Office of Thrift Supervision

September 29, 1998

Transmittal

Department of the Treasury This rescission does not change the applicability of the conveyed document. To determine the applicability of the conveyed document, refer to the original issuer of the document.



Number: TR-207

Federal Register, Vol.63, No.186, pp. 51305-51306

In the attached notice of proposed rulemaking, the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) has proposed to delete from its regulations the definitions of:

- consumer credit classified as loss
- slow consumer credit, and
- slow loans

These definitions are no longer necessary for the interpretation of any OTS regulation and may conflict with the proposed Uniform Classification Policy recently issued by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC).

The notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the September 25, 1998, edition of the Federal Register, Vol. 63, No. 186, pp. 51305-51306. Written comments must be received on or before October 25, 1998, and should be addressed to: Manager, Dissemination Branch, Records Management and Information Policy Division, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20552. Comments may be mailed or hand-delivered, faxed to 202/906-7755 or e-mailed to: public.info@ots.treas.gov. All commenters should include their name and telephone number.

For further information contact:

William Magrini 202/906-5744

Senior Project Manager

Vern McKinley 202/906-6241

Senior Attorney

· Ellen Seidman Director

Office of Thrift Supervision

Attachment

Workshop participants need not limit their statements to those topics, however. The Department is interested in receiving views concerning other issues that participants believe would affect the Proposed Rule for Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential Water Heaters.

The workshop will be conducted in an informal, conference style. A court reporter will be present to record the minutes of the meeting. There shall be no discussion of proprietary information, costs or prices, market shares, or other commercial matters regulated under antitrust law. The Department may use a professional facilitator to conduct the workshop.

After the manufacturer interviews are completed and the draft manufacturing impacts analysis is finished, the Department will issue a final technical support document to present the results. The national energy savings, consumer sub-group, environmental, and utility analyses will also be presented in the final technical support document. The Department will invite comments on the final technical support document and the analyses used. If you would like to receive the technical support document, or be added to the DOE mailing list to receive future notices and information regarding the water heater energy efficiency standards rulemaking, please contact Ms. Brenda Edwards-Jones, (202) 586–2945.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 21, 1998.

Dan W. Reicher,

Assistant Secretary, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

[FR Doc. 98–25687 Filed 9–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Thrift Supervision

12 CFR Part 561

[No. 98-95]

RIN 1550-AB28

Consumer Credit Classified as a Loss, Slow Consumer Credit and Slow Loans

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) is proposing to delete its regulatory definitions of "consumer credit classified as a loss," "slow consumer credit," and "slow loans." These definitions are not necessary for the interpretation of any OTS regulation and may conflict with proposed guidance recently issued by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 26, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Manager, Dissemination Branch, Records Management and Information Policy, Office of Thrift Supervision, 1700 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20552, Attention Docket No. 98-95. Hand deliver comments to 1700 G Street, NW. from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. on business days. Send facsimile transmissions to FAX Number (202) 906-7755 or (202) 906–6956 (if the comment is over 25 pages). Send e-mails to public.info@ots.treas.gov and include your name and telephone number. Interested persons may inspect comments at 1700 G Street, NW., from 9:00 A.M. until 4:00 P.M. on business days.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Magrini, Senior Project Manager, Supervision Policy, (202/906–5744) or Vern McKinley, Senior Attorney (202/906–6241), Regulations and Legislation Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, Office of Thrift

Supervision, 1700 G Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

The OTS is proposing to delete its regulatory definitions of "consumer credit classified as a loss," "slow consumer credit," and "slow loans." These definitions are not necessary for the interpretation of any OTS regulation and may conflict with proposed guidance recently issued by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC).

Consumer Credit Classified as a Loss— § 561.13

Slow Consumer Credit—§ 561.47

Consumer credit is credit extended to individuals for personal, family or household purposes. See 12 CFR 561.12. Currently, "consumer credit classified as a loss" is defined as closed-end consumer credit that is delinquent 120 days or more (five monthly payments or more) and open-end consumer credit that is delinquent 180 days or more (seven zero billing cycles or more). See 12 CFR 561.13. OTS regulations define "slow consumer credit" as closed-end consumer credit that is delinquent for 90 to 119 days (four monthly payments) and open-end consumer credit that is delinquent for 90 to 179 days (four to six zero billing cycles). See 12 CFR 561.47. Both definitions provide that a payment of 90 percent or more of the contractual payment is considered to be

a full payment, and state that a loan is not considered to be slow or a loss if an association can clearly demonstrate that repayment will occur regardless of delinquency status.

Neither of these terms is used in any other OTS regulation. The OTS, however, has issued guidance instructing examiners to follow these provisions when classifying closed-end and open-end consumer credit. Slow credits are presumed Substandard and consumer credit classified as a loss is presumed a Loss, subject to management providing documentation that such an adverse classification is not warranted.¹

Recently, the FFIEC sought public comment on its proposed Uniform Retail Credit Classification Policy ("Uniform Classification Policy"), a supervisory policy used by the federal banking agencies for the uniform classification of retail credit loans of financial institutions.2 The banking agencies are considering two possible options for the classification of openend and closed-end retail loans. Under both options, open-end and closed-end retail loans that are past due 90 days from the contractual due date would be classified as Substandard. Under the first option, open-end and closed-end retail loans would be charged off when they are past due 150 days or more. Under the second option, open-end retail loans would be charged off at 180 days, and closed-end retail loans would be charged off at 120 days. The proposed Uniform Classification Policy also addresses the treatment of partial payments. Like the OTS definition, the proposed Uniform Classification Policy would consider a payment of 90 percent or more of the contract to be a full payment. However, the proposed policy also permits an institution to aggregate payments and give credit for any partial payments received.3

As noted above, the terms "consumer credit classified as a loss" and "slow consumer credit" are not used anywhere else in OTS regulations. Moreover, if the first option of the Uniform Classification Policy is adopted as proposed, the OTS definitions of consumer credit classified as a loss and slow consumer credit would conflict with the uniform interagency guidance. Accordingly, OTS is proposing to delete §§ 561.13 and 561.47. The OTS, however, solicits comment on whether these terms should be retained but revised to

¹Thrift Activities Handbook, Section 260, Classification of Assets.

²63 FR 36403 (July 6, 1998).

³The policy also addresses charge off policies for bankruptcies, fraud or deceased accounts, and other issues

conform to the final FFIEC Uniform Classification Policy.

Slow Loans—§ 561.48

Existing § 561.48 defines slow loans with respect to loans that are issued on the security of a home.⁴ The classification of a loan as a slow loan is based on a variety of factors, including how long the loan is contractually delinquent, how seasoned the loan is, whether taxes are due and unpaid, and whether its terms have been modified or the loan has been refinanced due to delinquency.

The term slow loan is not used elsewhere in the OTS regulations. However, the OTS has issued guidance to its examiners indicating that all slow mortgage loans are presumed to be Substandard. The OTS is proposing to delete this definition from the Code of Federal Regulations because it is not necessary to the interpretation of any other regulation.

Executive Order 12866

OTS has determined that this proposed rule does not constitute a "significant regulatory action" for the purposes of Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, OTS has determined that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed rule would merely delete unnecessary definitions from OTS regulations. This change should, therefore, reduce the burden of complying with regulations for all institutions, including small institutions.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–4 (Unfunded Mandates Act) requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, section 205 of the

Unfunded Mandates Act also requires an agency to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule. As discussed above, this proposed rule would reduce regulatory burden by eliminating unnecessary regulations. OTS has, therefore, determined that the effect of the proposed rule will not result in expenditures by State, local, or tribal governments or by the private sector of \$100 million or more. Accordingly, OTS has not prepared a budgetary impact statement or specifically addressed the regulatory alternatives considered.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 561

Savings associations.

Accordingly, the Office of Thrift Supervision proposes to amend part 561, chapter V, title 12, Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

PART 561—DEFINITIONS

1. The authority citation for part 561 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1462, 1462a, 1463, 1464, 1467a.

§§ 561.13, 561.47, 561.48 [Removed]

2. Sections 561.13, 561.47 and 561.48 are removed.

Dated: September 21, 1998.

By the Office of Thrift Supervision.

Ellen Seidman,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98–25663 Filed 9–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6720–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ASW-31]

Revision of Class D Airspace; Dallas NAS, Dallas, TX

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 1998, which proposed to revise Class D airspace at Dallas Naval Air Station (NAS), Dallas, TX. The NPRM proposed to provide Class D airspace,

controlled airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,000 feet mean sea level (MSL), in the vicinity of Grand Prairie Municipal Airport, Grand Prairie, TX. Upon reevaluation, the FAA has determined that the proposed airspace revision would adversely affect the traffic flow at Dallas/Fort Worth International (DFW), Dallas Love, and Arlington airports, causing unnecessary delays.

Accordingly, the NPRM is withdrawn.

DATES: The notice of proposed rulemaking published at 63 FR 31384 is withdrawn on September 25, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald J. Day, Airspace Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Fort Worth, TX 76193–0530; telephone 817–222–5593.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 9, 1998 (63 FR 31384), an NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** proposing to revise Class D airspace at Dallas NAS, Dallas, TX. The intended effect of the NPRM was to provide Class D airspace, controlled airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,000 feet MSL, at Grand Prairie Municipal Airport, Grand Prairie, TX. Upon reevaluation, the FAA has determined that the proposed airspace revision would adversely affect the traffic flow at DFW, Dallas Love, and Arlington airports, causing unnecessary delays. Accordingly, the NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 1998, is withdrawn. Since this action only withdraws an NPRM, it is neither a proposed nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

Withdrawal of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Airspace Docket No. 98–ASW–31, as published in the **Federal Register** on June 9, 1998 (63 FR 31384), is withdrawn.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854; 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, September 14, 1998.

Albert L. Viselli,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 98–25747 Filed 9–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

⁴12 CFR 541.14 ("Home" means real estate comprising a single-family dwelling or dwelling unit for four or fewer families in the aggregate.)

⁵Thrift Activities Handbook, Section 260, Classification of Assets.