OTC) credit derivatives, such as credit default swaps, total return swaps, and credit spread options.

Derivative: A financial contract whose value is derived from the performance of underlying market factors, such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, commodity, credit, and equity prices. Derivative transactions include a wide assortment of financial contracts including structured debt obligations and deposits, swaps, futures, options, caps, floors, collars, forwards and various combinations thereof.

Gross Negative Fair Value (GNFV): The sum total of the fair values of contracts where the bank owes money to its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This represents the maximum losses the bank's counterparties would incur if the bank defaults and there is no netting of contracts, and no bank collateral was held by the counterparties. Gross negative fair values associated with credit derivatives are included.

Gross Positive Fair Value (GPFV): The sum total of the fair values of contracts where the bank is owed money by its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This represents the maximum losses a bank could incur if all its counterparties default and there is no netting of contracts, and the bank holds no counterparty collateral. Gross positive fair values associated with credit derivatives are included.

Net Current Credit Exposure (NCCE): For a portfolio of derivative contracts, NCCE is the gross positive fair value of contracts less the dollar amount of netting benefits. On any individual contract, current credit exposure (CCE) is the fair value of the contract if positive, and zero when the fair value is negative or zero. NCCE is also the net amount owed to banks if all contracts were immediately liquidated.

Notional Amount: The nominal or face amount that is used to calculate payments made on swaps and other risk management products. This amount generally does not change hands and is thus referred to as notional.

Over-the-Counter Derivative Contracts: Privately negotiated derivative contracts that are transacted off organized exchanges.

Potential Future Exposure (PFE): An estimate of what the current credit exposure (CCE) could be over time, based upon a supervisory formula in the agencies' risk-based capital rules. PFE is generally determined by multiplying the notional amount of the contract by a credit conversion factor that is based upon the underlying market factor (e.g., interest rates, commodity prices, equity prices, etc.) and the contract's remaining maturity. However, the risk-based capital rules permit banks to adjust the formulaic PFE measure by the "net to gross ratio," which proxies the risk-reduction benefits attributable to a valid bilateral netting contract. PFE data in this report uses the amounts upon which banks hold risk-based capital.

Total Credit Exposure (TCE): The sum total of net current credit exposure (NCCE) and potential future exposure (PFE).

Total Risk-Based Capital: The sum of tier 1 plus tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity, perpetual preferred shareholders' equity with noncumulative dividends, retained earnings, and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries. Tier 2 capital consists of subordinated debt, intermediate-term preferred stock, cumulative and long-term preferred stock, and a portion of a bank's allowance for loan and lease losses.

## Derivative Notionals by Type of User Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



| \$ in Trillions | 2005 |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 |
| Total Derivative Notionals | 91.1 | 96.2 | 98.8 | 101.5 | 110.2 | 119.2 | 126.2 | 131.5 | 145.8 | 153.6 | 173.6 | 165.6 | 180.3 | 182.1 | 175.8 | 200.4 | 202.0 | 203.5 | 204.3 | 212.8 | 216.5 | 223.4 | 234.7 | 231.2 | 244.0 | 249.3 | 248.0 | 230.8 | 228.0 |
| Dealer (Trading) | 85.5 | 89.6 | 91.1 | 93.0 | 102.1 | 110.1 | 115.3 | 119.6 | 131.8 | 138.1 | 155.3 | 147.2 | 161.1 | 163.9 | 157.1 | 181.9 | 185.1 | 187.6 | 189.2 | 196.8 | 200.1 | 207.5 | 218.1 | 215.2 | 225.2 | 229.8 | 227.5 | 210.3 | 209.1 |
| End User (Non-Trading) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 4.8 |
| Credit Derivatives | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 11.1 | 12.9 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 14.1 |



## Derivative Contracts by Product <br> U. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations Year-ends 2001 - 2011, Quarterly 2012



| \$ in Billions | 4Q01 | 4Q02 | 4Q03 | 4Q04 | 4Q05 | 4Q06 | 4Q07 | 4Q08 | 4Q09 | 4Q10 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Futures \& Fwrds | 9,313 | 11,374 | 11,393 | 11,373 | 12,049 | 14,877 | 18,967 | 22,512 | 26,493 | 35,709 | 37,248 | 40,604 |
| Swaps | 25,645 | 32,613 | 44,083 | 56,411 | 64,738 | 81,328 | 103,090 | 131,706 | 142,011 | 149,247 | 146,253 | 138,671 |
| Options | 10,032 | 11,452 | 14,605 | 17,750 | 18,869 | 26,275 | 27,728 | 30,267 | 30,267 | 32,075 | 32,534 | 34,656 |
| Credit Derivatives | 395 | 635 | 1,001 | 2,347 | 5,822 | 9,019 | 15,861 | 15,897 | 14,036 | 14,150 | 14,759 | 14,052 |
| TOTAL* | 45,386 | 56,074 | 71,082 | 87,880 | 101,478 | 131,499 | 165,645 | 200,382 | 212,808 | 231,181 | 230,794 | 227,982 |

## Derivative Contracts by Type

## Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations <br> Year-ends 2001 - 2011, Quarterly 2012




| \$ in Billions | 4Q01 | 4Q02 | 4Q03 | 4Q04 | 4Q05 | 4Q06 | 4Q07 | 4Q08 | 4Q09 | 4Q10 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate | 38,305 | 48,347 | 61,856 | 75,518 | 84,520 | 107,415 | 129,574 | 164,404 | 179,555 | 193,482 | 187,509 | 183,742 |
| Foreign Exch | 5,736 | 6,076 | 7,182 | 8,607 | 9,282 | 11,900 | 16,614 | 16,824 | 16,553 | 20,990 | 25,436 | 26,816 |
| Equities | 770 | 783 | 829 | 1,120 | 1,255 | 2,271 | 2,522 | 2,207 | 1,685 | 1,364 | 1,589 | 1,899 |
| Commodities | 179 | 233 | 214 | 289 | 598 | 893 | 1,073 | 1,050 | 979 | 1,195 | 1,501 | 1,474 |
| Credit Derivatives | 395 | 635 | 1,001 | 2,347 | 5,822 | 9,019 | 15,861 | 15,897 | 14,036 | 14,150 | 14,759 | 14,052 |
| TOTAL* | 45,385 | 56,075 | 71,082 | 87,880 | 101,477 | 131,499 | 165,645 | 200,382 | 212,808 | 231,181 | 230,794 | 227,982 |

*Notional amount of total: futures, exchange traded options, over the counter options, forwards, and swaps.
Note: As of 2Q06 equities and commodities types are shown as separate categories. They were previously shown as "Other Derivs." Numbers may not add due to rounding.

## Four Banks Dominate in Derivatives

 Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations, 1Q12

## Concentration of Derivative Contracts

| \$ in Billions | $\begin{array}{r} \$ \\ \text { Top } 4 \text { Bks } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% Tot Derivs | All Other Bks | \% Tot Derivs | \$ All Bks | \% Tot Derivs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Futures \& Fwrds | 35,963 | 15.8 | 4,641 | 2.0 | 40,604 | 17.8 |
| Swaps | 130,724 | 57.3 | 7,947 | 3.5 | 138,671 | 60.8 |
| Options | 32,543 | 14.3 | 2,114 | 0.9 | 34,656 | 15.2 |
| Credit Derivatives | 13,327 | 5.8 | 724 | 0.3 | 14,052 | 6.2 |
| TOTAL* | 212,556 | 93.2 | 15,426 | 6.8 | 227,982 | 100.0 |

*Notional amount of total: futures, exchange traded options, over the counter options, forwards, and swaps.

## Percentage of Total Credit Exposure to Risk Based Capital

Top 4 Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations by Derivative Holdings 1Q09-1Q12


Total Credit Exposure to
Risk Based Capital (\%)

| (\% ) | JPMC <br> Bank | Bank of <br> America | Citi- <br> bank | Goldman <br> Sachs <br> Bank | Top 4 <br> Banks* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Q09 | 323 | 169 | 213 | 1048 | 286 |
| 2Q09 | 283 | 137 | 209 | 921 | 207 |
| 3Q09 | 290 | 135 | 203 | 858 | 311 |
| 4Q09 | 265 | 151 | 180 | 766 | 284 |
| 1Q10 | 266 | 161 | 180 | 672 | 267 |
| 2Q10 | 257 | 162 | 171 | 690 | 293 |
| 3Q10 | 267 | 172 | 194 | 638 | 289 |
| 4Q10 | 265 | 174 | 180 | 629 | 261 |
| 1Q11 | 275 | 182 | 183 | 781 | 318 |
| 2Q11 | 274 | 182 | 203 | 788 | 323 |
| 3Q11 | 285 | 187 | 195 | 801 | 334 |
| 4Q11 | 256 | 176 | 177 | 794 | 316 |
| 1Q12 | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 1}$ |

## Netting Benefit: Amount of Gross Credit Exposure Eliminated Through Bilateral Netting

## Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations with Derivatives

 1Q98-1Q12

Netting Benefit (\%)*

| 1Q98 | 2 Q 98 | 3 Q 98 | 4 Q 98 | 1 Q 99 | 2 Q 99 | 3 Q 99 | 4 Q 99 | 1 Q 00 | 2 Q 00 | 3 Q 00 | 4 Q 00 | 1 Q 01 | 2 Q 01 | 3 Q 01 | 4 Q 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50.6 | 54.6 | 58.9 | 61.7 | 61.5 | 62.9 | 62.7 | 60.9 | 66.8 | 66.8 | 65.4 | 69.3 | 70.4 | 71.5 | 75.5 | 73.8 |


| 1Q02 | 2Q02 | 3Q02 | 4Q02 | 1Q03 | 2Q03 | 3Q03 | 4Q03 | 1Q04 | 2Q04 | 3Q04 | 4 Q 04 | $1 Q 05$ | 2Q05 | 3Q05 | 4 Q 05 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 75.7 | 76.2 | 79.9 | 81.5 | 81.7 | 83.3 | 83.8 | 81.7 | 84.2 | 83.1 | 84.3 | 83.7 | 83.9 | 86.9 | 84.7 | 84.9 |

*The netting benefit is defined as:
\$ amount of netting benefits/gross positive fair value.

Data Source: Call Reports

| 1Q06 | 2Q06 | 3Q06 | 4Q06 | 1Q07 | 2Q07 | 3Q07 | 4Q07 | 1Q08 | 2Q08 | 3Q08 | 4Q08 | 1Q09 | 2Q09 | 3Q09 | 4 Q 09 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 84.9 | 85.4 | 85.5 | 84.7 | 85.2 | 86.4 | 83.9 | 84.8 | 85.6 | 85.3 | 84.3 | 88.7 | 89.0 | 88.0 | 89.7 | 90.2 |

[^3]Quarterly (Charge-Offs)/Recoveries from Derivatives Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations with Derivatives 1Q98-1Q12

\$ in Millions

| 1Q98 | 2Q98 | 3Q98 | 4Q98 | 1Q99 | 2Q99 | 3Q99 | 4Q99 | 1Q00 | 2Q00 | 3Q00 | 4Q00 | 1Q01 | 2Q01 | 3Q01 | 4Q01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121.3 | 72.9 | 466.4 | 121.2 | 58.9 | (33.1) | 72.1 | 141.0 | 0.0 | (1.0) | (1.0) | (3.0) | 2.0 | (1.0) | 107.3 | 370.0 |
| 1Q02 | 2Q02 | 3Q02 | 4Q02 | 1Q03 | 2Q03 | 3Q03 | 4Q03 | 1Q04 | 2Q04 | 3Q04 | 4Q04 | 1Q05 | 2Q05 | 3Q05 | 4Q05 |
| 75.8 | 28.2 | 59.0 | 73.7 | 25.3 | 29.9 | 32.3 | 83.7 | 46.7 | 34.9 | 92.2 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 14.2 | 23.0 | 8.3 |
| 1Q06 | 2Q06 | 3Q06 | 4Q06 | 1Q07 | 2Q07 | 3 Q 07 | 4Q07 | 1Q08 | 2Q08 | 3Q08 | 4Q08 | 1Q09 | 2Q09 | 3Q09 | 4Q09 |
| 3.6 | (7.0) | (16.0) | (5.8) | (2.9) | (9.2) | 119.4 | 30.7 | 14.8 | 120.0 | 91.9 | 846.7 | 218.1 | 166.3 | 213.9 | 159.3 |
| 1Q10 | 2Q10 | 3Q10 | 4Q10 | 1Q11 | 2Q11 | 3Q11 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103.5 | 118.6 | 284.5 | 111.0 | 1598.0 | 71.0 | 89.0 | 68.8 | 76.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note:
The figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date.

Data Source: Call Reports.

Quarterly (Charge-Offs)/Recoveries from Derivatives
Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations Compared with Holding Companies

1Q02 - 1Q12


## Quarterly Trading Revenues Cash \& Derivative Positions Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations 1Q07-1Q12



| \$ in Millions | 1 Q 07 | 2Q07 | 3Q07 | 4Q07 | 1 Q 08 | 2Q08 | 3Q08 | 4Q08 | 1Q09 | 2Q09 | 3Q09 | 4Q09 | 1Q10 | 2Q10 | 3Q10 | 4Q10 | 1Q11 | 2Q11 | 3Q11 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate | 2,413 | 2,950 | 2,896 | (357) | 1,853 | 1,449 | 984 | $(3,420)$ | 9,099 | 1,108 | 5,451 | $(1,188)$ | 333 | 145 | 4,215 | 1,469 | 4,587 | 4,320 | 2,125 | 253 | 5,627 |
| Foreign Exchange | 1,831 | 1,265 | 2,005 | 1,873 | 2,083 | 2,096 | 3,090 | 4,093 | 2,437 | 2,132 | $(1,535)$ | 2,560 | 3,962 | 4,261 | $(1,047)$ | 1,905 | 35 | 491 | 2,595 | 1,940 | 1,505 |
| Equity | 1,735 | 1,024 | 27 | 205 | (15) | 183 | (954) | $(1,229)$ | 1,042 | (279) | 154 | 144 | 965 | 378 | 371 | 338 | 743 | 736 | 1,442 | (119) | 260 |
| Comdty \& Other | 175 | 25 | 7 | 88 | 261 | 601 | 342 | 338 | 344 | 281 | 446 | 389 | 297 | (25) | 94 | 252 | 315 | 304 | 558 | 258 | 412 |
| Credit | 878 | 883 | $(2,655)$ | $(11,780)$ | $(3,461)$ | $(2,715)$ | 2,544 | $(8,958)$ | $(3,154)$ | 1,930 | 1,204 | 27 | 2,707 | 1,840 | 543 | (485) | 1,729 | 1,507 | 1,764 | 193 | (784) |
| Total Trading Revenue* | 7,032 | 6,146 | 2,281 | $(9,970)$ | 721 | 1,614 | 6,005 | $(9,176)$ | 9,768 | 5,172 | 5,720 | 1,932 | 8,263 | 6,600 | 4,176 | 3,479 | 7,409 | 7,357 | 8,484 | 2,525 | 7,019 |

*The trading revenue figures above are for cash and derivative activities. Revenue figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date.
Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding
Data Source: Call Reports

# Quarterly Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Gross Revenue Cash \& Derivative Positions 

Top 4 Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations by Derivative Holdings 1Q09-1Q12


| (\% ) | JPMC <br> Bank | Bank of <br> America | Citi- <br> bank | Goldman <br> Sachs <br> Bank | Top 4 <br> Banks* | All <br> Banks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Q09 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 69 | 12 | 6 |
| 2Q09 | 9 | -1 | -2 | 63 | 4 | 3 |
| 3Q09 | 14 | 3 | -2 | 59 | 5 | 4 |
| 4Q09 | 3 | 2 | -12 | 72 | 1 | 1 |
| 1Q10 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 71 | 10 | 5 |
| 2Q10 | 12 | 4 | 14 | 53 | 11 | 4 |
| 3Q10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 61 | 6 | 3 |
| 4Q10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 1Q11 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 54 | 11 | 5 |
| 2Q11 | 14 | 6 | 11 | 58 | 12 | 5 |
| 3Q11 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 57 | 14 | 6 |
| 4Q11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 3 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{1 Q 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

*The trading revenue figures above are for cash and derivative activities. Revenue figures are quarterly, not year-to-date numbers.
Note: Gross Revenue equals interest income plus non-interest income.

Notional Amounts of Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Contracts by Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations Year-ends 2001-2011, Quarterly 2012



| ons | 4Q01 | 4Q02 | 4Q03 | 4Q04 | 4Q05 | 4Q06 | 4Q0 | 4Q08 | 4Q09 | 4Q10 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IR: < 1 yr | 10,357 | 12,972 | 13,573 | 15,914 | 18,482 | 29,546 | 39,083 | 47,147 | 80,976 | 90,838 | 87,805 | 85,882 |
| IR: 1-5 yr | 11,809 | 14,327 | 20,400 | 25,890 | 27,677 | 31,378 | 37,215 | 47,289 | 33,632 | 33,491 | 32,745 | 31,691 |
| IR: > 5 yrs | 7,523 | 9,733 | 13,114 | 16,489 | 19,824 | 23,270 | 27,720 | 36,780 | 26,144 | 24,303 | 24,163 | 22,691 |
| FX: < 1 yr | 3,785 | 4,040 | 4,470 | 5,348 | 5,681 | 7,690 | 11,592 | 10,868 | 10,416 | 14,467 | 17,538 | 18,849 |
| FX: 1-5 yr | 661 | 829 | 1,114 | 1,286 | 1,354 | 1,416 | 1,605 | 2,171 | 2,449 | 2,433 | 3,088 | 3,018 |
| FX: > 5 yrs | 492 | 431 | 577 | 760 | 687 | 593 | 619 | 1,086 | 1,344 | 1,289 | 1,502 | 1,350 |

Note: Figures above exclude foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements.

## Notional Amounts of Gold and Precious Metals Contracts by Maturity

 Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings AssociationsYear-ends 2001-2011, Quarterly 2012



| \$ in Billions | 4Q01 | 4Q02 | 4Q03 | 4Q04 | 4Q05 | 4Q06 | 4Q07 | 4Q08 | 4Q09 | 4Q10 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 | Note: Figures above exclude foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. <br> Data Source: Call Reports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gold: < $1 \mathbf{y r}$ | 31 | 36 | 40 | 35 | 42 | 40 | 72 | 78 | 74 | 162 | 94 | 121 |  |
| Gold: 1-5 yr | 26 | 28 | 32 | 31 | 27 | 36 | 37 | 27 | 25 | 29 | 28 | 31 |  |
| Gold: > 5 yrs | 7 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Prec Met: < 1 yr | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 28 |  |
| Prec Met: 1-5 yr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Prec Met: > 5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Notional Amounts of Commodity and Equity Contracts by Maturity

## Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations Year-ends 2001 - 2011, Quarterly 2012




| \$ in Billions | 4Q01 | 4Q02 | 4Q03 | 4Q04 | 4Q05 | 4Q06 | 4Q07 | 4Q08 | 4Q09 | 4Q10 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 | Note: Figures above exclude foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oth Comm: < 1 yr | 28 | 55 | 41 | 68 | 165 | 185 | 205 | 179 | 176 | 203 | 261 | 333 |  |
| Oth Comm: 1-5 yr | 23 | 35 | 102 | 206 | 714 | 235 | 298 | 233 | 198 | 209 | 209 | 167 |  |
| Oth Comm: > 5 yrs | 2 | 9 | 14 | 40 | 175 | 20 | 23 | 43 | 33 | 25 | 46 | 20 |  |
| Equity: <1 yr | 124 | 127 | 197 | 273 | 321 | 341 | 473 | 409 | 312 | 296 | 427 | 539 |  |
| Equity: 1-5 yr | 195 | 249 | 674 | 736 | 1,428 | 221 | 297 | 256 | 228 | 191 | 210 | 242 |  |
| Equity: > 5 yrs | 23 | 25 | 84 | 140 | 383 | 45 | 70 | 72 | 82 | 85 | 94 | 89 |  |

## Notional Amounts of Credit Derivative Contracts by Credit Quality and Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations 1Q07 - 1Q12



| \$ Billions | 1Q07 | 2Q07 | 3Q07 | 4Q07 | 1Q08 | 2Q08 | 3008 | 4Q08 | 1Q09 | 2Q09 | 3Q09 | 4Q09 | 1Q10 | 2Q10 | 3Q10 | 4Q10 | 1Q11 | 2Q11 | 3Q11 | 4Q11 | 1Q12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Grade: < 1 yr | 281 | 328 | 307 | 304 | 319 | 685 | 839 | 741 | 765 | 997 | 869 | 1,079 | 985 | 966 | 870 | 856 | 905 | 1,002 | 1,119 | 1,559 | 1,607 |
| Investment Grade: 1-5 yr | 2,768 | 3,359 | 3,545 | 3,860 | 4,088 | 7,130 | 6,852 | 6,698 | 5,527 | 5,520 | 5,202 | 5,888 | 6,229 | 6,320 | 5,800 | 5,731 | 5,927 | 6,564 | 6,507 | 5,963 | 5,519 |
| Investment Grade: > 5 yrs | 1,917 | 2,210 | 2,154 | 2,138 | 2,127 | 3,197 | 3,345 | 2,900 | 2,432 | 2,221 | 2,087 | 2,063 | 2,275 | 1,767 | 1,645 | 1,446 | 1,614 | 1,586 | 1,699 | 1,220 | 1,386 |
| Subtotal Investment Grade | 4,966 | 5,898 | 6,006 | 6,302 | 6,534 | 11,012 | 11,036 | 10,339 | 8,724 | 8,739 | 8,158 | 9,030 | 9,489 | 9,053 | 8,315 | 8,033 | 8,447 | 9,151 | 9,326 | 8,742 | 8,513 |
| Sub-I nvestment Grade: <1 yr | 164 | 144 | 158 | 149 | 134 | 343 | 400 | 457 | 513 | 615 | 575 | 635 | 574 | 587 | 753 | 791 | 833 | 939 | 1,024 | 1,335 | 1,290 |
| Sub-I nvestment Grade: 1-5 yr | 1,201 | 1,405 | 1,416 | 1,400 | 1,608 | 2,849 | 3,058 | 3,472 | 3,660 | 3,098 | 3,167 | 3,248 | 3,201 | 3,267 | 4,004 | 4,073 | 4,217 | 4,056 | 4,131 | 3,797 | 3,413 |
| Sub-I nvestment Grade: > 5 yrs | 537 | 629 | 621 | 543 | 672 | 1,160 | 1,394 | 1,388 | 1,492 | 989 | 1,086 | 1,121 | 1,101 | 968 | 1,400 | 1,254 | 1,401 | 1,081 | 1,180 | 885 | 835 |
| Subtotal Sub-I nvestment Grade | 1,901 | 2,178 | 2,195 | 2,092 | 2,414 | 4,353 | 4,852 | 5,318 | 5,665 | 4,701 | 4,827 | 5,005 | 4,876 | 4,823 | 6,157 | 6,118 | 6,452 | 6,076 | 6,336 | 6,017 | 5,538 |
| Overall Total | 6,867 | 8,075 | 8,201 | 8,394 | 8,948 | 15,365 | 15,888 | 15,656 | 14,389 | 13,440 | 12,986 | 14,036 | 14,364 | 13,876 | 14,472 | 14,150 | 14,899 | 15,227 | 15,661 | 14,759 | 14,051 |

[^4]Data Source: Call Reports

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | TOTAL FUTURES (EXCH TR) | TOTAL OPTIONS (EXCH TR) | TOTAL FORWARDS (OTC) | TOTAL SWAPS (OTC) | TOTAL OPTIONS (OTC) | TOTAL CREDI T DERIVATIVES (OTC) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SPOT } \\ \text { FX } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$1,060,040 | \$1,827,775 | \$11,961,454 | \$41,144,140 | \$9,319,495 | \$6,165,856 | \$757,993 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 507,786 | 822,540 | 6,807,970 | 31,789,558 | 8,896,057 | 3,070,433 | 1,076,482 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 1,927,382 | 227,302 | 9,397,464 | 28,199,752 | 3,047,474 | 3,562,320 | 362,281 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 781,471 | 921,796 | 3,519,086 | 29,590,057 | 7,480,149 | 528,797 | 4,549 |
| 5 | HSBC BANK USA NATI ONAL ASSN | VA | 206,809 | 4,466,896 | 91,991 | 53,997 | 884,122 | 2,659,879 | 177,886 | 599,022 | 83,373 |
| 6 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | SD | 1,181,817 | 3,778,395 | 211,442 | 50,229 | 931,115 | 2,076,755 | 434,044 | 74,810 | 17,124 |
| 7 | MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA | UT | 67,651 | 2,566,841 | 7,122 | 0 | 440,668 | 1,327,237 | 768,647 | 23,167 | 99,401 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON | NY | 229,715 | 1,372,898 | 18,063 | 28,925 | 384,855 | 697,486 | 243,299 | 270 | 58,257 |
| 9 | STATE STREET BANK\&TRUST CO | MA | 183,994 | 957,264 | 52,157 | 0 | 796,707 | 42,796 | 65,509 | 95 | 38,744 |
| 10 | PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 287,766 | 391,934 | 61,575 | 32,400 | 23,519 | 236,009 | 34,760 | 3,671 | 2,105 |
| 11 | SUNTRUST BANK | GA | 172,289 | 269,989 | 25,561 | 12,746 | 18,666 | 162,293 | 46,433 | 4,289 | 216 |
| 12 | NORTHERN TRUST CO | IL | 91,341 | 242,644 | 0 | 0 | 232,731 | 9,748 | 104 | 61 | 18,207 |
| 13 | REGIONS BANK | AL | 124,713 | 150,052 | 4,936 | 0 | 65,919 | 75,643 | 2,843 | 711 | 85 |
| 14 | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK PLC | NY | 40,767 | 118,477 | 0 | 0 | 111,586 | 2,736 | 4,155 | 0 | 4,964 |
| 15 | U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 330,227 | 113,174 | 990 | 5,720 | 46,588 | 47,194 | 9,899 | 2,783 | 1,104 |
| 16 | KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 84,839 | 84,346 | 3,283 | 0 | 18,224 | 54,169 | 5,286 | 3,382 | 1,086 |
| 17 | FIFTH THIRD BANK | OH | 114,402 | 71,188 | 177 | 0 | 16,072 | 31,820 | 21,937 | 1,182 | 558 |
| 18 | TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 193,074 | 69,702 | 0 | 0 | 8,544 | 57,801 | 1,474 | 1,882 | 5 |
| 19 | BRANCH BANKING\&TRUST CO | NC | 169,026 | 69,092 | 886 | 0 | 15,649 | 37,229 | 15,328 | 0 | 42 |
| 20 | UNION BANK NATIONAL ASSN | CA | 91,576 | 56,694 | 4,539 | 0 | 2,765 | 34,951 | 14,439 | 0 | 645 |
| 21 | RBS CITIZENS NATIONAL ASSN | RI | 106,242 | 37,585 | 0 | 0 | 7,362 | 27,538 | 1,818 | 868 | 60 |
| 22 | BOKF NATIONAL ASSN | OK | 25,734 | 30,339 | 583 | 1,004 | 22,982 | 3,290 | 2,480 | 0 | 28 |
| 23 | CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN | VA | 133,000 | 28,935 | 105 | 0 | 931 | 27,206 | 40 | 653 | 11 |
| 24 | BMO HARRIS BANK NA | IL | 94,826 | 27,307 | 0 | 0 | 899 | 23,729 | 2,664 | 16 | 184 |
| 25 | HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK | OH | 55,585 | 26,509 | 30 | 0 | 1,494 | 22,160 | 2,335 | 490 | 1 |
| TOP 25 | OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH |  | \$8,691,082 | \$227,486,417 | \$4,760,120 | \$3,984,435 | \$35,717,375 | \$138,381,175 | \$30,598,555 | \$14,044,757 | \$2,527,506 |
| OTHER | OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH |  | 3,503,866 | 496,050 | 10,151 | 7,154 | 116,024 | 289,722 | 66,197 | 6,801 | 3,973 |
| TOTAL | MMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 4,770,271 | 3,991,590 | 35,833,399 | 138,670,897 | 30,664,752 | 14,051,558 | 2,531,478 |

Note: Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives. Credit derivatives have been included as an "over the counter" category, although the Call Report does not differentiate by market currently. Note: Before the first quarter of 1995 total derivatives included spot foreign exchange. Beginning in the first quarter, 1995, spot foreign exchange was reported separately.
Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
Data source: Call Reports, schedule RC-L

| RANK | HOLDI NG COMPANY | STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ASSETS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | FUTURES <br> (EXCH TR) | OPTI ONS (EXCH TR) | FORWARDS (OTC) | SWAPS (OTC) | OPTI ONS (OTC) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { CREDIT } \\ \text { DERI VATI VES } \\ \text { (OTC) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{S P O T} \\ \mathbf{F X} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE \& CO. | NY | \$2,320,330 | \$72,576,798 | \$1,560,835 | \$1,938,833 | \$12,573,330 | \$41,072,389 | \$9,267,513 | \$6,163,898 | \$757,453 |
| 2 | BANK OF AMERI CA CORPORATION | NC | 2,180,056 | 67,559,759 | 2,894,028 | 935,354 | 13,191,298 | 41,464,610 | 5,580,161 | 3,494,307 | 302,308 |
| 3 | CITIGROUP INC. | NY | 1,944,423 | 50,650,322 | 354,135 | 2,609,519 | 7,380,305 | 28,512,541 | 8,821,336 | 2,972,486 | 1,008,509 |
| 4 | MORGAN STANLEY | NY | 781,030 | 50,339,859 | 160,511 | 1,022,914 | 6,146,349 | 32,037,655 | 6,215,149 | 4,757,281 | 283,641 |
| 5 | GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC., THE | NY | 951,217 | 48,254,372 | 1,985,976 | 2,305,223 | 5,340,599 | 25,194,704 | 9,365,488 | 4,062,382 | 187,710 |
| 6 | HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS INC. | NY | 340,342 | 4,431,531 | 94,821 | 54,115 | 886,222 | 2,619,686 | 177,883 | 598,804 | 83,369 |
| 7 | WELLS FARGO \& COMPANY | CA | 1,333,799 | 3,745,153 | 221,809 | 54,975 | 959,092 | 2,012,481 | 426,614 | 70,182 | 17,124 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION, THE | NY | 300,197 | 1,355,462 | 18,516 | 29,799 | 384,504 | 679,119 | 243,254 | 270 | 58,288 |
| 9 | STATE STREET CORPORATION | MA | 187,610 | 959,031 | 52,161 | 0 | 796,720 | 44,546 | 65,509 | 95 | 38,744 |
| 10 | ALLY FINANCIAL INC. | MI | 186,350 | 527,324 | 56,964 | 1,354 | 57,194 | 372,385 | 39,427 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., THE | PA | 296,119 | 401,647 | 62,225 | 32,400 | 23,630 | 244,961 | 34,760 | 3,671 | 2,105 |
| 12 | METLIFE, INC. | NY | 819,604 | 289,962 | 22,106 | 0 | 32,436 | 114,056 | 108,765 | 12,599 | 0 |
| 13 | SUNTRUST BANKS, INC. | GA | 178,256 | 271,499 | 25,710 | 12,746 | 18,666 | 161,293 | 48,794 | 4,289 | 216 |
| 14 | NORTHERN TRUST CORPORATION | IL | 91,604 | 243,244 | 0 | 0 | 232,731 | 10,348 | 104 | 61 | 18,207 |
| 15 | REGIONS FINANCIAL CORPORATION | AL | 128,282 | 157,321 | 4,936 | 0 | 65,919 | 82,548 | 3,207 | 711 | 85 |
| 16 | AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL, INC. | MN | 136,758 | 120,995 | 1,007 | 3,053 | 103 | 61,657 | 55,025 | 150 | 0 |
| 17 | U.S. BANCORP | MN | 340,762 | 113,887 | 990 | 5,720 | 46,588 | 48,200 | 9,898 | 2,491 | 1,104 |
| 18 | TD BANK US HOLDING COMPANY | ME | 204,310 | 95,409 | 0 | 0 | 17,882 | 74,171 | 1,474 | 1,882 | 5 |
| 19 | KEYCORP | OH | 87,570 | 88,085 | 3,283 | 0 | 18,224 | 56,879 | 6,316 | 3,382 | 1,086 |
| 20 | FIFTH THIRD BANCORP | OH | 116,747 | 75,014 | 177 | 0 | 16,072 | 35,646 | 21,937 | 1,182 | 558 |
| 21 | BB\&T CORPORATION | NC | 174,752 | 69,092 | 886 | 0 | 15,649 | 37,229 | 15,328 | 0 | 42 |
| 22 | UNI ONBANCAL CORPORATION | CA | 92,326 | 56,694 | 4,539 | 0 | 2,765 | 34,951 | 14,439 | 0 | 645 |
| 23 | CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION | VA | 294,574 | 50,227 | 313 | 4 | 6,096 | 43,121 | 40 | 653 | 11 |
| 24 | RBS CITIZENS FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. | RI | 129,964 | 45,154 | 0 | 0 | 7,362 | 34,625 | 2,140 | 1,028 | 60 |
| 25 | AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY | NY | 150,583 | 43,752 | 0 | 0 | 24,303 | 19,433 | 16 | 0 | 2,760 |
| TOP 25 | HOLDING COMPANIES WITH DERIVATIVES |  | \$13,767,565 | \$302,521,595 | \$7,525,929 | \$9,006,011 | \$48,244,041 | \$175,069,233 | \$40,524,578 | \$22,151,803 | \$2,764,030 |
| Note: Currently, the Y-9 report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives. <br> Note: Prior to the first quarter of 2005, total derivatives included spot foreign exchange. Beginning in that quarter, spot foreign exchange has been reported separately. <br> Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding. <br> Data source: Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies, FR Y- 9, schedule HC-L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DISTRIBUTION OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS
TOP 25 COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCI ATI ONS AND TRUST COMPANI ES IN DERIVATIVES MARCH 31,2012 \$ MILIONS

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ASSETS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | PERCENT EXCH TRADED CONTRACTS | PERCENT OTC CONTRACTS | PERCENT INT RATE CONTRACTS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PERCENT } \\ \text { FOREIGN EXCH } \\ \text { CONTRACTS } \end{array}$ | PERCENT OTHER CONTRACTS | PERCENT CREDIT DERIVATIVES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| 1 | J PMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | 4.0 | 96.0 | 76.2 | 12.0 | 3.2 | 8.6 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 2.6 | 97.4 | 81.1 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 5.9 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 4.6 | 95.4 | 81.6 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 7.7 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 4.0 | 96.0 | 94.2 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 5 | HSBC BANK USA NATIONAL ASSN | VA | 206,809 | 4,466,896 | 3.3 | 96.7 | 64.9 | 20.0 | 1.7 | 13.4 |
| 6 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | SD | 1,181,817 | 3,778,395 | 6.9 | 93.1 | 88.9 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| 7 | MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA | UT | 67,651 | 2,566,841 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 98.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON | NY | 229,715 | 1,372,898 | 3.4 | 96.6 | 72.9 | 26.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 9 | STATE STREET BANK\&TRUST CO | MA | 183,994 | 957,264 | 5.4 | 94.6 | 10.4 | 85.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 287,766 | 391,934 | 24.0 | 76.0 | 96.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 11 | SUNTRUST BANK | GA | 172,289 | 269,989 | 14.2 | 85.8 | 87.2 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 1.6 |
| 12 | NORTHERN TRUST CO | IL | 91,341 | 242,644 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 96.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13 | REGIoNS BANK | AL | 124,713 | 150,052 | 3.3 | 96.7 | 98.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 14 | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK PLC | NY | 40,767 | 118,477 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 97.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 15 | U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 330,227 | 113,174 | 5.9 | 94.1 | 82.5 | 15.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| 16 | KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 84,839 | 84,346 | 3.9 | 96.1 | 87.8 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 4.0 |
| 17 | FIFTH THIRD BANK | OH | 114,402 | 71,188 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 70.5 | 23.8 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| 18 | TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 193,074 | 69,702 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| 19 | BRANCH BANKING\&TRUST CO | NC | 169,026 | 69,092 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | UNION BANK NATIONAL ASSN | CA | 91,576 | 56,694 | 8.0 | 92.0 | 76.8 | 7.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 |
| 21 | RBS CITIZENS NATI ONAL ASSN | RI | 106,242 | 37,585 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 80.6 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| 22 | BOKF NATIONAL ASSN | OK | 25,734 | 30,339 | 5.2 | 94.8 | 84.2 | 1.3 | 14.6 | 0.0 |
| 23 | CAPITAL ONE NATI ONAL ASSN | VA | 133,000 | 28,935 | 0.4 | 99.6 | 97.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| 24 | BMO HARRIS BANK NA | IL | 94,826 | 27,307 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 0.1 |
| 25 | HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK | OH | 55,585 | 26,509 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 96.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$8,691,082 | \$227,486,417 | \$8,744,555 | \$218,741,862 | \$183,343,025 | \$26,748,451 | \$3,350,184 | \$14,044,757 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 3,503,866 | 496,050 | 17,305 | 478,745 | 399,331 | 67,347 | 22,570 | 6,801 |
| TOTAL FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 8,761,860 | 219,220,607 | 183,742,356 | 26,815,798 | 3,372,755 | 14,051,558 |
|  |  |  |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  |  | 99.8 | 3.8 | 95.9 | 80.4 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 6.2 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES TOTAL FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 3.8 | 96.2 | 80.6 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 6.2 |

[^5]Data source: Call Reports, schedule RC-L

## CREDIT EQUIVALENT EXPOSURES

TOP 25 COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAVI NGS ASSOCI ATI ONS AND TRUST COMPANI ES IN DERIVATIVES
MARCH 31, 2012, \$ MI LLI ONS

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ASSETS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL <br> DERIVATIVES | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { RI SK-BASED } \\ \text { CAPITAL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | BI LATERALLY NETTED CURRENT CREDIT EXPOSURE | POTENTI AL FUTURE EXPOSURE | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL CREDIT } \\ \text { EXPOSURE T } \\ \text { FROM ALL } \\ \text { CONTRACTS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (\%) <br> OTAL CREDIT EXPOSURE TO CAPITAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$138,634 | \$157,049 | \$190,456 | \$347,505 | 251 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 137,536 | 62,474 | 174,323 | 236,797 | 172 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 152,032 | 58,838 | 167,149 | 225,988 | 149 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 19,781 | 26,654 | 121,932 | 148,586 | 751 |
| 5 | HSBC BANK USA NATIONAL ASSN | VA | 206,809 | 4,466,896 | 22,330 | 6,823 | 31,814 | 38,637 | 173 |
| 6 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | SD | 1,181,817 | 3,778,395 | 117,804 | 24,912 | 22,287 | 47,199 | 40 |
| 7 | MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA | UT | 67,651 | 2,566,841 | 10,498 | 551 | 14,631 | 15,182 | 145 |
| 8 | BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON | NY | 229,715 | 1,372,898 | 15,737 | 5,926 | 5,368 | 11,294 | 72 |
| 9 | STATE STREET BANK\&TRUST CO | MA | 183,994 | 957,264 | 13,664 | 4,996 | 7,237 | 12,233 | 90 |
| 10 | PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 287,766 | 391,934 | 34,812 | 2,729 | 771 | 3,500 | 10 |
| 11 | SUNTRUST BANK | GA | 172,289 | 269,989 | 17,435 | 2,682 | 1,492 | 4,174 | 24 |
| 12 | NORTHERN TRUST CO | IL | 91,341 | 242,644 | 7,786 | 3,041 | 2,526 | 5,566 | 71 |
| 13 | REGI ONS BANK | AL | 124,713 | 150,052 | 14,798 | 907 | 260 | 1,167 | 8 |
| 14 | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK PLC | NY | 40,767 | 118,477 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 15 | U S BANK NATI ONAL ASSN | OH | 330,227 | 113,174 | 33,267 | 1,206 | 279 | 1,486 | 4 |
| 16 | KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 84,839 | 84,346 | 11,288 | 1,057 | 181 | 1,239 | 11 |
| 17 | FIFTH THIRD BANK | OH | 114,402 | 71,188 | 14,199 | 1,591 | 648 | 2,238 | 16 |
| 18 | TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 193,074 | 69,702 | 14,596 | 2,112 | 766 | 2,878 | 20 |
| 19 | BRANCH BANKING\&TRUST CO | NC | 169,026 | 69,092 | 17,793 | 1,260 | 377 | 1,638 | 9 |
| 20 | UNI ON BANK NATIONAL ASSN | CA | 91,576 | 56,694 | 10,138 | 938 | 901 | 1,839 | 18 |
| 21 | RBS CITIZENS NATIONAL ASSN | RI | 106,242 | 37,585 | 10,618 | 1,039 | 285 | 1,324 | 12 |
| 22 | BOKF NATIONAL ASSN | OK | 25,734 | 30,339 | 2,417 | 201 | 265 | 466 | 19 |
| 23 | CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN | VA | 133,000 | 28,935 | 12,287 | 574 | 196 | 771 | 6 |
| 24 | BMO HARRIS BANK NA | IL | 94,826 | 27,307 | 10,300 | 643 | 283 | 925 | 9 |
| 25 | HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK | OH | 55,585 | 26,509 | 5,809 | 463 | 151 | 614 | 11 |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$8,691,082 | \$227,486,417 | \$845,560 | \$368,666 | \$744,577 | \$1,113,243 | 132 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 3,503,866 | 496,050 | 386,464 | 8,830 | 3,679 | 12,508 | 3 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 1,232,024 | 377,495 | 748,256 | 1,125,751 | 91 |

Commercial banks also hold on-balance sheet assets in volumes that are multiples of bank capital. For example:

| EXPOSURES FROM OTHER ASSETS |  | EXPOSURE TO RISK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS \& SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS |  |  |
| $1-4$ FAMILY MORTGAGES |  |  |
| C\&I LOANS |  | $970 \%$ |
| SECURITIES NOT IN TRADI NG ACCOUNT |  | $203 \%$ |

seCURITIES NOT IN TRADING ACCOUNT<br>203\%

Note: Total credit exposure is defined as the credit equivalent amount from derivative contracts (RC-R line 54), which is the sum of netted current credit exposure and PFE.
Note: The total credit exposure to capital ratio is calculated using risk based capital (tier one plus tier two capital)
Note: Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here
Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding
Data source: Call Reports, Schedule RC-R.

NOTI ONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATI VE CONTRACTS HELD FOR TRADI NG TOP 4 COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAVI NGS ASSOCI ATI ONS AND TRUST COMPANI ES I N DERIVATIVES MARCH 31, 2012, \$ MI LLI ONS

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTAL ASSETS | TOTAL <br> DERIVATI VES | TOTAL HELD FOR TRADI NG \& MTM | HELD FOR <br> TRADI NG \& MTM | TOTAL NOT FOR TRADI NG MTM | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \% \\ \text { NOT FOR } \\ \text { TRADI NG } \\ \text { MTM } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$65,312,904 | \$64,722,172 | 99.1 | \$590,732 | 0.9 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 48,823,911 | 48,744,693 | 99.8 | 79,218 | 0.2 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 42,799,375 | 40,132,285 | 93.8 | 2,667,090 | 6.2 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,292,559 | 42,281,073 | 100.0 | 11,486 | 0.0 |
| TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES OTHER COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,705,688 | \$199,228,749 | \$195,880,223 | 98.3 | \$3,348,526 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  | 7,489,260 | 14,702,160 | 13,218,057 | 89.9 | 1,484,103 | 10.1 |
|  |  |  | 12,194,947 | 213,930,909 | 209,098,280 | 97.7 | 4,832,629 | 2.3 |

Note: Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate between traded and not-traded credit derivatives. Credit derivatives have been excluded from the sum of total derivatives here. Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.
Data source: Call Reports, schedule RC-L

# GROSS FAI R VALUES OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS 

TOP 4 COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAVI NGS ASSOCI ATI ONS AND TRUST COMPANI ES IN DERI VATI VES
MARCH 31, 2012, \$ MI LLI ONS

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | TOTALASSETS | TOTAL <br> DERIVATIVES | TRADI NG |  | NOT FOR TRADING |  | CREDIT DERIVATIVES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | GROSS POSITIVE FAIR VALUE* | GROSS NEGATIVE FAI R VALUE** | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GROSS } \\ \text { POSITIVE } \\ \text { FAIR VALUE* } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GROSS NEGATIVE FAI R VALUE** | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GROSS } \\ \text { POSITIVE } \\ \text { FAIR VALUE* } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GROSS NEGATIVE FAI R VALUE** |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$1,484,058 | \$1,467,498 | \$9,519 | \$8,303 | \$126,584 | \$125,954 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 906,914 | 890,885 | 675 | 1,972 | 66,286 | 63,359 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 803,540 | 800,296 | 79,294 | 78,084 | 72,901 | 72,540 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 769,146 | 724,516 | 589 | 7 | 11,000 | 10,938 |
| TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,705,688 | \$212,556,154 | \$3,963,658 | \$3,883,195 | \$90,077 | \$88,366 | \$276,771 | \$272,791 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 7,489,260 | 15,426,312 | 244,223 | 245,485 | 22,985 | 16,946 | 16,005 | 15,620 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 4,207,880 | 4,128,680 | 113,063 | 105,312 | 292,775 | 288,411 |

Note: Currently, the Call Report does not differentiate between traded and non-traded credit derivatives. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here. Numbers may not sum due to rounding.
*Market value of contracts that have a positive fair value as of the end of the quarter.
**Market value of contracts that have a negative fair value as of the end of the quarter.
Data source: Call Reports, schedule RC-L

TRADI NG REVENUES FROM CASH I NSTRUMENTS AND DERI VATI VES
TOP 4 COMMERCI AL BANKS, SAVI NGS ASSOCI ATI ONS AND TRUST COMPANI ES IN DERIVATI VES MARCH 31, 2012, \$ MILLIONS
NOTE: REVENUE FI GURES ARE FOR THE QUARTER (NOT YEAR-TO-DATE)

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ASSETS } \end{array}$ | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | TOTAL TRADING REV FROM CASH \& OFF BAL SHEET POSITIONS | TRADING REV FROM INT RATE POSITIONS | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { TRADING REV } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { FOREIGN EXCH } \\ \text { POSITIONS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { TRADING REV } \\ \text { FROM } \\ \text { EQUITY } \\ \text { POSITIONS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TRADING REV FROM COMMOD \& OTH POSI TI ONS | TRADING REV FROM CREDIT POSITIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$2,808 | \$2,478 | \$545 | \$253 | \$293 | (\$761) |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 1,841 | 1,472 | 435 | 48 | 57 | (171) |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 118 | (146) | 248 | 31 | (22) | 7 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 812 | 1,230 | (783) | 0 | 0 | 365 |
| TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TC WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,705,688 | \$212,556, 154 | \$5,579 | \$5,034 | \$445 | \$332 | \$328 | (\$560) |
|  |  |  | 7,489,260 | 15,426,312 | 1,441 | 593 | 1,060 | (72) | 84 | (224) |
|  |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 7,019 | 5,627 | 1,505 | 260 | 412 | (784) |

Note: Effective in the first quarter of 2007, trading revenues from credit exposures are reported separately, along with the four other types of exposures. The total derivatives column includes credit exposures.
Note: Trading revenue is defined here as "trading revenue from cash instruments and off balance sheet derivative instruments."
Note: Numbers may not sum due to rounding
Data source: Call Reports, schedule RI


| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { ASSETS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | $\begin{gathered} \text { GOLD } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ <1 \text { YR } \end{gathered}$ | MATURITY 1-5 YRS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GOLD } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ >5 \text { YRS } \end{array}$ |  | PREC METALS MATURITY $<1 \mathrm{YR}$ | PREC METALS MATURITY 1-5 YRS | PREC METALS MATURITY $>5$ YRS | PREC METALS ALL MATURITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$86,332 | \$30,562 | \$505 | \$117,399 | \$15,208 | \$3,210 | \$65 | \$18,483 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 2,735 | 75 | 0 | 2,810 | 5,111 | 604 | 0 | 5,715 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 259 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOP 4 | COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& T | TIVES | \$4,705,688 | \$212,556,154 | \$89,067 | \$30,637 | \$505 | \$120,209 | \$20,578 | \$3,814 | \$65 | \$24,457 |
| OTHER | COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& | Atives | 7,489,260 | 15,426,312 | 31,834 | 625 | 0 | 32,459 | 7,443 | 1,567 | 18 | 9,028 |
| TOTAL | FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SA | RIVATI | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 120,901 | 31,262 | 505 | 152,668 | 28,021 | 5,381 | 83 | 33,485 |

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps. Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table
Numbers may not add due to rounding

| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { ASSETS } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL DERIVATIVES | OTHER COMM MATURITY $<1$ YR | OTHER COMM MATURITY 1-5 YRS | OTHER COMM MATURITY $>5$ YRS | OTHER COMM ALL MATURITIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { EQUITY } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ <1 \text { YR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EQUITY } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ 1-5 \text { YRS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EQUITY } \\ \text { MATURITY } \\ >5 \text { YRS } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EQUITY } \\ \text { ALL } \\ \text { MATURITIES } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$231,420 | \$129,957 | \$17,132 | \$378,509 | \$246,795 | \$126,738 | \$34,695 | \$408,228 |
| 2 | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 50,714 | 16,694 | 549 | 67,957 | 129,748 | 45,280 | 25,133 | 200,161 |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 9,057 | 798 | 387 | 10,242 | 130,625 | 37,502 | 17,106 | 185,233 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 10,420 | 157 | 0 | 10,577 | 81 | 41 | 556 | 678 |
| TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES TOTAL FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,705,688 | \$212,556,154 | \$301,611 | \$147,606 | \$18,068 | \$467,285 | \$507,249 | \$209,561 | \$77,490 | \$794,300 |
|  |  |  | 7,489,260 | 15,426,312 | 30,981 | 19,692 | 1,706 | 52,379 | 32,158 | 32,437 | 11,325 | 75,920 |
|  |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 332,592 | 167,297 | 19,774 | 519,663 | 539,407 | 241,998 | 88,815 | 870,219 |

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps.
Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table.
No. Nurbers may not add due to rounding

| RANK B | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { ASSETS } \end{aligned}$ | tOTAL DERIVATIVES | TOTAL CREDIT <br> DERI VATIVES | CREDIT DERIVATIVES INVESTMENT GRADE |  |  |  | CREDIT DERIVATIVESSUB-INVESTMENT GRADE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MATURITY } \\ <\boldsymbol{1} \text { YR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MATURITY 1-5 YRS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MATURITY } \\ >5 \text { YRS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { MATURITIES } \end{array}$ | MATURITY $<1 \mathrm{YR}$ | MATURITY | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MATURITY } \\ >5 \text { YRS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ALL } \\ \text { MATURITIES } \end{array}$ |
|  | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$71,478,760 | \$6,165,856 | \$804,632 | \$2,608,957 | \$809,242 | \$4,222,831 | \$479,852 | \$1,186,080 | \$277,093 | \$1,943,025 |
|  | CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN | sD | 1,312,764 | 51,894,344 | 3,070,433 | 258,224 | 872,427 | 191,690 | 1,322,341 | 342,588 | 1,114,458 | 291,046 | 1,748,092 |
| $3 \quad 8$ | bank of America na | NC | 1,448,262 | 46,361,694 | 3,562,320 | 428,992 | 1,648,833 | 330,870 | 2,408,695 | 265,260 | 702,718 | 185,647 | 1,153,625 |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,821,356 | 528,797 | 39,943 | 190,625 | 22,730 | 253,298 | 87,735 | 176,975 | 10,789 | 275,499 |
| TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | \$4,705,688 | \$212,556,154 | \$13,327,406 | \$1,531,791 | \$5,320,842 | \$1,354,532 | \$8,207,165 | \$1,175,435 | \$3,180,231 | \$764,575 | \$5,120,241 |
|  |  |  | 7,489,260 | 15,426,312 | 724,152 | 75,441 | 198,489 | 31,787 | 305,718 | 114,727 | 233,108 | 70,167 | 418,002 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  | 12,194,947 | 227,982,467 | 14,051,558 | 1,607,232 | 5,519,331 | 1,386,320 | 8,512,883 | 1,290,162 | 3,413,339 | 834,742 | 5,538,242 |
|  Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table. Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| RANK | BANK NAME | STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { ASSETS } \end{aligned}$ | total DERIVATIVES | total CREDIT DERVATIVES | TOTAL CREDIT DERIVATIVES |  | BOUGHT |  |  |  | SOLD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CREDITDEFAULT SWAPS | TOTAL RETURN | CREDITOPTIONS | OTHERCREDITDERIVATIVES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CREDIT } \\ & \text { DEFAULT } \\ & \text { SWAPS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { RETURN } \\ \text { SWAPS } \end{gathered}$ | CREDITOPTIONS | OTHERCREDITDERIVATIVES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,008,409 |  |  | SWAPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA | OH | \$1,842,735 | \$65,312,904 | \$6,165,856 |  | \$3,157,447 | \$2,975,408 | \$13,035 | \$12,088 | \$7,878 | \$3,073,415 | \$587 | \$13,886 | \$69,559 |
| 2 | CITİAANK NATIONAL ASSN | SD | 1,312,764 | 48,823,911 | 3,070,433 | 1,580,265 | 1,490,168 | 1,542,174 | 22,270 | 15,821 | 0 | 1,473,208 | 4,609 | 12,351 |  |
| 3 | BANK OF AMERICA NA | NC | 1,448,262 | 42,799,375 | 3,562,320 | 1,786,305 | 1,776,015 | 1,777,362 | 322 | 8,621 | 0 | 1,742,633 | 2,098 | 31,284 |  |
| 4 | GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA | NY | 101,927 | 42,292,559 | 528,797 | 309,970 | 218,827 | 250,616 | 4,128 | 2,862 | 52,364 | 214,360 | 3,660 | 807 |  |
| 5 | HSBC BANK USA NATI ONAL ASSN | va | 206,809 | 3,867,874 | 599,022 | 288,196 | 310,826 | 274,973 | 13,222 | 0 |  | 292,213 | 18,613 | 0 |  |
| 6 | WELLS FARGO BANK NA | SD | 1,181,817 | 3,703,585 | 74,810 | 37,870 | 36,940 | 33,812 | 0 | - | 4,058 | 33,759 | 277 | 0 | 2,904 |
| 7 | MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA | UT | 67,651 | 2,543,674 | 23,167 | 21,086 | 2,081 | 21,086 | 0 | - | 0 | 2,081 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8 | bank of NEW York melion | NY | 229,715 | 1,372,628 | 270 | 268 |  | 268 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | - | 0 |  |
| 9 | STATE STREET BANK\&TRUST CO | MA | 183,994 | 957,169 | 95 | 95 | 0 | 95 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 10 | PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN | DE | 287,766 | 388,263 | 3,671 | 2,034 | 1,637 | 160 | 0 | - | 1,874 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1,588 |
| 11 | SUNTRUST BANK | GA | 172,289 | 265,700 | 4,289 | 2,331 | 1,958 | 493 | 1,836 | - |  | 117 | 1,836 | 0 |  |
| 12 | NORTHERN TRUST CO | IL | 91,341 | 242,583 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  |
| 13 | REGIoNS BANK | AL | 124,713 | 149,341 | 711 | 131 | 580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | - | 0 | 0 | 580 |
| 14 | STANDARD CHARTERED BANK PLC | NY | 40,767 | 118,477 |  | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 15 | US BANK NATIONAL ASSN | OH | 330,227 | 110,390 | 2,783 | 1,040 | 1,743 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 1,443 |
| 16 | kEYbank national assn | он | 84,839 | 80,963 | 3,382 | 1,803 | 1,579 | 1,803 | 0 | - | 0 | 1,486 | 93 | 0 |  |
| 17 | FIFTH THIRD BANK | OH | 114,402 | 70,006 | 1,182 | 358 | 824 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 824 |
| 18 | TD BANK NATI ONAL ASSN | DE | 193,074 | 67,820 | 1,882 | 1,836 | 45 | 1,836 | 0 | - | , | 45 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19 | BRANCH BANKINGETRUST CO | NC | 169,026 | 69,092 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | UNI ON BANK NATIONAL ASSN | CA | 91,576 | 56,694 | , | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  |
| 21 | RBS CITIZENS NATIONAL ASSN | ${ }^{\text {RI }}$ | 106,242 | 36,718 | 868 | 0 | 868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 868 |
| 22 | BOKF NATIONAL ASSN | ок | 25,734 | 30,339 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 23 | CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN | va | 133,000 | 28,282 | 653 | 109 | 544 | 0 | - | 11 | 98 | - | - | 131 | 413 |
| 24 | BMO HARRIS BANK NA | IL | 94,826 | 27,292 |  | 13 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25 | HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK | OH | 55,585 | 26,019 | 490 | 254 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 |
|  |  |  | \$8,691,082 | \$213,441,660 | \$14,044,757 | \$7,042,434 | \$7,002,322 | \$6,880,673 | \$54,813 | \$39,403 | \$67,545 | \$6,833,670 | \$31,773 | \$58,459 | \$78,420 |
|  |  |  | 3,503,866 | 489,249 | 6,801 | 5,539 | 1,263 | 452 | 3,932 | 240 | 914 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 1,188 |
|  |  |  | 12,194,947 | 213,930,909 | 14,051,558 | 7,047,973 | 7,003,585 | 6,881,126 | 58,745 | 39,643 | 68,460 | 6,833,739 | 31,778 | 58,459 | 79,609 |
| TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  |  |  | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) | (\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 50.1 | 49.8 | 49.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 48.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCS WITH DERIVATIVES TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs: \% OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS \& TCs WITH DERIVATIVES |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 49.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 48.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |


[^0]:    *Credit trading revenues became reportable in 1Q07. Highs and lows are for available quarters only.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The OCC's Quarterly Report on Bank Trading and Derivatives Activities focuses on the activity and performance of insured commercial banks. Discussion of consolidated bank holding company activity and performance is limited to this section, as well as the data in Table 2 and Graph 5D.

[^2]:    Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding

[^3]:    | 1Q10 | 2Q10 | 3Q10 | 4Q10 | 1Q11 | 2Q11 | 3Q11 | $4 Q 11$ | 1Q12 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


    | 91.0 | 91.9 | 92.1 | 91.1 | 90.4 | 90.8 | 91.6 | 92.2 | 91.8 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^4]:     requirements.

    Notional amounts as reported in Schedules RC-L and RC-R of Call reports. As of March 31, 2006, the Call Report began to include maturity breakouts for credit derivatives.

[^5]:    ote: Currently, the Call Report does not differentia
    . Other is defined as the sum of commodity and equity contracts.
    e. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

